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The local three-dimensional structure of magnetic reconnection has been measured for the first time in a magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) laboratory plasma at the Swarthmore Spheromak Experiment. An array of 600 magnetic probes which resolve ion inertial length and MHD time scale dynamics on a single shot basis measured the magnetic structure of partial spheromak merging events. Counter-helicity spheromaks merge rapidly, and reconnection activity clearly self-generates a local component of \( \mathbf{B} \) which breaks the standard 2D symmetry at the ion inertial scale. Consistent with prior results, no reconnection is observed for co-helicity merging.


1. Introduction

[2] Magnetic reconnection is a central feature of low frequency plasma dynamics in astrophysical and laboratory plasmas [Parker, 1979; Priest and Forbes, 2000]. Reconnection mediates the interaction of the solar wind and the magnetosphere at the terrestrial magnetopause [Sonnertup et al., 1981; Öieroset et al., 2001; Mozer et al., 2002], and is an important dynamical feature in the magnetotail [Nagai et al., 2001] and in planetary magnetospheres [Russell, 2002]. In the solar context, reconnection is a key feature of solar flare dynamics [Parker, 1957], and seems to be required to generate chromospheric fluctuations that heat the solar atmosphere [Axford and McKenzie, 1997]. In dynamo theory, reconnection transfers flux generated at small scales into large scale structures [Parker, 1979].

[3] Reconnection occurs when two bodies of highly conductive plasma bearing oppositely directed, embedded magnetic fields merge [Brown, 1999]. High conductivity implies that the magnetic field and fluid (plasma) motions are coupled. This condition fails in the reconnection layer where the inflow stagnates and the two bodies of magnetofluid merge. Field lines convected into this region break and reconnect across the layer, producing a global change in the field topology. Magnetic energy consumed in the reconnection layer is converted to heat, outflow kinetic energy, and individual particle acceleration.

[4] By assuming that the magnetic field and flows are everywhere coplanar, including within the reconnection region, a purely two-dimensional (2D) picture of reconnection is formed. Reconnection subject to this simplification has been studied theoretically [Parker, 1957; Petschek, 1964] and computationally [Matthaeus and Montgomery, 1981] for decades. A number of experimental studies deliberately enforce symmetries that facilitate interpretation [Stenzel and Gekelman, 1979; Ono et al., 1993; Yamada et al., 1997]. On all three fronts, theoretical, numerical, and experimental, attention has focused on planar \( X \), \( Y \), and \( O \) shaped magnetic structures [Brown, 1999; Yamada et al., 1997; Stenzel and Gekelman, 1981]. While these efforts have yielded important insights, it is likely that the fundamental physics responsible for reconnection will not obey 2D restrictions. Furthermore, reconnection is free to take advantage of all three dimensions (3D) in any of its natural astrophysical instances. Recently, theoretical and numerical investigations have begun to explore collisionless reconnection in 2 1/2D [Mandt et al., 1994; Shay et al., 1998], with the notable consensus [Ma and Bhattacharjee, 2001; Shay et al., 2001] that a vertical quadrupole field structure grows nonlinearly at the ion inertial scale due to the Hall effect [Biskamp et al., 1995, 1997; Shay and Drake, 1998; Shay et al., 1999; Bhattacharjee et al., 2001].

[5] There have also been some experiments focused on 3D properties of reconnection. Compact toroid (CT) merging studies with the TS-3 [Ono et al., 1997, 1996, 1993, 1990] machine measured co- and counter-helicity reconnection rate differences and observed ion heating by the “slingshot” effect, both of which are essentially 3D effects of the global toroidal geometry. However, 2D probe arrays were used for these magnetic structure measurements, and the geometry was made axisymmetric by construction. This enabled the poloidal flux function to be computed, thus inferring an axisymmetric reconnection rate. Reconnection and current sheet studies with the LAPD machine [Stenzel and Gekelman, 1979] used a linear 2D geometry. While 3D magnetic structure measurements were made by averaging over thousands of shots with a single movable probe in a highly reproducible plasma, the plasma was not in the MHD regime (\( \rho_i \gg L \)) and externally imposed vacuum fields were significant.

[6] In this Letter, we report the first experimental investigation of the 3D magnetic structure of reconnection in an MHD laboratory plasma. We present two main results, one...
related to topology, the other to dynamics. First, we have clear evidence for the spontaneous generation of a component of the magnetic field \( \mathbf{B} \) normal to the conventional 2D \( X \)-structure. This fundamentally 3D feature [Hesse and Schindler, 1988] appears in the reconnection layer at the ion inertial scale, and may represent an asymmetric signature of the Hall effect. Second, we derive from the probe array data the first experimental visualization of the 3D merger of flux tubes at MHD scales. We note that the large scale dynamics in 3D is consistent with what others have observed in 2D, \( \text{vis.} \) flux tubes of opposite magnetic helicity (counter-helicity) merge much more readily than do flux tubes of the same helicity (co-helicity) [Ono et al., 1990].

2. Experiment

[7] The magnetic structure measurements presented in this Letter were performed at the Swarthmore Spheromak Experiment (SSX) [Brown, 1999; Brown et al., 2002a, 2002b] using a \( 5 \times 5 \times 8 \) 3D array of vector magnetic probes inserted into a well defined volume where two spheromaks partially merge [Kornack et al., 1998], as illustrated in Figure 1. Independent plasma guns at each end of SSX generate spheromaks [Geddes et al., 1998] with either right-handed or left-handed magnetic helicity (twist). Figure 1 shows data for counter-helicity spheromaks. Two cylindrical copper flux conservers, 0.5 m in diameter, contain each spheromak. As indicated in the highlighted areas of the sketches in Figure 1, large back-to-back slots are cut out of the midplane walls of each flux conservser. The spheromaks therefore act as two reservoirs of magnetofluid which merge through the slots. Unique to SSX, this location is remote from the plasma sources (the guns): while neutral gas (hydrogen) and vacuum magnetic fields are introduced in the guns, only fully ionized plasma and embedded magnetic fields convect into the slots. The linear dimensions of the slots are large enough to allow significant interaction about the spheromak minor radius \( a = 0.13 \) m. Although the spheromaks are axisymmetric, the volume in the slots where the spheromaks merge (and where reconnection occurs) is inherently 3D. During the observed reconnection, the system is not externally driven, although it is never in complete equilibrium.

[8] The magnetic probe array measures the 3D magnetic structure formed when the spheromaks merge. Starting when the plasma guns fire \( (t = 0 \mu s) \), the 200 measurements of \( \mathbf{B} \) are recorded every \( 0.8 \mu s \) for the lifetime of the spheromaks \( (\approx 100 \mu s) \) using a custom set of multiplexing electronics [Landreman et al., 2003]. Detailed calibration and analysis of the probe array response with known test fields [Landreman et al., 2003] indicate that the field measurements are accurate to an absolute error of approximately \( 20 \) G. The expanded views in Figure 1 show a sample of the data at \( t = 64 \mu s \) from a single counter-helicity shot of SSX. Referring to the coordinate system introduced in Figure 1, the spatial resolution of the array is 1.5 cm in \( x \) and 1.9 cm in \( y \) and \( z \). The magnetofluid inflow is along the \( \pm \hat{z} \) directions into the slots.

[9] A variety of diagnostics have been used to characterize the SSX spheromaks. The plasma density, measured using quadrature laser interferometry to be \( n_e \approx 5 \times 10^{13} \text{cm}^{-3} \), corresponds to an ion inertial scale of \( c/\omega_{pi} \approx 2 - 3 \) cm. Triple Langmuir probes measure the electron temperature to be \( T_e \approx 10 - 30 \) eV, and energy analyzers estimate the ion temperature to be \( T_i \approx 30 \) eV. With an average magnetic field of \( \approx 500 \) G, the ion gyroradius \( \rho_i \approx 1 \) cm is much smaller than the physical scale \( L \) of both the flux conservers and the region of interest near the slots, \( \rho_i \ll L \). The Lundquist number \( S \), the ratio of the resistive magnetic diffusion time \( \tau_D = \mu \alpha L^2/\eta \) to the Alfvén transit time \( \tau_A \), is large for SSX, \( S \approx 100 - 1000 \). Accordingly, the SSX spheromaks are fully in the MHD regime \( (S \gg 1, \rho_i \ll L) \), and the resolution of the probe array measurements are at or below the characteristic MHD time and space scales.

3. Results

[10] Figure 2a summarizes the key topological result, obtained by integrating field lines and ribbons (sheets of field lines to accentuate the local twist) through the magnetic probe data of Figure 1. The four field ribbons drawn through the inflow (green) and outflow (gray) regions are seen to lie on a mostly planar 2D surface, indicating a magnetic structure consistent with the conventional paradigm for reconnection. These lines skirt the outer part of the reconnection region, staying a few \( c/\omega_{pi} \) away from the center of the \( X \)-structure. However, the structure of the inner part of the reconnection region departs dramatically from any 2D expectations, as indicated by the fifth field ribbon...
This reconnected ribbon sweeps through the X-structure from below, indicating the 3D structure of the central part of the reconnection region. The remaining parts of Figure 2 expand upon this dual field structure. Figure 2b shows the projection with more lines (blue/red are core lines with inflow/outflow connectivity). The projection shows that lines through the outer reconnection region are coplanar. The projection shows that lines through the inner (core) reconnection region are twisted into a 3D swept/sheared structure.

The 3D reconnection topology visualized with field lines and ribbons integrated through the data from Figure 1. (a) A self-generated guide field in the core of the reconnection region sweeps the red reconnected ribbon vertically ($\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B} > 0$). This purely 3D feature breaks the 2D symmetry seen a few $\omega_B / c$ from the core, where green (inflow) and gray (outflow) ribbons trace a conventional 2D $X$ structure. (b) The $y$ projection with more lines (blue/red are core lines with inflow/outflow connectivity). (c) The $z$ projection shows that lines through the outer reconnection region are coplanar. (d) The $z$ projection shows that lines through the inner (core) reconnection region are twisted into a 3D swept/sheared structure.

The origin of the self-generated field component indicated in Figure 2 is not clear. One outstanding possibility is that the Hall effect distorts the magnetic field within an ion inertial scale of the reconnection region. This is expected on the basis of both analytical and simulation studies [Shay et al., 1998; Wang et al., 2000]. In addition, there is some indication of the Hall effect on the structure of reconnection at the dayside magnetopause as measured by single spacecraft observations [Øieroset et al., 2001; Mozer et al., 2002]. However, the present results do not detect the characteristic Hall effect quadrupole structure, possibly due to the nonsteady and asymmetric nature of this “reconnec-
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tion event." We also cannot rule out limitations of the spatial probe resolution. Regardless of its origin, however, the self-generated field component indicates that 3D reconnection is occurring locally. Assuming that the reconnection electric field (not directly measured, but inferred from \(-v \times B\) in the inflow regions) threads this region, we are observing an effect fundamentally associated with 3D "finite B" reconnection [Schindler et al., 1988; Hesse and Schindler, 1988], namely a finite parallel electric field at the separator (\(E \cdot B \neq 0\)). This effect distinguishes 2D and 3D reconnection since \(E \cdot B \equiv 0\) trivially for the classical 2D paradigm. Note that \(E \cdot B > 0\) for the reconnected field line swept normal to the X-structure in Figure 2a.

5. Conclusion

[15] These first experimental results on the local 3D magnetic structure of MHD scale reconnection show some similarity to the expectations of 2D steady models, but also features that are intrinsically 3D. Familiar features include the expected 2D X-structure centered around a reconnection region, towards which magnetic flux is transported and from which emerge newly connected fields. Most importantly we describe fully 3D field effects: at the ion inertial scale, the reconnection region is nonsymmetric, nonsteady, and exhibits a characteristic swept/sheared structure. There is an apparent self-generation of a vertical guide field, resulting in "finite B" reconnection [Hesse and Schindler, 1988]. Flux tubes rendered from counter-helicity 3D data rapidly change from private unreconnected configurations into merged reconnected configurations, and the time scale for this appears to be compatible with fast reconnection at substantial fraction of the Alfvén speed.
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