

Swarthmore College

Works

Biology Faculty Works

Biology

2019

Towards A Developmental Biology Of Holobionts

Scott F. Gilbert

Swarthmore College, sgilber1@swarthmore.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <https://works.swarthmore.edu/fac-biology>



Part of the [Biology Commons](#)

[Let us know how access to these works benefits you](#)

Recommended Citation

Scott F. Gilbert. (2019). "Towards A Developmental Biology Of Holobionts". *Perspectives On Evolutionary And Developmental Biology*. 13-22.

<https://works.swarthmore.edu/fac-biology/565>

An [accessible version](#) of this publication has been made available courtesy of Swarthmore College Libraries. For further accessibility assistance, please contact openaccess@swarthmore.edu with the title or URL of any relevant works.

This work is brought to you for free by Swarthmore College Libraries' Works. It has been accepted for inclusion in Biology Faculty Works by an authorized administrator of Works. For more information, please contact myworks@swarthmore.edu.

Towards a developmental biology of holobionts

Scott F. Gilbert

Swarthmore College, Swarthmore, PA, USA

University of Helsinki, Finland

Abstract

We do not develop as monogenomic organisms, instructed solely from the DNA and cytoplasm of the zygote. Rather, we are *holobionts*, symbiotic consortia containing numerous microbial genomes, whose signals are critically important for our normal development. Microbes play crucial roles in forming and maturing animal guts, immune systems, nervous systems, and reproductive organs. In some species, they regulate such developmental phenomena as the proper orientation of the anterior-posterior axis and metamorphosis. One of the biggest challenges to developmental biology, then, is studying the developmental biology of holobionts, where co-development is the rule, and where the body is seen as a collection of interdependent ecosystems.

What a profession this is – this daily inhalation of wonder.
(Jean Rostand, 1962)

You complete me.
(Dorothy Boyd, in *Jerry Maguire*, by Cameron Crowe, 1996)

Becoming with others

In the past century, the biological world has gone from a Darwin-Wallace paradigm, through a Dawkins-Collins phase, and is now entering the Margulis-Lewontin era. To be sure, the worldviews of each of the earlier eras, like evolving species or religions, are still present while the newer ones arise; but the Margulis-Lewontin view of biology emphasizes cooperative interactions and interpenetrations between individuals, rather than the predominantly competitive

interactions of the earlier paradigms. As Richard Lewontin (2002) documented, the boundaries of the organism are more porous, interpenetrating and interacting with the environment. The genotype gives us a repertoire of potential phenotypes, and the phenotype is often determined by environmental agents. And as Lynn Margulis (1998) showed, organisms can no longer be seen as “monogenic,” bearing only the genes derived from the zygote. Rather, each organism is a *holobiont*, a symbiotic consortium with numerous microbes. Each organism is an ecosystem, and complex organisms, such as ourselves, are biomes, containing numerous ecosystems. Indeed, in this new view of the world, those animals most fit to survive are often those with the best systems of cooperation. As Richard Powers (2018, p. 142) concluded in his analysis of forests and their humans, “Competition is not separable from endless flavors of cooperation.”

The Margulis-Lewontin perspective of biology highlights developmental plasticity and symbiosis (Levins and Lewontin, 1985; Margulis and Sagan, 2003; Gilbert and Tauber 2016). Developmental plasticity is most obviously seen in “individuals”, where the environment has agency, along with the genome, such that environmental agents generate different phenotypes from the same genotype (West-Eberhardt 2003; Minelli and Fusco 2010; Sultan 2017). Temperature, for instance, can determine the pigment patterns of some butterflies and the sex of many reptiles. Plasticity can also be seen in the “environment”. Here, the environment is not a given context. Rather, habitats are formed by interactions between the organisms developing in them and as part of them. This extension of plasticity into the environment is called *niche construction* (Laland *et al.*, 2008).

Symbiosis can be a source of both constraint and flexibility (Bennett and Moran 2016). In the latter mode, it provides the organism with flexibility derived from numerous other genetic systems. Indeed, while we receive some 22,000 pairs of genes from our parents, we get on the order of 8 million different genes from our symbionts (Funkhauser and Bordenstein 2013; McFall-Ngai *et al.*, 2013). Although symbiosis can be parasitic or mutualistic, symbiosis is usually used to describe mutualistic, reciprocally beneficial, interactions between consenting adults. The cow, for instance, is a domesticated female bovid that digests grass. Only, it can't digest grass, as its genome contains no gene encoding cellulose-digesting enzymes. Ditto for wood-eating termites, whose genome contains no lignin-digesting genes. In both cases, their respective abilities to digest cellulose and wood come from the colonies of microbial symbionts located within their guts. The microbes get food and shelter; the animal gets a crucial source of nutrition.

Indeed, symbiosis is the signature of life on earth, whether we are speaking about the nitrogen-fixating symbioses of legumes and rhizobacteria, the mycor-

rhizal interactions with plant roots and seeds, the coral reef and tidal seagrass symbioses that sustain oceanic diversity, or the insect pollinators of plants. And within these grand symbioses are the smaller symbioses we call organisms, cells, and genomes. The “organism” is not an “individual,” in the sense of being a solitary organism. Rather, it is a collection of interpenetrating ecosystems. The microbes on our skin and in our guts are essential for our normal physiological, mental, and immune relationships (Gilbert *et al.*, 2012, 2015; McFall-Ngai *et al.*, 2013).

What fascinates me is that symbionts are not only required for normal animal functioning; they are also necessary for normal animal development. This is revolutionary. Throughout the Twentieth Century, it had been assumed that the zygote contained all the genes and proteins needed for normal development under permissive conditions. Development was seen as a read-out of the genes acquired at fertilization (Keller, 1992, 2002). This was our origin story, following the standard Western origin narrative of unity, diversity, and restoration (Haraway, 1985, 2017). Developmental symbiosis – *sympoiesis* – has literally queered the story, adding an important layer of interactive non-heterosexual intercourse – the microbes.

The new story of developmental symbiosis has several points of origin, of which two groups framed much the discussion – Margaret McFall-Ngai and Ned Ruby’s studies of the squid light organ and Jeffrey Gordon’s studies of mouse intestines. The squid isn’t born with a light organ. Rather, it binds members of a particular marine bacteria species onto its abdomen (poisoning all others), and the light organ is formed by the interactions of the squid cells and the *Vibrio fischeri* bacteria. The light organ then houses the bacteria, brings them to a critical density, and controls its bioluminescence (McFall-Ngai, 2014; Aschtgen *et al.*, 2016). In Gordon’s laboratory, the Paneth cells of the mouse intestine were seen to transcribe different amounts of mRNA depending on whether particular bacteria are present (Hooper *et al.*, 2001; Camp *et al.*, 2014). Certain species of *Bacteroides* are responsible for the “normal” amounts of mRNA that encode enzymes (such as colipase), paracrine factors (such as angiogenin-4), and structural proteins (such as Sprr2a). Germ-free mice (having no gut microbes) have about 10% the amount of angiogenin-4 mRNA as conventionally raised mice; and the normal amount of this message can be regained by adding *Bacteroides* to the gut. The Angiogenin-4 protein helps make gut capillaries, the blood vessels that bring food to the rest of the body. The gut capillary network of germ-free mice is very poor (Stappenbeck *et al.*, 2002). So we mammals get a lot of work from our *Bacteroides* symbionts. They help make us who we are. And *Bacteroides* gets help from the host, the zoon. Not only does the mammalian gut provide

Bacteroides with good food and housing; the host's Angiogenin-4 has a second use – it kills *Listeria*, the major competitor of *Bacteroides* (Hooper *et al.*, 2003; Cash *et al.*, 2006). Development involves some niche construction on the part of the microbes.

Developmental symbiosis has been found throughout the animal kingdom (McFall-Ngai, 2002; Douglas, 2010, 2018; Gilbert and Epel, 2015). In mammals, bacteria are critical for the development of the gut capillaries, the enteric neurons, and the gut-associated lymphoid tissue. In zebrafish, bacteria regulate the division of the gut stem cells as well as the normal proliferation of the insulin-producing beta-cells of the pancreas. Without these particular microbes, there is a paucity of differentiated gut epithelium (Rawls, 2004; Hill *et al.*, 2016). Moreover, some of these developmentally critical bacteria are rather rare members of the microbiome. In zebrafish, for instance, the *Aeromonas* bacteria that stimulates beta cell proliferation are such a very rare component of the gut microbiome that it has no signature in the genomic sequence data (Hill *et al.*, 2016). This leads to the concern that our desire for cleanliness might be wiping out bacteria that are essential for *our* normal development (Blaser, 2014).

We mammals inherit most of our microbes from our mother. Indeed, this is a third pattern of inheritance, following those of nuclear chromosomes and mitochondria (Funkhauser and Bordenstein, 2013; Chiu and Gilbert, 2015; Roughgarden *et al.*, 2017). After our amnion breaks and we pass through the birth canal, we become colonized by microbes. Moreover, the microbes we pick up are not the usual ones. Rather, the microbial populations of the vagina and distal gut are changed during the last trimester of human pregnancy (Koren *et al.*, 2012; Romero *et al.*, 2014). And when the mother feeds the new baby, not all of the food is for the baby. Another part, consisting of oligosaccharides unable to be digested by mammals, are specifically for *Bifidobacteria*, one of the microbes that is helpful for the colonization of the gut by other beneficial microbes (Garrido *et al.*, 2016). The bacteria in mothers' milk appear to be particularly important in inducing the formation of the helper T cells that prevent opportunistic infections (Ardeshir *et al.*, 2014). A specific set of microbes is passed from generation to generation to complete normal development. Birth is the passing from one set of symbiotic relationships to another.

In invertebrates, there are particularly strong associations between bacteria, immune defense, and metamorphosis (Douglas 2010, 2018). Here, the interactions of microbes and development are so strong that many insects develop special cells, *bacteriocytes*, to contain the symbionts. These interactions between invertebrates and microbes can start very early. In the nematode *Brugia malayi*, *Wolbachia* bacteria are responsible for the correct anterior-posterior pattern of

the second mitotic division (Landmann *et al.*, 2014). In pillbugs, *Wolbachia* can transform genetically male pillbugs into females. In several species, symbionts are critical for the development of reproductive organs or general larval growth. Microbes are also critically important for molting and metamorphosis in several species. Many species cannot molt properly without the digestive enzymes produced by symbiotic microbes, and many marine invertebrates need other organisms (bacteria, algae) to provide the signals for settlement and metamorphosis (Hadfield, 2011; Gilbert and Epel, 2015).

The brain and the immune system present their own developmental interactions with microbes. Gut microbes are not only capable of communicating with the adult brain, but they also appear to be critical for normal brain development (Sampson and Mazmanian 2015). In germ-free mice, the brain microglial cells (tissue macrophages that are critical in homeostasis and disease prevention) do not complete their maturation (Erny *et al.*, 2015), and Diaz Heijtz *et al.* (2011, p. 3051) concluded that “during evolution, the colonization of gut microbiota has become integrated into the programming of brain development, affecting motor control and anxiety-like behavior.” Indeed, there are two major ways to experimentally generate symptoms of autism in mice by manipulating the microbes of the mother. First, mice born from germ-free mothers and who are themselves without microbes have a syndrome that includes obsessive self-grooming and asocial behavior (Debonnet *et al.*, 2014). Second, one can induce such autism-like features in young mice by giving a large immune insult to the mother while she is pregnant. This causes changes in brain development *in utero*, but these alterations only arise if particular types of bacteria are present to augment the immune challenge (Kim *et al.*, 2017). Moreover, several of these symptoms seem to be cured by adding a different set of microbes into the newborn mice’s guts (Hsaio *et al.*, 2013). Thus, there is an entirely new region of developmental neurobiology – how the symbionts interact with the developing brain.

And there is another new science of holobiont immunology (Tauber, 2008, 2017; Pradeu, 2012; Gilbert and Tauber, 2016). If the immune system is supposed to kill all that is not “self”, then how do these bacteria even enter our body? Just as developmental biology is changing from seeing development as the readout of the genome, so immunology is changing from the view that the immune system exists to defend the organism against the hostile outside world. Certainly that’s a part of it (as development also involves the readout of the genome), but it’s far from being the whole picture. The defensive role of the immune system appears to be a subset of a much larger function in mediating our relationships, both positive and negative, with microbes. Just like the immune system of the bobtail squid, the mammalian immune system allows certain microbes

entry, while preventing the penetration of other bacteria and fungi. Not only are microbes needed for the maturation of the gut lymphoid tissue; microbial colonization is also critical for the normal development of T-lymphocytes and B-lymphocytes in the intestinal mucosa (Wesemann *et al.*, 2013) as well as for inducing the specific lymphocyte populations that balance the immune response at mucosal surfaces (Ohnmacht *et al.*, 2015). Lee and Mazmanian (2010, p. 1768) conclude, “Multiple populations of intestinal immune cells require the microbiota for their development and function.” Different types of T cells are made depending on which bacteria colonize our guts (Ardeshir *et al.*, 2014). The immune system is a holobiont property; it’s not merely the host’s immune system. It’s the holobiont’s immune system. So this means that we should no longer consider ourselves genetically pure. Our immune system facilitates the entry of some microbes and excludes the entry of others.

We complete each other

This has major implications for evolutionary biology (Roughgarden *et al.*, 2017). First, the “tree of life” has become like real trees – full of symbionts. In addition to the genetic lineage provided by our reproductive parents, there are also genetic lineages provided by the symbionts we acquire from our mother and from our environment (Margulis and Fester 1991; Margulis and Sagan 2003). These microbial lineages interact with the eukaryotic lineage in many different ways. Indeed, the microbial lineages can provide selectable genetic traits (Douglas, 2010; Gilbert *et al.*, 2010; Kikuchi *et al.*, 2012; Moran and Yun, 2015), and they are involved with species formation (Brucker and Bordenstein, 2103). Second, if (as evolutionary developmental biology postulates) changes in development are critical for making evolutionary changes in anatomy and physiology, those changes in development could also entail symbionts. Such symbiont-mediated changes in development may even be responsible for such evolutionary transitions as the origins of animal multicellularity (Dayel *et al.*, 2011; Alegado *et al.*, 2012), the mycorrhizal symbiosis that enabled plants to live on land (Heckman *et al.*, 2001), the origin of mammals (Dupressoir *et al.*, 2011; Lynch *et al.*, 2011), and origins of herbivory in insects and vertebrates (Gilbert, in preparation).

We have numerous genomes whose products interact to generate our phenotypes. Monogenomic organisms are in the clade of Cryptid vertebrates whose other members include Nessie, Sasquatch, and the Abominable Snowman. It is dubious that any exist. Therefore, zoology (as well as plant sciences) should deal with this fact. Physiology, developmental biology, immunology, neurobiology, and evolutionary biology each have to concern themselves with this “new imperative for the life sciences” (McFall-Ngai *et al.*, 2013). Developmental biol-

ogy can no longer be seen as the read-out of the zygote genome. Development entails “becoming with” others (Haraway, 2008), generating a body consisting of physiologically connected ecosystems. Developmental biology has also to consider co-development, the body as a constructed niche (Laland *et al.*, 2008; Gilbert *et al.*, 2012). That is the challenge for our field – to study the developmental biology of holobionts.

Acknowledgements

I wish to acknowledge the enjoyment of being with Sandro Minelli around a restaurant table at a Vatican conference and near the statue of Niccolo Tomaseo “El Cagalibri”, in Venice. And I wish to thank him and Giuseppe Fusco for their creating the wonderful environment for young scientists at the Summer School for Evolutionary Developmental Biology. I thank G. Fusco and A. Moczek for their very constructive comments on earlier stages of this manuscript.

References

- Aschtgen, M.S., Wetzell, K., Goldman, W., McFall-Ngai, M., Ruby, E. 2016. *Vibrio fischeri*-derived outer membrane vesicles trigger host development. *Cellular Microbiology*, 18: 488–499.
- Alegado, R.A., *et al.* 2013. *Algoriphagus machipongonensis* sp. nov. co-isolated with a colonial choanoflagellate. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, 63(Pt.1): 163–168.
- Ardehsir, A., *et al.* 2014. Breast-fed and bottle-fed infant rhesus macaques develop distinct gut microbiotas and immune systems. *Science Translational Medicine*, 6: 252r120.
- Bates, J.M., E. Mittge, J. Kuhlman, K.N. Baden, S.E. Cheesman, Guillemin, K. 2006. Distinct signals from the microbiota promote different aspects of zebrafish gut differentiation. *Developmental Biology*, 297: 374–386.
- Bennett, G.M., Moran, N.A. 2015. Hereditary symbiosis: the advantages and perils of an evolutionary rabbit hole. *Proceedings of the National Academies of Science USA*, 112: 10169–10176.
- Blaser, M. 2014. *Missing Microbes*. Henry Holt, New York.
- Brucker, R.M., Bordenstein, S.R. 2013. The hologenomic basis of speciation: gut bacteria cause hybrid lethality in the genus *Nasonia*. *Science*, 341: 667–9.
- Camp, J.G., *et al.* 2014. Microbiota modulate transcription in the intestinal epithelium without remodeling the accessible chromatin landscape. *Genome Research*, 24: 1504–15016.
- Cash, H.L., Whitman, C.V., Benedict, C.L., Hooper, L.V. 2006. Symbiotic bacteria direct expression of an intestinal bactericidal lectin, *Science*, 313: 1126–1130.
- Chiu, L. Gilbert, S.F. 2015. The birth of the holobiont: Multi-species birthing through mutual scaffolding and niche construction. *Biosemiotics*, 8:191–210.

- Dayel, M.J., *et al.* 2011. Cell differentiation and morphogenesis in the colony-forming choanoflagellate *Salpingoeca rosetta*. *Developmental Biology*, 357: 73–82.
- Desbonnet, L., Clarke, G., Shanahan, F., Dinan, T.G., Cryan, J.F. 2014. Microbiota is essential for social development in the mouse. *Molecular Psychiatry*, 19: 146–148.
- Diaz Heijtz, R.D., *et al.* 2011. Normal gut microbiota modulates brain development and behavior. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA*, 108: 3047–3052.
- Douglas, A. 2010. *The Symbiotic Habit*. Princeton University Press, Princeton.
- Douglas, A. 2018. *Microbiome Science*. Princeton University Press, Princeton.
- Dupressoir, A., *et al.* 2011. A pair of co-opted retroviral envelope syncytin genes is required for formation of the two-layered murine placental syncytiotrophoblast. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA*, 108: E1164–E1173.
- Erny, D., *et al.* 2015. Host microbiota constantly control maturation and function of microglia in the CNS. *Nature Neuroscience*, 18: 965–977.
- Funkhauser, L.J. and Bordenstein, S.R. 2013. Mom knows best: the universality of maternal microbial transmission, *PLOS Biology*, 11: e1001631
- Garrido, D., *et al.* 2016. A novel gene cluster allows preferential utilization of fucosylated milk oligosaccharides in *Bifidobacterium longum* subsp. *longum* SC596. *Scientific Reports*, 6: 35045.
- Gilbert, S.F., Epel, D. 2015. *Ecological Developmental Biology. The Environmental Regulation of Development, Health, and Evolution (II ed.)* Sinauer, Sunderland, MA.
- Gilbert, S.F., Tauber, A.I. 2016. Rethinking individuality: The dialectics of the holobiont. *Biology and Philosophy*, 31: 839–853.
- Gilbert, S.F., Sapp, J., Tauber, A.I. 2012. A symbiotic view of life: We have never been individuals, *Quarterly Review of Biology*, 87:325–341.
- Gilbert, S.F., Bosch, T.C., Ledón-Rettig, C. 2015. Eco-Evo-Devo: developmental symbiosis and developmental plasticity as evolutionary agents, *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 16: 611–622.
- Hadfield, M.G. 2011. Biofilms and marine invertebrate larvae: What bacteria produce that larvae use to choose settlement sites. *Annual Reviews of Marine Science*, 3: 453–470.
- Haraway, D.J. 1985. Manifesto for cyborgs: science, technology, and socialist feminism in the 1980s, *Socialist Review*, 80: 65–108.
- Haraway, D.J. 2008. *When Species Meet*. University of Minnesota Press. Minneapolis, MN.
- Haraway, D.J. 2017. Symbiogenesis, symposiosis, and art science activism for staying with the trouble. In: A. Tsing, H. Swanson, E. Gan, N. Bubandt (eds.) *Arts of Living on a Damaged Planet*. Minnesota Press, Minneapolis, pp. M25–M50.
- Heckman, D.S., Geiser, D.M., Eidell, B.R., Stauffer, R.L., Kardos, N.L., Hedges, S.B. 2001. Molecular evidence for the early colonization of land by fungi and plants. *Science*, 293: 1129–1133.
- Hill, J.H., Franzosa, E.A., Huttenhower, C., Guillemin, K. 2016. A conserved bacterial protein induces pancreatic beta cell expansion during zebrafish development. *eLife*, 5: e20145.

- Hooper, L.V., Wong, M.H., Thelin, A., Hansson, L., Falk, P.G., Gordon J.I. 2001. Molecular analysis of commensal host-microbial relationships in the intestine. *Science*, 291: 881–884.
- Hooper, L.V., Stappenbeck, T.S., Hong, C.V., Gordon, J.I. 2003. Angiogenins: a new class of microbicidal proteins involved in innate immunity. *Nature Immunology*, 4: 269–273.
- Hsiao, E.Y. *et al.* 2013. Microbiota modulate behavioral and physiological abnormalities associated with neurodevelopmental disorders. *Cell*, 155: 1451–1463.
- Keller, E.F. 1992. Nature, nurture, and the human genome project. In: D.J. Kevles, L Hood (eds.) *The Code of Codes*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA, pp. 281–299.
- Keller, E. F. 2002. *Century of the Gene*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Kikuchi, Y., Hayatsu, M., Hosokawa, T., Nagayama, A., Tago, K., Fukatsu, T. 2012. Symbiont-mediated insecticide resistance. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA*, 109: 8618–8622.
- Kim, S., Kim, H., Yim, Y.S., Ha, S., Atarashi, K., Tan, T.G., Longman, R.S., Honda, K., Littman, D.R., Choi, G.B., Huh, J.R. 2017. Maternal gut bacteria promote neurodevelopmental abnormalities in mouse offspring. *Nature*, 549: 528–532.
- Koren, O., *et al.* 2012. Host remodeling of the gut microbiome and metabolic changes during pregnancy. *Cell*, 150: 470–480.
- Laland, K.N., Odling-Smee, J., Gilbert, S.F. 2008. Evo-Devo and niche construction: Building bridges. *Journal of Experimental Zoology*, 310: 549–566.
- Landmann, F., Foster, J.M., Michalski, M.L., Slatko, B.E., Sullivan, W. 2014. Co-evolution between a nematode and its nematode host: *Wolbachia* asymmetric localization and A-P polarity establishment. *PLoS Neglected Diseases*, 8: e3096.
- Lee, Y.K. and Mazmanian, S, K.. 2010. Has the microbiota played a critical role in the evolution of the adaptive immune system? *Science*, 330: 1768–1773.
- Levins, R. and Lewontin. R. 1985. *The Dialectical Biologist*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge.
- Lewontin, R. 2002. *The Triple Helix: Gene, Organism, and Environment*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge.
- Lynch, V.J., Leclerc, R.D., May, G., Wagner, G.P. 2011. Transposon-mediated rewiring of gene regulatory networks contributed to the evolution of pregnancy in mammals. *Nature Genetics*, 43: 1154–1159.
- Margulis, L. 1998. *Symbiotic Planet: A New Look at Evolution*. Basic Books, New York.
- Margulis, L., Fester, R., (eds.) 1991. *Symbiosis as a Source of Evolutionary Innovation*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Margulis, L., Sagan, D. 2003. *Acquiring New Genomes: A Theory of the Origins of Species*. Basic Books, NY.
- McFall-Ngai, M.J. 2002. Unseen forces: the influence of bacteria on animal development. *Developmental Biology*, 242: 1–14.
- McFall-Ngai, M.J. 2014. The importance of microbes in animal development: lessons from the squid-vibrio symbiosis. *Annual Review of Microbiology*, 68: 177–194.
- McFall-Ngai, M.J., *et al.* 2013. Animals in a bacterial world, a new imperative for the life sciences. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA*, 110: 3229–3236.

- Minelli, A., Fusco, G. 2010. Developmental plasticity and the evolution of animal complex life cycles. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*, 365: 631–640.
- Moran, N.A., Yun, Y. 2015. Experimental replacement of an obligate insect symbiont. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA*, 112: 2093–2096.
- Powers, R. 2018. *The Overstory*, W.W. Norton, New York.
- Pradeu, T. 2012. *The Limits of the Self: Immunology and Biological Identity*. Oxford University Press, New York.
- Romero, R., *et al.* 2014. The composition and stability of the vaginal microbiota of normal pregnant women is different from that of non-pregnant women. *Microbiome*, 2, 4.
- Rostand, J. 1962. *The Substance of Man*, (I. Brandeis, transl.). Doubleday, Garden City, NY.
- Roughgarden, J., Gilbert, S F., Rosenberg, E., Zilber-Rosenberg, I, Lloyd, E. A. 2017. Holobionts as units of selection and a model of their population dynamics and evolution. *Biological Theory*, 13: 44–65.
- Sampson, T.R., Mazmanian, S.K. 2015. Control of brain development, function, and behavior by the microbiome. *Cell Host Microbe*, 17: 565–576.
- Stappenbeck, T.S., Hooper, L.V., Gordon, J.I. 2002. Developmental regulation of intestinal angiogenesis by indigenous microbes via Paneth cells. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA*, 99:15451–15455.
- Sultan, S.E. 2017. Developmental plasticity: re-conceiving the genotype. *Interface Focus*, 7: 20170009.
- Tauber, A.I. 2008. Expanding immunology: defensive vs ecological perspectives. *Perspectives in Biology and Medicine*, 51: 270–284.
- Tauber, A.I. 2017. *Immunity: The Evolution of an Idea*. Oxford University Press, New York.
- Wesemann, D.R., *et al.* 2013. Microbial colonization influences early B-lineage development in the gut lamina propria. *Nature*, 501: 112–115.
- West-Eberhard, M.J. 2003. *Developmental Plasticity and Evolution*. Oxford University Press, New York.