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from near the middle of the 19th century up to the present.

As someone who has been engaged in liquid-crystal research for several decades, I found that the historical narrative in *Soap, Science, and Flat-Screen TVs* filled in my knowledge of many of the characters. It also created in my mind portraits of those researchers as people. I would have guessed that they possessed both amazing talents and serious foibles, but those extremes don’t capture the full landscape of their lives, which the authors reveal with sensitivity and wit. All the facts given in the book are consistent with what I have learned over the years. Thus the authors must be congratulated for the accuracy and nuanced perspective that characterizes the book.

What will nonexperts think? For readers who enjoy learning some new science, this book will be a wonderful read. They will be able to follow both the science and the personalities; as a result, they will understand the convoluted scientific path that led to our understanding of liquid crystals and come to appreciate that science, after all, is done by people. I find it more difficult to gauge the reaction of readers who might be interested in the book’s characters but not in the scientific questions with which those characters grappled. Without an appreciation of those questions, I think a good deal of what makes the characters interesting is lost. Such is the peril of trying to teach science in a history book.

The authors note that their explanations do not include equations and mathematical rigor; as a result they expect to be criticized by experts. I found the explanations both clear and correct for the most part, so their expectation may not be realized. At one point, however, there is confusion about whether it is the direction of propagation or the direction of polarization that determines the index of refraction in an anisotropic material. Still, that is a minor criticism and in no way decreases my admiration for what the authors have accomplished. This is a truly stimulating look at the history and science of a little-understood phase of matter and a material that affects our lives every day.

For experts looking for a more rigorous lesson on liquid-crystal science, a textbook is the place to turn. And because few texts exist for a course on the subject, *Structure and Properties of Liquid Crystals* is a welcome addition. Its author, Lev Blinov, has long been a leading contributor to the field, and his taking the time to write a high-quality text is a wonderful gesture. By design, the book fills a gap between other available textbooks such as *Introduction to Liquid Crystals: Chemistry and Physics* (Taylor & Francis, 1997) by Michael Hird and me, *The Physics of Liquid Crystals* (2nd edition, Oxford University Press, 1993) by Pierre-Gilles de Gennes and Jacques Prost, and *Liquid Crystals: Fundamentals* (World Scientific, 2002) by Shri Singh. The first book contains only selected topics but develops the necessary background chemistry and physics. The other two books cover many more topics at a higher mathematical and scientific level, but contain little background information on general chemistry and physics.

*Structure and Properties of Liquid Crystals* discusses the theoretical concepts necessary to understand the liquid-crystal phase from the perspective of an experimentalist. All the necessary theory is there for a wide range of topics in the physics of liquid crystals. But whenever possible, Blinov makes connections to experimental techniques and results, and he includes some development of the general physical ideas that form the foundation of our knowledge in this field. Line drawings are used throughout the text to help with the explanations, as are simple analogies and references to familiar concepts. The book is certainly suitable for advanced undergraduates and graduate students.

---

**Soap, Science, and Flat-Screen TVs**

A History of Liquid Crystals

David Dunmur and Tim Sluckin

Oxford University Press, New York, 2011. $53.95 (345 pp.).


Reviewed by Peter Collings

Chemist David Dunmur and physicist Tim Sluckin have made important contributions to the field of liquid-crystal research and are respected by all of us who toil in it. *Soap, Science, and Flat-Screen TVs: A History of Liquid Crystals* is yet another contribution, but of a very different sort—namely, “somewhere between history of science and popular science,” to use the authors’ own words. Their desire is to “communicate the excitement of liquid crystals to a wider audience.” To do that, they explain the important concepts and techniques necessary to understand the historical narrative, frequently placing them in boxes so as not to disrupt the storyline. But there’s no mistaking that the book focuses on bringing to life the people who did the experiments and formed the theories, by including a good deal about their personalities, interactions, intellectual proclivities, and historical settings. The result is a well-written, accurate, and totally engaging look at the history and science of liquid crystals, a history that affects our lives every day.

**Structure and Properties of Liquid Crystals**

Lev M. Blinov

Springer Science and Business Media, Heidelberg, Germany, 2011. $129.00 (439 pp.).


Reviewed by Peter Collings

Chemist David Dunmur and physicist Tim Sluckin have made important contributions to the field of liquid-crystal research and are respected by all of us who toil in it. *Soap, Science, and Flat-Screen TVs: A History of Liquid Crystals* is yet another contribution, but of a very different sort—namely, “somewhere between history of science and popular science,” to use the authors’ own words. Their desire is to “communicate the excitement of liquid crystals to a wider audience.” To do that, they explain the important concepts and techniques necessary to understand the historical narrative, frequently placing them in boxes so as not to disrupt the storyline. But there’s no mistaking that the book focuses on bringing to life the people who did the experiments and formed the theories, by including a good deal about their personalities, interactions, intellectual proclivities, and historical settings. The result is a well-written, accurate, and totally engaging look at the history and science of liquid crystals.
taking a course on liquid crystals.

Some minor shortcomings appear in the text. One concerns the presence of numerous grammatical mistakes and misspellings. Those never rise to the level of causing confusion, but they are noticeable. The second concerns a slight unevenness in how much background physics is supplied for the myriad topics covered in the book. In most cases, Blinov nicely relates his topic to basic physics before developing the ideas important to liquid crystals. But sometimes he makes a connection to a fairly sophisticated idea; in such cases readers unfamiliar with the concept will wish the author had offered a more fundamental discussion. But the weaknesses are fairly inconsequential; *Structure and Properties of Liquid Crystals* is a truly useful addition to the pedagogical literature on liquid crystals.

The Pursuit of Quantum Gravity
Memoirs of Bryce DeWitt from 1946 to 2004

Cécile DeWitt-Morette

It took great courage for 24-year-old Bryce DeWitt, who in 1947 had just retired as a US Navy pilot in World War II, to choose a self-energy quantum gravity problem for his PhD thesis. When DeWitt entered graduate school that year at Harvard University where he studied under Julian Schwinger, quantum gravity was a peripheral field in physics and not a critical focus as it is now. In *The Pursuit of Quantum Gravity: Memoirs of Bryce DeWitt from 1946 to 2004*, DeWitt’s wife Cécile DeWitt-Morette presents an honest and authoritative account of his remarkable contributions to the quantization and renormalization of the gravitational field and of non-abelian gauge fields, both of which are central today in particle physics.

DeWitt-Morette, a prominent physicist in her own right, is professor emerita at the University of Texas at Austin. *The Pursuit of Quantum Gravity* contains her well-chosen selection of DeWitt’s original writings — their clarity highlights his extraordinary insight — and many personal recollections that capture his adventurous nature. Her own commentary on his writings is enlivening and sheds even greater light on his lifelong dedication to solving the problems of quantum gravity. She and DeWitt met in 1950 while both were conducting postdoctoral research at the Institute for Advanced Study in Princeton, New Jersey. They married in 1951 and moved to the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill in 1956; there, encouraged by Freeman Dyson and John Wheeler, DeWitt would become the founding director of the Institute of Field Physics. (It was at the institute that Peter Higgs, then a postdoc, wrote his famous 1964 paper on the Higgs boson and its role in spontaneous symmetry breaking.) The DeWitts moved to the University of Texas at Austin in 1971 where he remained until his passing in 2004.

DeWitt was the first to find the perturbation rules to all orders for ghost fields, which are used to cancel infinities in internal loops but do not appear as external observable particles. In a 1988 letter reprinted on page 52, DeWitt wrote concerning his PhD thesis, “Since [an earlier work] was a photon self-energy calculation, rather than a gravi- tlon self-energy calculation, there was no need for ghosts. . . . They weren’t invented until [Richard] Feynman pointed out the need for them in the early 1960s. Then the perturbation rules for the ghosts to all orders were obtained by me in 1966 and, in a slick, fast technique, by [Ludvig] Faddeev and [Victor] Popov in 1967.” Indeed, I was with DeWitt in 1966 and 1967 at the Institute of Field Physics and I recall him explaining to me that he had found the rules for Feynman’s ghosts to all orders.

In the early 1960s, Wheeler felt that the wave functional in quantum gravity should be a functional of three-geometries. He shared his theory with DeWitt, and that led to the famous Wheeler–DeWitt equation. On pages 58 and 59 DeWitt says, “It was not difficult to follow the path already blazed by [Erwin] Schrödinger and write down a corresponding wave equation. This I showed to Wheeler, as well as an inner product based on the Wronskian for the functional differential wave operator. . . . I wrote a paper on it in 1965, which didn’t get published until 1967 because my Air Force grant was terminated, and the *Physical Review* in those days was holding up publication of papers whose authors couldn’t pay the page charges.” That held-up paper is the first of DeWitt’s famous trilogy of *Physical Review* articles published in 1967, in which he took crucial steps toward a viable quantum theory of gravity and a renormalizable theory of non-abelian gauge fields.

Other gems in the book are the wonderful discussions by DeWitt and Wheeler about Hugh Everett III and his “relative state” or “many-worlds” interpretation of quantum mechanics (pages 91–100); an important biographical memoir (pages 123–131) by Steven Weinberg that he prepared in 2008 for the National Academy of Sciences; and an excellent introductory letter from DeWitt to his grandson, Ben, partly to explain why he chose physics (pages 1–4).

DeWitt-Morette took great care in producing a well-documented and well-rounded memoir covering the many aspects of her husband’s outstanding character and achievements. The only significant lacuna I noticed is that the book lacks an index of important terms.

I heartily recommend *The Pursuit of Quantum Gravity*. Beyond the physics, it delivers a uniquely personal record of what made DeWitt tick.

Leonard Parker
University of Wisconsin–Milwaukee

Science in the Age of Computer Simulation

Eric Winsberg

About 20 years ago, while I was taking a midday stroll with colleagues, my mind wandered to a “large” molecular dynamics simulation I was working on. (That simulation could easily run on my laptop today.) After making some progress on a couple of problems that had been troubling me, I attempted to reenter my companions’ conversation by uttering, “But why should the iteration $x (i + 1) = (a*x (i) + b) \mod p$ have anything to do with the physics of aggregate formation?” My evident non sequitur was greeted with strange looks and “Huh? What are you talking about?” The publication of Eric Winsberg’s *Science in the Age of Computer Simulation* is too late to rescue that old conversation, but its existence will help to validate interest in philosophical questions...