Swarthmore College

Biology Faculty Works

Biology

3-1-2023

Adult And Regenerating Planarians Respond Differentially To Chronic Drug Exposure

Kevin Bayingana, '23

Danielle Ireland Swarthmore College, dhagstr1@swarthmore.edu

Elizabeth Rosenthal , '23

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://works.swarthmore.edu/fac-biology

• Part of the Biology Commons Let us know how access to these works benefits you

Recommended Citation

Kevin Bayingana , '23; Danielle Ireland; Elizabeth Rosenthal , '23; Christina Rabeler; and Eva-Maria S. Collins. (2023). "Adult And Regenerating Planarians Respond Differentially To Chronic Drug Exposure". *Neurotoxicology And Teratology.* Volume 96, DOI: 10.1016/j.ntt.2022.107148 https://works.swarthmore.edu/fac-biology/645

This work is brought to you for free by Swarthmore College Libraries' Works. It has been accepted for inclusion in Biology Faculty Works by an authorized administrator of Works. For more information, please contact myworks@swarthmore.edu.

Authors

Kevin Bayingana , '23; Danielle Ireland; Elizabeth Rosenthal , '23; Christina Rabeler; and Eva-Maria S. Collins

1 Adult and regenerating planarians respond differentially to chronic drug exposure

- Kevin Bayingana^{a*}, Danielle Ireland^{a*}, Elizabeth Rosenthal^{a*}, Christina Rabeler^a, and Eva-Maria
 S. Collins^{a,b,c+}
- 4
- 5 ^a Department of Biology, Swarthmore College, Swarthmore, Pennsylvania, United States of
- 6 America
- ⁷ ^bDepartment of Physics and Astronomy, Swarthmore College, Swarthmore, Pennsylvania, United
- 8 States of America
- 9 ^c Department of Neuroscience, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania,

10 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, United States of America

11

- 12
- 13 *these authors contributed equally to this work

14

- 15 + Corresponding author: Eva-Maria S. Collins
- 16 Email: ecollin3@swarthmore.edu
- 17 Address: 500 College Avenue, Swarthmore College, Swarthmore, PA 19081

18

20 Abstract

21 There is a lack of data on the effects of chronic exposure to common drugs and stimulants on the 22 developing nervous system. Freshwater planarians have emerged as a useful invertebrate model 23 amenable to high-throughput behavioral phenotyping to assay chemical safety in adult and developing brains. Here, we leverage the unique strength of the system to test in parallel for effects 24 25 on the adult and developing nervous system, by screening ten common drugs and stimulants (forskolin, clenbuterol, LRE-1, MDL-12,330A, adenosine, caffeine, histamine, mianserin, 26 27 fluoxetine and sertraline) using the asexual freshwater planarian Dugesia japonica. The 28 compounds were tested up to 100 µM nominal concentration for their effects on planarian morphology and behavior. Quantitative phenotypic assessments were performed on days 7 and 12 29 of exposure using an automated screening platform. The antidepressants sertraline and fluoxetine 30 were the most potent to induce lethality, with significant lethality observed at 10 µM. All ten 31 compounds caused sublethal morphological and/or behavioral effects, with the most effects, in 32 terms of potency and breadth of endpoints affected, seen with mianserin and fluoxetine. Four of 33 the compounds (forskolin, clenbuterol, mianserin, and fluoxetine) were developmentally selective, 34 causing effects at lower concentrations in regenerating planarians. Of these, fluoxetine showed the 35 36 greatest differences between the two developmental stages, inducing many behavioral endpoints in regenerating planarians but only a few in adult planarians. While some of these behavioral 37 effects may be due to neuroefficacy, these results substantiate the need for better evaluation of the 38 39 safety of these common drugs on the developing nervous system.

40

42 Keywords

- 43 drugs; fluoxetine; mianserin; behavior; brain development; New Approach Method
- 44

45 **1. Introduction**

Over-the-counter (OTC) and prescription drugs are widely used to treat common ailments. 46 According to data from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, about 46% of adults in 47 the United States used prescription drugs in the last 30 days (2019). More than 90% of women 48 49 take OTC drugs during pregnancy (Servey and Chang 2014) and most pregnant women use at least one prescription drug (Daw et al. 2011). Common OTC medications and prescription drugs used 50 during pregnancy include antidepressants, antiemetics, antibiotics, analgesics, histamine receptor 51 agonists and antagonists, heart medications, and cancer medication (Servey and Chang 2014; Haas 52 et al. 2018). Antidepressant usage is more than twice as common in women than in men (CDC 53 website). It is estimated that 2-3% of pregnant women take antidepressants during pregnancy 54 (Dubovicky et al. 2017), with some longitudinal studies citing prevalence as high as 6% (Haas et 55 al. 2018), raising concerns about possible side effects on the developing child. Selective serotonin 56 57 reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), such as fluoxetine and sertraline, are the most commonly used antidepressants during pregnancy (Cipriani et al. 2009; Dubovicky et al. 2017). 58

Antihistamine usage is also prevalent during pregnancy, to treat common allergies or dermatological symptoms like pruritus. About 10-15% of American women reported antihistamine use during pregnancy based on data from 1997 – 2011 (Hansen et al. 2020). Beta-adrenergic agonists are commonly used as bronchodilators as the treatment of choice for asthma, including during pregnancy (Billington et al. 2017). This drug class has also been used off-label to inhibit

64 uterine contractions during pre-term labor, though the effectiveness of this treatment is unclear
65 (The Canadian Preterm Labor Investigators Group 1992).

The safety of these drugs during pregnancy, however, remains unclear (So et al. 2010; 66 67 Hansen et al. 2020) and usage of some drugs, such as the beta-adrenergic agonists, has been correlated with increased risk of neurological disorders, including Autism Spectrum Disorder 68 69 (Witter et al. 2009). It is particularly difficult to ascertain the safety of these chemicals to the developing embryo because of the ethical concerns of human clinical trials with pregnant women. 70 71 Thus, safety decisions are largely based on animal data that is expensive and time-consuming to 72 obtain. Because of these limitations, the possible long-term effect that prenatal and early life exposure to these drugs has on the developing nervous system is largely understudied. Therefore, 73 there is an urgent need for alternative high-throughput new approach methods to fill this data gap. 74 High-throughput in vitro testing using mammalian or human cell lines has become a popular 75 alternative for some toxicity assays, e.g., skin sensitization tests, and has successfully replaced 76 traditional animal testing (OECD 2021). However, neurotoxicity and developmental neurotoxicity 77 studies are especially difficult to assess ex-vivo (Bal-Price et al. 2010). Nervous system 78 development and function depends on a complex network of signaling pathways spanning multiple 79 80 cell types (e.g., neurons and glia), which is hard to recapitulate in 2-D culture systems. Therefore, 81 non-mammalian organismal models have gained popularity for detecting systems-level adverse 82 outcomes on the nervous system in a time- and cost-efficient manner (Peterson et al. 2008; 83 Giacomotto and Ségalat 2010). Invertebrate models, such as fruit flies (Rand 2010; Chifiriuc et al. 2016), nematodes (Helmcke et al. 2010; Ruszkiewicz et al. 2018; Hunt et al. 2020), and freshwater 84 flatworms (planarians) (Hagstrom et al. 2016; Wu and Li 2018), occupy a special role in this 85 context. Due to their small size, they are amenable to high-throughput screening (HTS) in 48-, 96-86

or even 384-well plate formats, allowing for rapid screening of large chemical libraries (Ségalat
2007; Helmcke et al. 2010; Rand 2010; Giacomotto and Ségalat 2010; Hagstrom et al. 2016; Zhang
et al. 2019a).

90 Fruit flies and nematodes, however, are difficult to dose with chemicals. Fruit flies cannot be grown in culture and nematodes have a cuticle which impedes chemical absorption (Giacomotto 91 92 and Ségalat 2010; Kokel et al. 2012). In contrast, planarians are easily exposed to chemicals in their aquatic environment. Moreover, planarians have a wide array of robust phenotypic behaviors 93 94 that are amenable to automated analysis and can be used for efficient functional screening of 95 neurotoxicants (Zhang et al. 2019a; Ireland et al. 2020). Moreover, because of the similar size of adult and regenerating planarians, it is possible to screen adult and developing animals together 96 using the same assays, enabling unprecedented studies comparing chemical toxicity in adults and 97 during development (Hagstrom et al. 2015; Zhang et al. 2019a, b). 98

99 This unique strength allows us to evaluate whether chronic (12 day) exposure to commonly 100 used drugs and stimulants differentially affects the developing versus the adult nervous system. We chose a set of ten compounds that are known to affect adenyl cyclase, beta-adrenergic receptor, 101 adenosine receptor, histamine receptor, or 5-HT (serotonin) receptor activities in the mammalian 102 brain (Table 1), pathways which are targeted by some common drugs as described above. The 103 specific compounds were selected based on their possible use during pregnancy, including OTC 104 (forskolin, caffeine) and prescription drugs (fluoxetine, sertraline, mianserin, clenbuterol, 105 adenosine), or for their known use in research to target some of these pathways (LRE-1, MDL-106 12,330A, histamine). Adenosine and caffeine were included because maternal cardiac arrhythmias 107 108 are common during pregnancy and can be treated with intravenous injection of adenosine for acute termination of certain types of tachycardia (Ferrero et al. 2004; Joglar and Page 2012). Caffeine is 109

an antagonist for the adenosine receptor and widely consumed among pregnant women in the U.S.
(Chen et al. 2014). Moreover, several of the ten compounds have existing studies in flatworms
(forskolin, caffeine, MDL-12, 330A, mianserin, histamine, fluoxetine, sertraline; Supplemental
Table) enabling a direct comparison of our results with the literature.

Using an automated, robotic HTS system, we assayed a broad array of morphological and behavioral endpoints at two time points (days 7 and 12). We found that there were differential effects of some of these drugs, most notably mianserin and fluoxetine, both in terms of endpoints affected and in potency, in adult and regenerating planarians. Regenerating planarians were especially sensitive to fluoxetine exposure, warranting further studies into the vulnerable time for fluoxetine exposure and the molecular mechanisms by which fluoxetine may affect the developing brain.

121

122 **2.** Materials and methods

123 **2.1. Planarian maintenance**

We used asexual *D. japonica* planarians cultivated as described previously (Zhang et al. 2019a; Ireland et al. 2020). Planarians were reared in plastic containers with 0.5 g/L Instant Ocean (IO) water (Spectrum Brands, Blacksburg, VA, USA) and stored in the dark at 20°C when not used for experiments. Planarians were fed beef liver once or twice per week and cleaned the day of feeding and two days after feeding.

129

130 **2.2.** Chemicals

131	A list of the 10 drugs tested (including CAS number identifier and water solubility) is provided in
132	Table 1. All chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA) and had a
133	purity of \geq 98%. Stock solutions were prepared in 100% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, Sigma-
134	Aldrich). Each drug was tested at a nominal concentration of 1, 3.16, 10, 31.6, and 100 μ M, with
135	a final DMSO concentration of 0.5% , which has no effect on the morphology or behavior of D .
136	japonica (Hagstrom et al. 2015). Because significant effects were present in the lowest tested
137	concentrations of fluoxetine and mianserin in regenerating planarians, these chemicals were
138	rescreened in regenerating planarians at 0.00316, 0.01, 0.0316, 0.1, and 0.316 μM and at 0.316
139	μ M, respectively. L-ascorbic acid (100 μ M) was used as a negative control because it previously
140	had no effect on any endpoint in our screening assay (Zhang et al. 2019a).

Table 1: Chemical overview. The highest concentration of all chemicals tested was 100 μM. Water
solubility information was obtained from the Sigma-Aldrich safety data sheet, DrugBank, or PubChem.
Because activation of beta-adrenergic receptors subsequently upregulates adenyl cyclase, clenbuterol was
grouped with the chemicals targeting adenyl cyclase. N/A: not available.

Chemical	Mode of action	CAS #	Water solubility (µM)
Forskolin	Adenyl cyclase agonist	66575-29-9	2.7 X 10 ³
Clenbuterol hydrochloride	Beta-adrenergic receptor agonist	21898-19-1	3.6 X 10 ²
LRE-1	Adenyl cyclase antagonist	1252362-53-0	N/A
MDL-12,330A hydrochloride	Adenyl cyclase antagonist	40297-09-4	8.0 X 10 ³
Adenosine	Adenosine receptor agonist	58-61-7	5.2 X 10 ⁴
Caffeine	Adenosine receptor antagonist	58-08-2	1.1 X 10 ⁵
Histamine dihydrochloride	Histamine receptor agonist	56-92-8	9.0 X 10 ⁵
Mianserin hydrochloride	Histamine receptor antagonist	21535-47-7	7.7 X 10 ²
Fluoxetine hydrochloride	Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor	56296-78-7	4.0 X 10 ⁴
Sertraline hydrochloride	Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor	79559-97-0	0.4
L-ascorbic acid	Negative control	50-81-7	1.4 X 10 ⁶

147 **2.3.** Chemical exposure

Screening plate set-up was performed as previously described (Zhang et al. 2019a). On the day of 148 149 plate set-up (day 1), we randomly selected normally gliding worms that had been starved for at 150 least 5 days. For adult planarians, we used worms of 5-10 mm length. For the regenerating planarians, slightly larger individuals were selected such that the final sizes of the amputated tail 151 152 pieces were similar to that of the adult planarians. Regenerating worms were amputated below their auricles and above their pharynx with an ethanol-sterilized razor blade shortly before (< 3 153 hours) exposing them to the test compounds. The chemicals were tested on adult and regenerating 154 155 worms separately, with the 5 concentrations and the 0.5% DMSO control in separate rows of each 48-well plate (Genesee Scientific, San Diego, CA; catalog # 25-108). The plates were sealed with 156 157 ThermalSeal RTS seals (Excel Scientific, Victorville, CA) and kept in the dark, except for during 158 screening. For each drug and concentration, we screened 3 replicates with n=8 planarians for each replicate, for a total n=24. For some chemicals, reruns were performed due to issues with vehicle 159 control health or technical malfunctions, and thus n>24 for certain conditions. For each replicate, 160 the orientation of the chemical concentrations was shifted to reduce the impact of edge effects 161 (Zhang et al. 2019a). 162

163

164 2.4. Screening endpoints

Using a modified version of the fully automated HTS platform (Zhang et al. 2019a), we tested adult and regenerating worms using morphological and behavioral endpoints on day 7 and day 12 post-exposure. Our screening platform consists of a robotic microplate hander (Hudson Robotics,

168	Springfield Township, NJ), and multiple cameras and assay stations as previously described
169	(Zhang et al. 2019a).

170 Systemic toxicity endpoints consisted of lethality, body shape, eye regeneration (for regenerating 171 worms only), and stickiness. Body shape was categorized both as the presence of any abnormal body shape and also separated out into distinct body shapes (e.g., lesion, contracted, C-shape, 172 173 corkscrew, muscle waves) (Hagstrom et al. 2016; Ireland et al. 2020). Criteria used to distinguish 174 the body shapes are provided in Table 2 and example images are in Figure 1. Each planarian could 175 be scored with up to 3 different abnormal body shapes. Behavioral endpoints consisted of measures 176 of locomotion and spatial exploration, phototaxis, thermotaxis, and response to noxious heat, as described previously (Zhang et al. 2019a; Ireland et al. 2020, 2022). For all endpoints, data was 177 178 analyzed using custom MATLAB scripts (MathWorks, Natick, MA). Any manual analyses were 179 conducted by an investigator without knowledge of the identity of the drugs.

180

Table 2: Body shape definitions. Definitions included are only for shapes observed in this study.

Body shape	Definition				
Lesions	Loss of pigment, head regression or abnormal head shape, partial				
	disintegration				
Contracted Shortened length, presence of ruffling along the exterior, imm					
C-shaped	haped C-shape, curled, or on its side				
Corkscrew	Twisting around itself, screw-like hyperkinesia				
Muscle Waves	Muscle waves, oscillation of length (e.g., peristalsis or scrunching (Cochet-Escartin et al. 2015))				





Figure 1. Examples of abnormal body shapes. (A-D) Example images of static body shapes: A) lesion, B)
contracted, C) C-shape, and D) corkscrew. The inset in (A) shows a zoom-in of the lesioned area on the
worm. E) Example image sequence of the dynamic muscle wave body shape. Muscle wave is shown as an
oscillatory sequence of movement that begins from the head and propagates down the longitudinal axis of
the planarian body. Scale bar: 2 mm. Brightness of the original images was adjusted as necessary to aid
visualization. Exposure conditions: A: MDL-12, 330A; B, D, and E: mianserin; C: sertraline.

190

191 **2.5. Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed on compiled data from at least triplicate runs. Each concentration was compared with its 0.5% DMSO control as previously described (Zhang et al. 2019a). For lethality, eye regeneration, body shape, stickiness and scrunching endpoints, statistical significance was determined using one tailed Fisher's exact test. For speed, resting, thermotaxis, phototaxis, noxious heat sensing, anxiety, and locomotor bursts, we used a parametric two-tailed t-test or a nonparametric two-tailed Mann-Whitney U test depending on whether the sample 198 distribution was normal or not, respectively. Normality of the samples was determined using 199 Lilliefor's test. A p-value less than 0.05 was statistically significant. Speed endpoints consisted of the average speed in 30 second bins throughout the phototaxis assay. For the dark period, if a 200 201 specific concentration of a drug caused a significant effect in at least half of the increments, then it was marked as a hit for the dark period. For the blue light period, a hit in either of the 30 second 202 203 bins constituted a hit for the whole light period. This distinction between light and dark was made because we observed more variability in the control behavior during the dark period. 204 We excluded inconsistent hits where only one replicate was statistically significant while the other 205 206 two were not, as in (Zhang et al. 2019a). Figure 2 provides an overview of our statistical pipeline.

207 We report the data using lowest observed effect levels (LOELs), indicative of the lowest 208 concentration with a statistically significant hit.



209



211

212 **2.6.** Eye regeneration

To more closely evaluate effects on eye regeneration, regenerating planarians were exposed to mianserin and fluoxetine at 0.316, 1, 3.16 μ M. The presence of eyes was manually scored every day until day 5. Duplicate experiments, each with 5 worms per condition, were performed for total

216 of n=10 per condition.

218 **2.7. Acetylcholinesterase activity**

219 Thirty-six adult or regenerating planarians were exposed to either 0.5% DMSO solvent control, 220 3.16 µM fluoxetine, or 31.6 µM mianserin for 12 days. The planarians were maintained in 12-well 221 plates (Genesee Scientific), with 6 planarians per well and a total volume of 1.2 mL of the test solution to keep the ratio of chemical/planarian consistent with the screening set-up. Any fission 222 223 events or planarians from wells with death were excluded from the assay. Post-exposure, the planarians were washed 3X with IO water and homogenized in 1% Triton X-100 in PBS as 224 225 described in (Hagstrom et al. 2017, 2018a). An Ellman assay (Ellman et al. 1961) was performed 226 using an Acetylcholinesterase activity assay kit (Sigma-Aldrich). Absorbance was read at 412 nm every minute for 10 minutes using a VersaMax (Molecular Devices, San Jose, CA) 227 228 spectrophotometer. Acetylcholinesterase activity was calculated as the rate of change of absorbance per minute during the linear portion of the reaction and normalized by protein 229 concentration as determined by a Coomassie (Bradford) protein assay kit (Thermo Scientific, 230 Waltham, MA). Activity was compared to the solvent control samples (set at 100% activity). 231 Activity measurements were performed with at least three technical replicates and at least 2 232 independent experiments (biological replicates). 233

234


235 **3. Results**

236 **3.1 Effects in adult planarians**


Lethality was observed for all drugs in adult planarians in the tested concentration range (up to 100 μ M), except for adenosine, caffeine, and histamine (**Figure 3A**). The tested SSRIs, fluoxetine and sertraline, showed the greatest toxicity, with significant lethality in adult planarians as low as

10 μ M. Notably, sertraline has generally low water solubility (0.4 μ M, **Table 1**), but as we observed lethality starting at 10 μ M, a sufficient amount must be solubilized in our conditions, likely aided by the addition of DMSO. Mianserin was the only compound to cause abnormal body shapes (muscle waves and corkscrew) at day 7, which appeared with exposure as low as 1 μ M. On day 12, abnormal body shapes (c-shapes) were also observed for adenosine at 100 μ M. Increased stickiness was only observed in adult planarians exposed to 10 μ M MDL-12,330A.

All test compounds caused behavioral effects in adult planarians at sublethal concentrations 246 (Figure 3B). Scrunching was one of the most sensitive endpoints which showed concentration-247 248 dependent hits. While hits were sometimes observed at lower concentrations in some of the other endpoints, they were often concentration-independent. When considering the locomotion-based 249 250 endpoints (speed, resting), we observed both hypo- and hyper-active effects. Forskolin, 251 clenbuterol, and histamine caused largely hyperactive effects (increased speed, decreased resting). 252 Clenbuterol caused increased speed on day 7 but decreased speed on day 12, suggesting a potential 253 change of mechanism over time. Other compounds, such as LRE-1, MDL-12,330A, adenosine, 254 mianserin, and sertraline caused decreased speed and/or increased resting. Notably, the adenyl 255 cyclase and beta-adrenergic receptor drugs showed opposing effects, with the agonists clenbuterol 256 and forskolin causing hyperactivity, while the antagonists LRE-1 and MDL-12,330A caused hypoactivity. Additionally, these four drugs caused effects on scrunching and/or the strength of 257 258 reaction to the heat (noxious stimuli strength), though the directionality of these effects was not 259 correlated with the agonism/antagonism of the pathways.







indicates concentration-independent effects. Arrows in (B) indicate the directionality of the effect comparedto the vehicle controls.

The tested adenosine receptor drugs, adenosine and caffeine, caused relatively few hits, with significant effects only seen on day 12. Adenosine caused concentration-independent effects in speed in the blue period, anxiety and thermotaxis at 1 μ M, as well as defects in phototaxis and scrunching at 100 μ M. Similarly, with caffeine, concentration-dependent effects were only observed for scrunching at 31.6 μ M.

275 Mianserin caused hypoactive effects on many endpoints, with significant effects observed for

11/18 behavioral endpoints and effects on both day 7 and day 12, at concentrations as low as 1 μ M

277 for concentration-independent effects and 3.16 μM for concentration-dependent effects.

For the SSRIs, we observed relatively few behavioral effects and only at the highest sublethal concentration (3.16 μ M). For fluoxetine, effects on both day 7 (anxiety) and day 12 (phototaxis) were observed, whereas sertraline caused effects only on day 7 (speed blue, total locomotor bursts and phototaxis).


282

3.2. Effects in regenerating planarians

Lethality was observed for forskolin, LRE-1, MDL-12,330A, mianserin, fluoxetine, and sertraline in regenerating planarians (**Figure 4A**). For forskolin, mianserin, and fluoxetine, lethality at day 12 was preceded by morphological effects (i.e., abnormal body shapes or eye regeneration defects) at day 7 at the same concentration. The lesions induced by forskolin at day 7 suggest that the planarians were getting sick before forskolin became significantly lethal at day 12. As in adult

planarians, fluoxetine and sertraline showed the greatest toxicity, with significant lethality at 10
µM.

Effects on planarian body shapes at sublethal concentrations were observed for MDL-12,330A, adenosine, histamine, and mianserin. Planarians exposed to 31.6 μ M MDL-12,330A displayed contraction on day 7. Adenosine at 10 μ M caused concentration-independent contracted body shapes at day 7. Mianserin induced abnormal body shapes as low as 1 μ M (muscle waves), though this was concentration-independent. Concentration-dependent effects on shapes were observed starting at 10 μ M mianserin and included c-shapes, corkscrew, and muscle waves. Histamine caused c-shapes at day 12 at 100 μ M. MDL-12,330A caused increased stickiness on day 12.



298

Figure 4: Heat map of effects in regenerating planarians. The lowest observed effect levels (LOELs) on days 7 (d7) and 12 (d12) of exposure are indicated for (A) systemic toxicity endpoints and (B) behavioral endpoints. For all endpoints besides lethality, only sublethal effects are shown. Drugs boxed are thought to act on the same receptor, with the drugs in the left-half of each box being agonists and the drugs in the right-half being receptor antagonists. The negative control L-ascorbic acid was only tested at 100 µM. *

indicates concentration-independent effects. Arrows in (B) indicate the direction of effects in comparisonto the vehicle controls.

306

All compounds caused sublethal behavioral effects in regenerating planarians (**Figure 4B**). Like in adult planarians, forskolin caused hyperactive effects on locomotion. However, unlike in adults, clenbuterol did not affect locomotion in regenerating planarians and LRE-1 caused hyperactive effects on day 12. Thus, the agonist/antagonist relationship seen with adult planarians was not found in the regenerating planarians. However, noxious heat sensation was still largely affected by the adenyl cyclase and beta-adrenergic receptor drugs as all compounds, except for forskolin, caused effects on scrunching and/or the noxious stimuli strength endpoint.

Adenosine and caffeine caused more hits in regenerating planarians than in adults, but these were 314 mostly concentration-independent. Histamine similarly only caused concentration-independent 315 316 effects or effects at the highest tested concentration (100 μ M), whereas mianserin affected many 317 behavioral endpoints, some of them at the lowest test concentrations. The most sensitive concentration-dependent effect was decreased anxiety on day 12, which was seen as low as 0.316 318 319 μ M. All other effects at this concentration were concentration-independent, i.e., they did not show 320 a monotonic concentration response. Fluoxetine similarly affected many behavioral endpoints with great sensitivity. Significant effects were seen as low as 0.0316μ M, though concentration-321 dependent hits were observed starting at 0.316 μ M. Many of these sensitive hits represented 322 323 hyperactive effects on locomotion. This is in stark contrast to what was observed in the adult planarians, where very few endpoints were affected and only starting at 3.16 µM. Unlike 324 fluoxetine, sertraline caused similar effects in adults and regenerating planarians as few endpoints 325 (anxiety, phototaxis, and noxious stimuli strength) were affected at the highest sublethal 326

327 concentration (3.16 μ M) and only at day 12. The breadth of effects, overall sensitivity, and 328 differential sensitivity between developing and adult planarians seen with mianserin and fluoxetine 329 prompted us to investigate these compounds in more detail.

330

331 **3.3 Mianserin and fluoxetine**

Mianserin greatly changed the behavior of adult and regenerating planarians and concentration 332 appeared to play an important role in the manifestations of the different phenotypes. For example, 333 a mix of different abnormal body shapes were observed in both adult and regenerating planarians 334 and the predominant body shape was concentration-dependent (Figure 5A). In adult worms at day 335 7, low to mid concentrations of mianserin (1, 10 µM) induced primarily muscle waves. Starting at 336 31.6 µM, muscle waves were observed concomitantly with c-shapes and corkscrews. At 100 µM 337 (which was lethal by day 12), the body shapes were predominantly c-shapes or a mix of c-shapes 338 and muscle waves. On day 12, adult worms showed muscle waves at 10 µM and contraction and 339 c-shapes at 31.6 μ M. Similar patterns were seen with the regenerating planarians. On day 7, the 340 occurrence of any body shape was statistically significant starting at 10 µM; however, no one body 341 shape showed statistical significance as a low incidence of contraction, c-shapes, and muscle 342 waves was observed. Like in adults, a mixture of c-shapes and muscle waves predominated at 31.6 343 μ M (which was lethal at day 12). Unlike in the adult worms, only a low, non-statistically 344 significant level of contraction was observed in the day 12 regenerating planarians. 345





Figure 5: The effects of mianserin change with concentration. A) The percentage of abnormal body shapes observed at each concentration (μ M) of mianserin is shown for adult and regenerating worms on days 7 and 12. No data are shown for concentrations with significant lethality (marked with X). B)

350 Concentration-response curves of day 7 and day 12 phototaxis scores for adult and regenerating planarians
351 exposed to mianserin. * indicates statistical significance (p<0.05).

Additionally, a non-monotonic concentration relationship was observed in day 7 phototaxis behavior for regenerating planarians exposed to mianserin (**Figure 5B**). At 0.316 μ M, a statistically significant increase in phototaxis behavior was observed, whereas at 10 and 31.6 μ M significant decreases in phototaxis behavior were observed. At day 12, the hyperactive effect at 0.316 μ M was retained, but a decrease in phototaxis behavior was not seen at the higher concentrations. In adults, only hypoactive effects on phototaxis were observed. Similar trends were also observed for speed in the blue period.

Mianserin caused many effects at both developmental stages (Figure 6A), but the potency was 359 360 different in the two worm types, with regenerating planarians displaying more sensitivity as they showed hits at lower concentrations compared to adult worms. As mentioned above, in 361 regenerating planarians, mianserin exhibited both hyper- and hypo-active effects on locomotion, 362 depending on the concentration, whereas only hypoactive locomotor effects were observed in the 363 364 adults. In contrast, fluoxetine had minimal sublethal effects in adult worms - only lowering planarians' anxiety and thermotaxis at the highest sublethal concentration (3.16 μ M). However, 365 fluoxetine affected many endpoints related to locomotion in regenerating worms (Figure 6B) and 366 367 at much lower concentrations (as low as 0.0316 µM). Several of these effects were hyperactive, such as increased speed, decreased resting, and increased phototaxis. Although some of these 368 effects were concentration-independent, some, such as increased speed in the dark and blue periods 369 at day 12, were concentration-dependent with effects seen at 0.316, 1 and 3.16 μ M. 370

371



372

Figure 6: Differential effects of mianserin and fluoxetine on adult and regenerating planarians. Interaction of A) mianserin or B) fluoxetine with the different endpoint classes for adult and regenerating planarians. Connections were made if the chemical caused a hit at either day 7 or 12 at any tested concentration. Effects on speed in the dark period, resting, or locomotor bursts were combined into the "Motility" category. Speed(B): speed in the blue period, PT: Phototaxis, NS: noxious stimuli. For endpoints that had effects in either direction, either the positive (+) or negative (-) direction of the effects are noted.

In vitro studies have found that fluoxetine and other anti-depressants increase neuronal 380 proliferation rates (Chang et al. 2009). Thus, because we cannot measure regeneration rates on our 381 HTS platform, (though we can detect delayed eye regeneration at day 7, as observed with $31.6 \,\mu M$ 382 mianserin), we assayed eye regeneration using stereo microscopy. Regenerating planarians were 383 exposed to either mianserin or fluoxetine at 0.316, 1, or 3.16 μ M, or to 0.5% DMSO (vehicle 384 control) and eye regeneration was manually scored every day from days 1-5. All planarians had 385 386 no eyes on day 4 and had 2 eyes on day 5, demonstrating no difference associated with chemical exposure (Supplemental Figure 1A). 387

Additionally, antidepressants have been found to alter acetylcholinesterase expression and/or function in a variety of animal systems (Yang et al. 2018), including humans (Müller et al. 2002). Therefore, we evaluated whether acetylcholinesterase activity was altered in adult or regenerating planarians exposed for 12 days to either 3.16 μ M fluoxetine, or 31.6 μ M mianserin. These concentrations were the highest sublethal tested concentrations in adult worms. No significant inhibition was observed at these concentrations (**Supplemental Figure 1B**).

394

395 4. Discussion and conclusions

It is well known that the developing brain is more sensitive to chemical exposure. However, we 396 have little knowledge on the differential effects of compounds on the developing versus the adult 397 398 brain, because studies in developing organisms are difficult. HTS using the planarian D. japonica is promising to fill this gap, as it allows for the parallel study of chemicals on adult and developing 399 400 brains, using multiple quantitative morphological and behavioral endpoints. This allows us to detect specific developmental effects and distinguish them from general effects on the nervous 401 system. Our screening work so far has focused on studying the effects of potential neurotoxicants 402 on brain development and function; here, we study a group of 10 neuroactive drugs and stimulants, 403 which we expected to show both desired neuroactive effects and neurotoxic effects. Caffeine, 404 fluoxetine, 405 and sertraline have known aquatic toxicity in the mM range 406 (https://cfpub.epa.gov/ecotox/). However, reported toxicity in *Daphnia* is at least an order of magnitude higher than our highest test concentration (100 µM). Except for adenosine, caffeine, 407 and histamine, we observed lethality at the highest 1-3 test concentrations for all compounds in 408 409 regenerating and/or adult planarians, and any morphological or behavioral effects at these lethal

410 concentrations are therefore likely due to systemic toxicity. Thus, we focused our analysis on the411 sublethal morphological and behavioral effects of these compounds.

412

413 4.1 Differential effects in adult versus regenerating planarians

All ten compounds produced behavioral changes at sublethal concentrations in both adult and regenerating planarians. Comparing outcomes for the two developmental stages, we discovered that many of the tested compounds caused differential effects in adult versus regenerating planarians. Lethality was generally induced at the same or lower concentrations in adult planarians than in regenerating planarians, similar to previously observed trends (Zhang et al. 2019a; Ireland et al. 2022). Only mianserin caused lethality at lower concentrations in regenerating planarians than in adults.

Looking at the sublethal effects on a per endpoint basis, we found that scrunching was a 421 sensitive endpoint in adult planarians, with 6/10 compounds causing decreased scrunching in 422 response to noxious heat. In contrast, only 3/10 compounds affected scrunching in regenerating 423 planarians, with one hit being concentration-independent. Interestingly, regenerating planarians 424 425 had more hits in the noxious stimulus strength measure, with 8/10 compounds showing effects (2) concentration-independent), whereas in adult planarians only 5/10 had effects, and three of those 426 were concentration-independent. The interpretation of these differences is difficult because the 427 428 molecular regulation of noxious heat sensation and the planarians' behavioral responses are poorly 429 understood. Cholinergic signaling seems to be involved in regulating planarian behavior in 430 response to noxious heat because chemical and molecular inhibition of acetylcholinesterase can 431 cause defects in these endpoints (Hagstrom et al. 2018b; Zhang et al. 2019b; Ireland et al. 2022). However, the identity of the heat sensitive receptors in D. japonica remains to be determined 432

(Sabry et al. 2019) as well as how the initial noxious heat sensation is processed in the nervous
system to cause the stereotypical muscle-driven periodic body length scrunching oscillations
(Cochet-Escartin et al. 2015).

Regenerating planarians had increased sensitivity to four of the tested compounds 436 (forskolin, clenbuterol, mianserin, and fluoxetine), showing lower overall LOELs than the adult 437 438 planarians. The most striking example of this increased sensitivity was fluoxetine, which only showed decreased anxiety and thermotaxis in adult planarians at 3.16 µM, whereas it affected 12 439 non-lethality endpoints in regenerating planarians, with effects starting as low as 0.0316 µM (0.316 440 µM for concentration-dependent effects). The finding that both adult and regenerating planarians 441 exposed to fluoxetine showed decreased anxiety may be related to fluoxetine's action as an SSRI. 442 The diversity in phenotypic profiles between the two developmental stages suggests that different 443 targets may also be affected by fluoxetine in regenerating planarians or that the same targets have 444 different roles during development versus in the adult organism. One such target may be 445 446 acetylcholinesterase, which has been found to be inhibited by fluoxetine in other systems (Müller et al. 2002) and has been suggested to play a role in development, which may or may not rely on 447 its enzymatic activity (Bigbee et al. 2000; Paraoanu et al. 2006; Layer et al. 2013). However, we 448 449 did not observe significant inhibition of acetylcholinesterase at 31.6 µM fluoxetine, the highest sublethal tested concentration, in either adult or regenerating planarians. Notably, several of the 450 451 effects in regenerating planarians were concentration-independent and/or indicative of 452 hyperactivity, which may be the result of neuroactive and not neurotoxic effects of the drug. Since fluoxetine is approved for use in children as young as 8 years old to treat depression and bipolar 453 454 disorder, the observed sensitivity of the regenerating worms suggests that further studies into 455 possible adverse effects during neurodevelopment may be warranted.

456

457 4.2 HTS can recapitulate findings from low-throughput studies

Existing studies on these compounds in freshwater planarians or parasitic flatworms 458 (Schistosoma mansoni) have been low-throughput and primarily based on manual scoring. Most 459 studies focused on acute (\leq 3 hours) effects in adult worms, with a few exceptions that also 460 461 investigated the effect of subacute (3 - 24 hours), short-term (2-3 days) or chronic (>3 days) exposure and/or on development and regeneration (reviewed in Supplemental Table). Inter-462 species comparisons with planarians can be challenging as different planarian species can have 463 464 distinct sensitivities (Ireland et al. 2020) and even behavioral responses (Sabry et al. 2019) to the same chemical. However, comparisons are still useful to investigate whether HTS can recapitulate 465 the general findings from low-throughput studies. 466

Decreases in motility have been found in adult flatworms acutely exposed to MDL-467 12,330A (Matsuyama et al. 2004), mianserin (Currie and Pearson 2013; Talbot et al. 2014; Chan 468 et al. 2016; Shettigar et al. 2021), sertraline (Thumé and Frizzo 2017; Weeks et al. 2018), and high 469 concentrations of caffeine (Best and Morita 1982; Moustakas et al. 2015). Previous studies on the 470 effects of caffeine in planarians have only found behavioral effects at much higher concentrations 471 472 than we tested here (mM instead of μ M). At these high concentrations, acute/subacute exposure to 473 caffeine of different Dugesia species induced morphological defects (contractions and C-like 474 hyperkinesia), paralysis, head lesions, and eventually death (Best and Morita 1982; Rawls et al. 475 2010; Li 2013; Moustakas et al. 2015). We found that chronic exposure to low concentrations caused few behavioral or morphological effects, suggesting that low to moderate concentrations 476 477 are tolerated by adult and developing planarians. Notably, moderate consumption of up to 200 mg

478 caffeine/day during pregnancy is considered safe by the American College of Obstetricians and
479 Gynecologists (American College of Obstetricians 2010).

Acute exposure to mianserin has previously been shown to induce muscle-based movement 480 in Schmidtea mediterranea planarians (Currie and Pearson 2013; Talbot et al. 2014). Our finding 481 that low to mid-concentrations of mianserin cause a significant induction of muscle waves suggests 482 483 that mianserin acts similarly in D. japonica. In addition to motility defects, exposure to 10 µM mianserin has been reported to induce regeneration of two-headed D. japonica planarians 6% of 484 the time in amputated trunk pieces, suggesting weak effects on body axis polarity (Chan et al. 485 486 2014). Here, defects in eye regeneration at day 7 were observed with 31.6 µM, which causes significant lethality by day 12. 487

Acute to short-term exposure to sertraline has been reported to cause many morphological 488 effects, including degeneration in the parasitic flatworm S. mansoni (Weeks et al. 2018) and 489 induction of seizures, c-shapes, and screw-like hyperkinesia at low concentrations (1 µM-10 µM) 490 491 in the freshwater planarian Dugesia tigrina (Thumé and Frizzo 2017), with 10 µM causing lesions and death in D. tigrina at 72 hours. We also observed death at 10 µM in both adult and regenerating 492 planarians but did not observe any significant changes in morphology. However, it is possible that 493 494 the observed body shape phenotypes are short-acting and thus would be missed by only screening the worms at days 7 and 12. 495

Increases in motility (hyperactivity) have been reported after exposure to fluoxetine (Patocka and Ribeiro 2013; Zewde et al. 2018; Ofoegbu et al. 2019b, a; Duguet et al. 2020) and forskolin (Matsuyama et al. 2004; de Saram et al. 2013; Hirst et al. 2016) in free-living and parasitic flatworms. We also observed hyperactivity with these chemicals in our HTS chronic exposure paradigm here. Most of the chronic effects of fluoxetine observed in this study were in

regenerating planarians, whereas past studies have primarily used adult organisms. However, one study has found decreased transformation of somules of the parasitic flatworm *S. mansoni* from the miracidium stage to the primary sporocyst stage after exposure to 2 μ M fluoxetine (Taft et al. 2010). *S. mansoni* (Patocka and Ribeiro 2013; Duguet et al. 2020) and *S. mediterranea* (Ofoegbu et al. 2019b, a) exhibited increased motility in response to exposure to fluoxetine across varying time-scales. We also found increased speed and decreased resting at 0.316 μ M fluoxetine in regenerating worms.

Exposure to forskolin has been found to decrease transformation from the miracidium stage 508 509 to the primary sporocyst stage in S. mansoni (Kawamoto et al. 1989; Taft et al. 2010). Similarly, we found that chronic exposure to 100 µM forskolin induced lesions at day 7 in regenerating 510 planarians which preceded death at day 12. As observed previously (Ireland et al. 2022), lesions 511 512 appear to be an early indicator of systemic toxicity. Histamine (180 µM) has been found to induce morphological distortions (primarily supernumerary eyes) in regenerating trunk and tail fragments 513 514 of Dugesia lugubris (Csaba and Bierbauer 1974). We did not observe any effects on eye regeneration at up to 100 µM histamine, though we did find an increase in c-shapes and contraction 515 on day 12 in 100 µM histamine-exposed regenerating D. japonica. 516

517

In summary, we find that our morphological and behavioral planarian HTS platform allows us to detect previously reported phenotypic changes induced by these compounds with robust, quantitative readouts, in a fraction of the time, and with the unique ability to distinguish between effects on the adult and the developing brain in a single experiment. Moreover, for adult planarians, additional screening could be performed to evaluate acute effects (except for noxious heat sensation, which has negative health consequences and thus is only evaluated on the last screening

day); regenerating worms are largely immobile initially, hampering behavioral assays, but
morphological changes could still be evaluated.

526

527 4.3 Efficacy versus toxicity

Parsing out efficacy from toxicity at sublethal concentrations for chronic exposure 528 529 conditions is difficult, especially since the pharmacology of these compounds in planarians is not 530 understood. Chronic exposure scenarios are important to study, however, because these chemicals are being used for weeks, months, or years. Naively, we expected to see phenotypic patterns 531 reflecting that compounds with a shared mechanism of action will activate (agonists) or block 532 (antagonist) similar molecular pathways. However, except for the few instances highlighted in the 533 534 Results (Section 3), there was not a clear pattern within effects caused by agonistic and antagonistic drug pairs. Partially, this may be a question of concentration; since we expect effects to be 535 concentration-dependent, it may be difficult to ascertain the observed antagonistic profiles when 536 summarizing effects across concentrations, as done here. For example, mianserin showed several 537 hyperactive hits at low concentrations in regenerating planarians, but only hypoactive hits at higher 538 concentrations. Mianserin has a complex pharmacology as it can act as an antagonist at histamine 539 receptors, serotonin (5-HT) receptors and α 2 adrenoceptors, with varying levels of affinity (Pinder 540 2009) and has been shown to reduce the activity of 5-HT receptors in D. japonica (Chan et al. 541 542 2016). Thus, depending on the concentration, mianserin could be differentially targeting these various receptors, leading to changes in phenotypic outcomes. It is possible that these hyperactive 543 hits for mianserin, as well as the ones observed with forskolin and fluoxetine, are indicative of 544 545 desired neuroactive effects whereas the hypoactive effects reflect neurotoxicity. Timing can also be an important factor here, as we would expect neuroactive effects to manifest quicker than 546

adverse effects; however, both are determined by compound metabolism, which is unknown inplanarians.

549 One limitation of our HTS paradigm is static chemical exposure, which does not accurately 550 capture human consumption of these chemicals, which would be dynamic, with regular, repeated dosing. If chemicals were replaced daily, the sensitivity would likely be increased; however, it is 551 552 unclear whether the endpoints affected would change or the responses would simply be shifted to lower concentrations. Having a direct comparison of these two exposure scenarios would be an 553 554 interesting future avenue for specific case studies but daily solution changes are clearly not 555 practical for HTS of many chemicals, whose purpose is to provide rapid and efficient hazard identification and prioritization of compounds for further in-depth studies. 556

557

558 Acknowledgements

559 The authors would like to thank Veronica Bochenek for help with data analysis. Kevin Bayingana 560 was funded by the Tarble Summer Research Fellowship. Elizabeth Rosenthal was funded by the 561 Frances Velay Women's Science Summer Research Fellowship from the Panaphil Foundation.

562

563 **References**

564 American College of Obstetricians (2010) ACOG CommitteeOpinion No. 462: Moderate

caffeine consumption during pregnancy. Obstet Gynecol 116:467–468.

566 https://doi.org/10.1097/AOG.0B013E3181EEB2A1

567 Bal-Price AK, Hogberg HT, Buzanska L, Coecke S (2010) Relevance of in vitro neurotoxicity

- testing for regulatory requirements: challenges to be considered. Neurotoxicol Teratol
- 569 32:36–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NTT.2008.12.003
- 570 Best JB, Morita M (1982) Planarians as a model system for in vitro teratogenesis studies.
- 571 Teratog Carcinog Mutagen 2:277–291. https://doi.org/10.1002/1520-
- 572 6866(1990)2:3/4<277::AID-TCM1770020309>3.0.CO;2-8
- 573 Bigbee JW, Sharma K V, Chan EL, Bögler O (2000) Evidence for the direct role of
- acetylcholinesterase in neurite outgrowth in primary dorsal root ganglion neurons. Brain
- 575 Res 861:354–362. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-8993(00)02046-1
- 576 Billington CK, Penn RB, Hall IP (2017) β2-agonists. Handb Exp Pharmacol 237:23.
- 577 https://doi.org/10.1007/164_2016_64
- 578 Chan JD, Agbedanu PN, Zamanian M, et al (2014) 'Death and Axes': Unexpected Ca2+ Entry
- 579 Phenologs Predict New Anti-schistosomal Agents. PLOS Pathog 10:e1003942.
- 580 https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PPAT.1003942
- 581 Chan JD, Grab T, Marchant JS (2016) Kinetic profiling an abundantly expressed planarian
- serotonergic GPCR identifies bromocriptine as a perdurant antagonist. Int J Parasitol Drugs
- 583 Drug Resist 6:356–363. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpddr.2016.06.002
- 584 Chang EA, Beyhan Z, Yoo MS, et al (2009) Increased cellular turnover in response to fluoxetine
- in neuronal precursors derived from human embryonic stem cells. Int J Dev Biol 54:707–
- 586 715. https://doi.org/10.1387/IJDB.092851EC
- 587 Chen L, Bell EM, Browne ML, et al (2014) Exploring maternal patterns of dietary caffeine
- 588 consumption before conception and during pregnancy. Matern Child Health J 18:2446–

589 2455. https://doi.org/10.1007/S10995-014-1483-2

- 590 Chifiriuc MC, Ratiu AC, Popa M, Ecovoiu A Al (2016) Drosophotoxicology: An Emerging
- 591 Research Area for Assessing Nanoparticles Interaction with Living Organisms. Int J Mol
- 592 Sci 17:. https://doi.org/10.3390/IJMS17020036
- 593 Cipriani A, Furukawa TA, Salanti G, et al (2009) Comparative efficacy and acceptability of 12
- new-generation antidepressants: a multiple-treatments meta-analysis. Lancet 373:746–758.
- 595 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60046-5
- 596 Cochet-Escartin O, Mickolajczk KJ, Collins E-MS (2015) Scrunching: a novel escape gait in
- 597 planarians. Phys Biol 12:055001. https://doi.org/doi: 10.1088/1478-3975/12/5/056010
- 598 Csaba G, Bierbauer J (1974) Investigations on the specificity of hormone receptors in planarians.

599 Gen Comp Endocrinol 22:132–134. https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-6480(74)90095-1

- 600 Currie KW, Pearson BJ (2013) Transcription factors lhx1/5-1 and pitx are required for the
- 601 maintenance and regeneration of serotonergic neurons in planarians. Dev 140:3577–3588.
- 602 https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.098590
- Daw JR, Hanley GE, Greyson DL, Morgan SG (2011) Prescription drug use during pregnancy in

604 developed countries: a systematic review. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf 20:895–902.

- 605 https://doi.org/10.1002/PDS.2184
- de Saram PSR, Ressurreição M, Davies AJ, et al (2013) Functional Mapping of Protein Kinase A
- 607 Reveals Its Importance in Adult Schistosoma mansoni Motor Activity. PLoS Negl Trop Dis
- 608 7:. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0001988
- 609 Dubovicky M, Belovicova K, Csatlosova K, Bogi E (2017) Risks of using SSRI / SNRI

- antidepressants during pregnancy and lactation. Interdiscip Toxicol 10:30.
- 611 https://doi.org/10.1515/INTOX-2017-0004
- Duguet TB, Glebov A, Hussain A, et al (2020) Identification of annotated bioactive molecules
- that impair motility of the blood fluke Schistosoma mansoni. Int J Parasitol Drugs Drug
- 614 Resist 13:73–88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jjpddr.2020.05.002
- Ellman GL, Courtney KD, Andres V, Featherstone RM (1961) A new and rapid colorimetric
- determination of acetylcholinesterase activity. Biochem Pharmacol 7:88–95.
- 617 https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-2952(61)90145-9
- 618 Ferrero S, Colombo BM, Ragni N (2004) Maternal arrhythmias during pregnancy. Arch Gynecol
- 619 Obstet 269:244–253. https://doi.org/10.1007/S00404-002-0461-X
- 620 Giacomotto J, Ségalat L (2010) High-throughput screening and small animal models, where are

621 we? Br J Pharmacol 160:204. https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1476-5381.2010.00725.X

- Haas DM, Marsh DJ, Dang DT, et al (2018) Prescription and Other Medication Use in
- 623 Pregnancy. Obstet Gynecol 131:789. https://doi.org/10.1097/AOG.0000000002579
- Hagstrom D, Cochet-Escartin O, Collins E-MS (2016) Planarian brain regeneration as a model
- system for developmental neurotoxicology. Regeneration 3:65–77.
- 626 https://doi.org/10.1002/reg2.52
- Hagstrom D, Cochet-Escartin O, Zhang S, et al (2015) Freshwater planarians as an alternative
- animal model for neurotoxicology. Toxicol Sci 147:270–285.
- 629 https://doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kfv129
- Hagstrom D, Hirokawa H, Zhang L, et al (2017) Planarian cholinesterase: in vitro

631	characterization of an evolutionarily ancient enzyme to study organophosphorus pesticide
632	toxicity and reactivation. Arch Toxicol 91:2837-2847. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00204-016-
633	1908-3
634	Hagstrom D, Zhang S, Ho A, et al (2018a) Planarian cholinesterase: molecular and functional
635	characterization of an evolutionarily ancient enzyme to study organophosphorus pesticide
636	toxicity. Arch Toxicol 92:1161-1176. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00204-017-2130-7
637	Hagstrom D, Zhang S, Ho A, et al (2018b) Planarian cholinesterase: molecular and functional
638	characterization of an evolutionarily ancient enzyme to study organophosphorus pesticide
639	toxicity. Arch Toxicol 92:1161–1176. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00204-017-2130-7
640	Hansen C, Desrosiers TA, Wisniewski K, et al (2020) Use of antihistamine medications during
641	early pregnancy and selected birth defects: The National Birth Defects Prevention Study,
642	1997-2011. Birth Defects Res 112:1234-1252. https://doi.org/10.1002/BDR2.1749
643	Helmcke KJ, Avila DS, Aschner M (2010) Utility of Caenorhabditis elegans in high throughput
644	neurotoxicological research. Neurotoxicol Teratol 32:62-67.
645	https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NTT.2008.11.005
646	Hirst NL, Lawton SP, Walker AJ (2016) Protein kinase A signalling in Schistosoma mansoni
647	cercariae and schistosomules. Int J Parasitol 46:425-437.
648	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2015.12.001
649	Hunt PR, Camacho JA, Sprando RL (2020) Caenorhabditis elegans for predictive toxicology.
650	Curr Opin Toxicol 23-24:23-28. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COTOX.2020.02.004
651	Ireland D, Bochenek V, Chaiken D, et al (2020) Dugesia japonica is the best suited of three

- planarian species for high-throughput toxicology screening. Chemosphere 253:126718.
- 653 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.126718
- Ireland D, Zhang S, Bochenek V, et al (2022) Differences in neurotoxic outcomes of
- organophosphorus pesticides revealed via multi-dimensional screening in adult and
- regenerating planarians. bioRxiv 496617
- Joglar JA, Page RL (2012) Treatment of Cardiac Arrhythmias During Pregnancy. Drug Saf 1999
 201 20:85–94. https://doi.org/10.2165/00002018-199920010-00008
- 659 Kawamoto F, Shozawa A, Kumada N, Kojima K (1989) Possible roles of cAMP and Ca2+ in the
- regulation of miracidial transformation in Schistosoma mansoni. Parasitol Res 75:368–374.
- 661 https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00931132
- Kokel D, Rennekamp AJ, Shah AH, et al (2012) Behavioral barcoding in the cloud: embracing
- data-intensive digital phenotyping in neuropharmacology. Trends Biotechnol 30:421–425.
- 664 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2012.05.001
- Layer PG, Klaczinski J, Salfelder A, et al (2013) Cholinesterases in development: AChE as a
- 666 firewall to inhibit cell proliferation and support differentiation. Chem Biol Interact
- 667 203:269–276. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbi.2012.09.014
- Li MH (2013) Acute toxicity of 30 pharmaceutically active compounds to freshwater planarians,
- Dugesia japonica. Toxicol Environ Chem 95:1157–1170.
- 670 https://doi.org/10.1080/02772248.2013.857671
- 671 Matsuyama H, Takahashi H, Watanabe K, et al (2004) The involvement of cyclic adenosine
- monophosphate in the control of Schistosome miracidium cilia. J Parasitol 90:8–14.

673 https://doi.org/10.1645/GE-52R1

- 674 Moustakas D, Mezzio M, Rodriguez BR, et al (2015) Guarana provides additional stimulation
- over caffeine alone in the planarian model. PLoS One 10:.
- 676 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0123310
- 677 Müller TC, Rocha JBT, Morsch VM, et al (2002) Antidepressants inhibit human
- acetylcholinesterase and butyrylcholinesterase activity. Biochim Biophys Acta 1587:92–98.
- 679 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-4439(02)00071-6
- 680 OECD (2021) Guideline No. 497: Defined Approaches on Skin Sensitisation. In: OECD
- 681 Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals. OECD Publishing, Paris
- 682 Ofoegbu PU, Campos D, Soares AMVM, Pestana JLT (2019a) Combined effects of NaCl and
- fluoxetine on the freshwater planarian, Schmidtea mediterranea (Platyhelminthes:
- 684 Dugesiidae). Environ Sci Pollut Res 26:11326–11335. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-
- 685 04532-4
- 686 Ofoegbu PU, Lourenço J, Mendo O, et al (2019b) Effects of low concentrations of psychiatric
- drugs (carbamazepine and fluoxetine) on the freshwater planarian, Schmidtea mediterranea.

688 Chemosphere 217:542–549. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.10.198

- 689 Paraoanu LE, Steinert G, Klaczinski J, et al (2006) On functions of cholinesterases during
- 690 embryonic development. J Mol Neurosci 30:201–4. https://doi.org/10.1385/JMN:30:1:201
- 691 Patocka N, Ribeiro P (2013) The functional role of a serotonin transporter in Schistosoma
- 692 mansoni elucidated through immunolocalization and RNA interference (RNAi). Mol
- Biochem Parasitol 187:32–42. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molbiopara.2012.11.008

- 694 Peterson RT, Nass R, Boyd WA, et al (2008) USE OF NON-MAMMALIAN ALTERNATIVE
- 695 MODELS FOR NEUROTOXICOLOGICAL STUDY. Neurotoxicology 29:546.
- 696 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NEURO.2008.04.006
- 697 Pinder RM (2009) Mianserin: Pharmacological and clinical correlates.
- 698 http://dx.doi.org/103109/08039489109096678 45:13–26.
- 699 https://doi.org/10.3109/08039489109096678
- Rand MD (2010) Drosophotoxicology: the growing potential for Drosophila in neurotoxicology.
- 701 Neurotoxicol Teratol 32:74. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NTT.2009.06.004
- Rawls SM, Patil T, Yuvasheva E, Raffa RB (2010) First evidence that drugs of abuse produce
- behavioral sensitization and cross sensitization in planarians. Behav Pharmacol 21:301–313.
 https://doi.org/10.1097/FBP.0b013e32833b0098
- Ruszkiewicz JA, Pinkas A, Miah MR, et al (2018) C. elegans as a model in developmental
- neurotoxicology. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 354:126–135.
- 707 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TAAP.2018.03.016
- Sabry Z, Ho A, Ireland D, et al (2019) Pharmacological or genetic targeting of Transient
- Receptor Potential (TRP) channels can disrupt the planarian escape response. PLoS One
- 710 14:e0226104. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0226104
- 711 Ségalat L (2007) Invertebrate animal models of diseases as screening tools in drug discovery.
- 712 ACS Chem Biol 2:231–236. https://doi.org/10.1021/CB700009M
- 713 Servey J, Chang J (2014) Over-the-Counter Medications in Pregnancy American Family
- 714 Physician. Am Fam Physician 90:548–555

- 715 Shettigar N, Chakravarthy A, Umashankar S, et al (2021) Discovery of a body-wide
- photosensory array that matures in an adult-like animal and mediates eye-brain-independent
- 717 movement and arousal. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 118:.
- 718 https://doi.org/10.1073/PNAS.2021426118
- So M, Bozzo P, Inoue M, Einarson A (2010) Safety of antihistamines during pregnancy and
- 720 lactation. Can Fam Physician 56:427
- 721 Taft AS, Norante FA, Yoshino TP (2010) The identification of inhibitors of Schistosoma
- mansoni miracidial transformation by incorporating a medium-throughput small-molecule
- screen. Exp Parasitol 125:84–94. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exppara.2009.12.021
- Talbot JA, Currie KW, Pearson BJ, Collins EMS (2014) Smed-dynA-1 is a planarian nervous
- system specific dynamin 1 homolog required for normal locomotion. Biol Open 3:627–634.
- 726 https://doi.org/10.1242/bio.20147583
- 727 The Canadian Preterm Labor Investigators Group (1992) Treatment of Preterm Labor with the
- Beta-Adrenergic Agonist Ritodrine. N Engl J Med 327:308–312.
- 729 https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199207303270503
- 730 Thumé IS, Frizzo ME (2017) Sertraline Induces Toxicity and Behavioral Alterations in
- 731 Planarians. Biomed Res Int 2017:. https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/5792621
- 732 Weeks JC, Roberts WM, Leasure C, et al (2018) Sertraline, Paroxetine, and Chlorpromazine Are
- 733 Rapidly Acting Anthelmintic Drugs Capable of Clinical Repurposing. Sci Rep 8:.
- 734 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-18457-w
- 735 Witter FR, Zimmerman AW, Reichmann JP, Connors SL (2009) In utero beta 2 adrenergic

736	agonist exposur	e and adverse n	europhysiolo	gic and hel	navioral outco	mes. Am J Obstet
/ 50	ugombi enposu		curopiny biolo	Lie und bei		

- 737 Gynecol 201:553–559. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AJOG.2009.07.010
- 738 Wu JP, Li MH (2018) The use of freshwater planarians in environmental toxicology studies:
- Advantages and potential. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 161:45–56.
- 740 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2018.05.057
- Yang M, Liu S, Hu L, et al (2018) Effects of the antidepressant, mianserin, on early development
- of fish embryos at low environmentally relevant concentrations. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf
- 743 150:144–151. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ECOENV.2017.12.024
- Zewde AM, Yu F, Nayak S, et al (2018) PLDT (planarian light/dark test): an invertebrate assay
- to quantify defensive responding and study anxiety-like effects. J Neurosci Methods
- 746 293:284–288. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2017.10.010
- 747 Zhang S, Hagstrom D, Hayes P, et al (2019a) Multi-behavioral endpoint testing of an 87-
- chemical compound library in freshwater planarians. Toxicol Sci 167:105–115.
- 749 https://doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kfy145
- Zhang S, Ireland D, Sipes NS, et al (2019b) Screening for neurotoxic potential of 15 flame
- retardants using freshwater planarians. Neurotoxicol Teratol 73:54–66.
- 752 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ntt.2019.03.003