# Swarthmore College

# **Works**

[Physics & Astronomy Faculty Works](https://works.swarthmore.edu/fac-physics) **Physics & Astronomy** 

3-20-2020

# TOI-132 b: A Short-Period Planet In The Neptune Desert Transiting  $AV = 11.3 G-Type Star$

M. R. Díaz

J. S. Jenkins

D. Gandolfi

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: [https://works.swarthmore.edu/fac-physics](https://works.swarthmore.edu/fac-physics?utm_source=works.swarthmore.edu%2Ffac-physics%2F392&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Part of the [Astrophysics and Astronomy Commons](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/123?utm_source=works.swarthmore.edu%2Ffac-physics%2F392&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)  [Let us know how access to these works benefits you](https://forms.gle/4MB8mE2GywC5965J8) 

# Recommended Citation

M. R. Díaz et al. (2020). "TOI-132 b: A Short-Period Planet In The Neptune Desert Transiting A V = 11.3 G-Type Star". Monthly Notices Of The Royal Astronomical Society. Volume 493, Issue 1. 973-985. DOI: 10.1093/mnras/staa277 <https://works.swarthmore.edu/fac-physics/392>

This work is brought to you for free by Swarthmore College Libraries' Works. It has been accepted for inclusion in Physics & Astronomy Faculty Works by an authorized administrator of Works. For more information, please contact [myworks@swarthmore.edu.](mailto:myworks@swarthmore.edu)

## Authors

M. R. Díaz, J. S. Jenkins, D. Gandolfi, E. D. Lopez, M. G. Soto, P. Cortés-Zuleta, Z. M. Berdiñas, K. G. Stassun, K. A. Collins, J. I. Vines, C. Ziegler, M. Fridlund, Eric L.N. Jensen, F. Murgas, A. Santerne, P. A. Wilson, M. Esposito, A. P. Hatzes, M. C. Johnson, K. W. F. Lam, J. H. Livingston, V. Van Eylen, N. Narita, C. Briceño, K. I. Collins, S. Csizmadia, M. Fausnaugh, T. Gan, R. A. García, I. Georgieva, A. Glidden, L. González-Cuesta, J. M. Jenkins, D. W. Latham, N. M. Law, A. W. Mann, S. Mathur, I. Mireles, R. Morris, E. Pallé, C. M. Persson, G. Ricker, S. Rinehart, M. E. Rose, S. Seager, J. C. Smith, T.-G. Tan, A. Tokovinin, A. Vanderburg, R. Vanderspek, J. N. Winn, and D. A. Yahalomi

Advance Access publication 2020 January 29

# **TOI-132 b: A short-period planet in the Neptune desert transiting a** *V* **= 11.3 G-type star∗**

Matías R. Díaz  $\frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$ [,](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2733-8725) 1 James S. Jenkins  $\frac{1}{2}$ , Davide Gandolfi, 2 Eric D. Lopez, 3 Maritza G. Soto,<sup>[4](#page-13-3)</sup> Pía Cortés-Zuleta,<sup>[1](#page-13-0)</sup> Zaira M. Berdiñas[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3481-9052)<sup>1</sup> Keivan G. Stassun®,<sup>[5,](#page-13-4)[6](#page-13-5)</sup> Karen A. Collins,<sup>[7](#page-13-6)</sup> José I. Vines,<sup>[1](#page-13-0)</sup> Carl Ziegler<sup>®</sup>[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0619-7639)<sup>[8](#page-13-7)</sup> Malcom Fridlund,<sup>[9,](#page-13-8)[10](#page-13-9)</sup> Eric L. N. Jensen, <sup>[11](#page-13-10)</sup> Felipe Murgas, <sup>12[,13](#page-13-12)</sup> Alexandre Santerne<sup>®</sup>[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7823-1090) <sup>[14](#page-13-13)</sup> Paul A. Wilson®, <sup>[15](#page-13-14)[,16](#page-13-15)</sup> Massimiliano Esposito, <sup>[17](#page-13-16)</sup> Artie P. Hatzes[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5099-8185) <sup>17</sup> Marshall C. Johnson <sup>0</sup>, <sup>[18](#page-13-17)</sup> Kristine W. F. Lam[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4881-3620)  $^{19}$  $^{19}$  $^{19}$  John H. Livingston<sup>®</sup>, <sup>[20](#page-13-19)</sup> Vincent Van Eylen, <sup>21, [22](#page-13-21)</sup> Norio Narita, <sup>[12,](#page-13-11)[23,](#page-13-22)[24](#page-13-23)[,25](#page-13-24)</sup> Cesar Briceño, <sup>[26](#page-13-25)</sup> Kevin I. Collins[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6803-9698) <sup>27</sup> Szilárd Csizmadia <sup>0</sup>, <sup>[28](#page-13-27)</sup> Michael Fausnaugh,<sup>29</sup> Tianjun Gan,<sup>30</sup> Rafael A. García,<sup>[31](#page-13-30)[,32](#page-13-31)</sup> Iska Georgieva,<sup>9</sup> Ana Glidden,  $^{29,33}$  $^{29,33}$  $^{29,33}$  $^{29,33}$  Lucía González-Cuesta,  $^{12,13}$  $^{12,13}$  $^{12,13}$  $^{12,13}$  Jon M. Jenkins,  $^{34}$  $^{34}$  $^{34}$  David W. Latham,<sup>[7](#page-13-6)</sup> Nicholas M. Law,  $35$  Andrew W. Mann,  $35$  Savita Mathur,  $12,13$  $12,13$  Ismael Mireles,  $29$ Robert Morris,<sup>[34](#page-14-1)[,36](#page-14-3)</sup> Enric Pallé,<sup>[12,](#page-13-11)[13](#page-13-12)</sup> Carina M. Persson,<sup>[9](#page-13-8)</sup> George Ricker,<sup>[29](#page-13-28)</sup> Stephen Rinehart,<sup>[3](#page-13-2)</sup> Mark E. Rose,<sup>34</sup> Sara Seager,<sup>29,[33,](#page-14-0)[37](#page-14-4)</sup> Jeffrey C. Smith,<sup>[34](#page-14-1)[,36](#page-14-3)</sup> Thiam-Guan Tan[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2084-0782)<sup>38</sup> Andrei Tokovinin<sup>®</sup>,<sup>[26](#page-13-25)</sup> Andrew Vanderburg,<sup>[39](#page-14-6)</sup> Roland Vanderspek,<sup>[29](#page-13-28)</sup> Joshua N. Winn<sup>22</sup> and Daniel A. Yahalomi<sup>7</sup>

*Affiliations are listed at the end of the paper*

Accepted 2020 January 17. Received 2020 January 8; in original form 2019 November 4

### **ABSTRACT**

The Neptune desert is a feature seen in the radius-period plane, whereby a notable dearth of short period, Neptune-like planets is found. Here, we report the Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite (*TESS*) discovery of a new short-period planet in the Neptune desert, orbiting the G-type dwarf TYC 8003-1117-1 (TOI-132). *TESS* photometry shows transit-like dips at the level of ∼1400 ppm occurring every ∼2.11 d. High-precision radial velocity follow-up with High Accuracy Radial Velocity Planet Searcher confirmed the planetary nature of the transit signal and provided a semi-amplitude radial velocity variation of 11.38  $^{+0.84}_{-0.85}$  m s<sup>-1</sup>, which, when combined with the stellar mass of  $0.97 \pm 0.06$  M<sub> $\odot$ </sub>, provides a planetary mass of 22.40<sup> $+1.90$ </sup>  $M_{\oplus}$ . Modelling the *TESS* light curve returns a planet radius of 3.42<sup> $+0.13$ </sup>  $R_{\oplus}$ , and therefore the planet bulk density is found to be  $3.08_{-0.46}^{+0.44}$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>. Planet structure models suggest that the bulk of the planet mass is in the form of a rocky core, with an atmospheric mass fraction of 4.3+1*.*<sup>2</sup> <sup>−</sup>2*.*<sup>3</sup> per cent. TOI-132 b is a *TESS* Level 1 Science Requirement candidate, and therefore priority follow-up will allow the search for additional planets in the system, whilst helping to constrain low-mass planet formation and evolution models, particularly valuable for better understanding of the Neptune desert.

**Key words:** techniques: photometric – techniques: radial velocities – planets and satellites: fundamental parameters – planetary systems.

# **1 INTRODUCTION**

<span id="page-2-0"></span>∗ Based on observations made with the ESO-3.6m telescope at La Silla Observatory (Chile) under programs 0103.C-0442 and 1102.C-0923. † E-mail: [matias.diaz.m@ug.uchile.cl](mailto:matias.diaz.m@ug.uchile.cl) (MRD); [jjenkins@das.uchile.cl](mailto:jjenkins@das.uchile.cl) (JSJ)

The *Kepler* space telescope (Borucki [2010\)](#page-12-0) has allowed us to understand the population of small planets ( $R_p < 4R_{\oplus}$ ) in a real statistical sense for the first time. *Kepler* revealed that the majority of planets are the so-called super-Earths, with an occurrence rate of ∼6 per cent of Earth-size planets around Sun-like stars (Petigura, Howard & Marcy [2013\)](#page-13-32). *Kepler* has also unveiled a bimodality in the radius distribution of such planets (Fulton et al. [2017;](#page-12-1) Van Eylen et al. [2018\)](#page-13-33), which could be the result of photoevaporation of the planetary atmosphere due to the intense stellar radiation (Lopez & Fortney [2013;](#page-13-34) Owen & Wu [2013;](#page-13-35) Jin et al. [2014;](#page-13-36) Chen & Rogers [2016\)](#page-12-2). Furthermore, planets in the Neptune regime are also more abundant than the large gas giant planets. It is important to note that the distinction between super-Earths and sub-Neptunes is based on the radius, where the first class is commonly defined as planets with  $1 R_{\oplus} < R_{\rm p} < 2 R_{\oplus}$ , while the latter comprises planets with  $2 R_{\oplus} < R_{\scriptscriptstyle D} < 4 R_{\oplus}$ . From *Kepler* statistics, 25 per cent of Sun-like stars in our Galaxy is found to host at least one small planet  $(R_p < 4R_\oplus)$  on a short period orbit (*P <* 100 d; Batalha et al. [2013;](#page-12-3) Marcy et al. [2014\)](#page-13-37).

Although Neptune-sized planets orbiting Sun-like stars are fairly abundant (e.g. Espinoza et al. [2016;](#page-12-4) Luque et al. [2019;](#page-13-38) Mayo et al. [2019;](#page-13-39) Palle et al. [2019\)](#page-13-40), at short orbital periods they are very rare. A number of early studies indicated a lack of Neptune-sized planets with periods shorter than  $2-4$  d (Benítez-Llambay, Masset & Beaugé [2011;](#page-13-41) Szabó & Kiss 2011; Beaugé & Nesvorný [2013;](#page-12-6) Helled, Lozovsky & Zucker [2016\)](#page-12-7), and the term 'Neptune desert' was coined to explain this paucity. Mazeh, Holczer & Faigler [\(2016\)](#page-13-42) placed this dearth on a statistical footing, whilst providing robust boundaries for the region. Even though the dominant mechanism that produces this desert is currently unknown, models that invoke tidal disruption of a high-eccentricity migration planet, coupled with photoevaporation can explain the triangular shape of the gap described by Mazeh et al. [\(2016;](#page-13-42) see also Lundkvist et al. [2016;](#page-13-43) Owen & Lai [2018\)](#page-13-44).

The Neptune desert may be a region of parameter space with a paucity of such planets, but it is not completely empty. West et al. [\(2019\)](#page-13-45) discovered the planet NGTS-4*b* as part of the Next Generation Transit Survey (Wheatley et al. [2018\)](#page-13-46). Although the star is fairly faint  $(V = 13.14)$ , making the constraints on the radius and mass difficult, the planet resides inside the boundaries of the desert, as defined by Mazeh et al. [\(2016\)](#page-13-42). A more recent example was found using data from the Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite (*TESS*; Ricker et al. [2015\)](#page-13-47): a planet orbiting the star HD 21966 residing in the edge of this region (Esposito et al. [2019\)](#page-12-8). The primary goal of *TESS* is to discover 50 planets with radii  $\leq 4 R_{\oplus}$  transiting stars brighter than  $V \le 12$ , for which precise masses can be measured using high-precision Doppler spectroscopy, better constraining the planetary bulk density. In doing so, the mission is also providing unprecedented targets to follow-up to study the Neptune desert, particularly the discovery of the first ultrahot Neptune, LTT 9779 b  $(R_p = 4.59 \pm 0.23 R_{\oplus}, P = 0.79 \text{ d}$ ; Jenkins et al. [2020\)](#page-12-9). This planet resides on the edge of the Neptune desert, and since the star is bright  $(V = 9.76)$ , detailed follow-up can be performed to shed light on the processes that sculpt the desert. However, more such examples are necessary in order to uncover the dominant process(es) at play.

Here, we present the discovery of TOI-132 b, a 22.4-*M*<sup>⊕</sup> Neptunesized planet discovered by *TESS* and confirmed using high-precision Doppler spectroscopy from the High Accuracy Radial velocity Planet Searcher (HARPS; Pepe et al. [2002\)](#page-13-48) and additional groundbased transit detections.

## **2 PHOTOMETRY**

#### **2.1** *TESS* **photometry**

TYC 8003-1117-1 (also known as TIC 89020549, TOI-132) was observed by *TESS* in Sector 1 on Camera 2 in short-cadence mode ( $T_{\text{exp}} = 2 \text{ min}$ ). The total time baseline of the observations is 27.87 d, spanning from 2018 July 25 to August 22. TOI-132.01 was identified as a potential transiting planet signature by the Science Processing Operations Center (SPOC) in the transit search run on Sector 1 (Jenkins [2002;](#page-12-10) Jenkins et al. [2010\)](#page-12-11) and promoted to TOI status by the *TESS* Science Office based on the SPOC Data Validation (DV) reports (Twicken et al. [2018;](#page-13-49) Li et al. [2019\)](#page-13-50).

The target was selected from the *TESS* alerts website,<sup>1</sup> based on the magnitude of the star  $(V = 11.2 \text{ mag})$  and period of the candidate, since it presented a good opportunity to be confirmed relatively quickly with HARPS. In addition, from the DV report for TOI-132.01, we note the planetary signature passed all of the diagnostic tests conducted by DV, including the odd/even depth test, the weak secondary test, the ghost diagnostic test, and the difference image centroid shift test.

We retrieved the photometry provided by the *TESS* SPOC pipeline (Jenkins et al. [2016\)](#page-12-12), and accessed the data from the simple aperture photometry (SAP FLUX) and the Presearch Data Conditioning simple aperture photometry (PDCSAP\_FLUX, Smith et al. [2012;](#page-13-51) Stumpe et al. [2014\)](#page-13-52), which contains systematics-corrected data using the algorithms previously used for *Kepler* (Jenkins [2017\)](#page-12-13). The median-normalized SAP FLUX photometry is shown in the top panel of Fig. [1.](#page-4-0) Bottom panel shows the PDCSAP FLUX photometry, divided by its median value and after applying a 4*σ* clipping rejection with the transits masked out. This light curve is used throughout all the analyses in this paper. The gap in the middle of the time series occurred when the observations were stopped to allow for the data down-link. Finally, in order to avoid any bias in our analysis, we excluded the photometric measurements between (BJD - 2457000) 1347.5 and 1349.3 (the grey-shaded area) given that the spacecraft pointing jitter was higher than nominal, as described by Huang et al. [\(2018\)](#page-12-14) and also noted in recent *TESS* discoveries (see e.g. Espinoza et al. [2019b\)](#page-12-15). A total of 11 transit events were considered for further analysis in this work. Magnitudes and stellar parameters for TOI-132 are shown in Table [1](#page-5-0) (see also Section 4).

We also performed a time–frequency analysis (Mathur et al. [2010\)](#page-13-53) and computed the autocorrelation function for the *TESS* light curve to look for signatures of rotation modulation following the methodology described in García et al. [\(2014\)](#page-12-16), Ceillier et al. [\(2017\)](#page-12-17) and Santos et al. [\(2019\)](#page-13-54). However, no significant signal was found. The length of the data is too short to find a periodicity larger than 9 d as we require to observe at least three periods.

#### **2.2 Ground-based time series photometry**

We acquired ground-based time series follow-up photometry of TOI-132 as part of the *TESS* Follow-up Observing Program to attempt to rule out nearby eclipsing binaries (NEBs) in all stars that could be blended in the *TESS* aperture as potential sources of the *TESS* detection. Furthermore, we attempt to (i) detect the transitlike event on target to confirm the event depth and thus the *TESS* photometric deblending factor, (ii) refine the *TESS* ephemeris, (iii)

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

**Figure 1.** *TESS* light curve for TOI-132. Top panel shows the Simple Aperture Photometry (SAP FLUX). Bottom panel shows the systematic-corrected PDCSAP FLUX photometry after normalizing by the median and rejecting 4*σ* values. The red vertical lines show the position of the 12 transits identified in the *TESS* alert from Sector 1. The grey-shaded area highlights the photometric measurements removed from the analysis due to an increase in the spacecraft pointing jitter.

provide additional epochs of transit centre time measurements to supplement the transit timing variation (TTV) analysis, and (iv) place constraints on transit depth differences across filter bands. We used the TESS Transit Finder, which is a customized version of the Tapir software package (Jensen [2013\)](#page-13-55), to schedule our transit observations.

We observed TOI-132 continuously for 443 min on UTC 2018 September 9 in R<sub>c</sub> band ( $\sigma \sim 1.8$  mmag) from the Perth Exoplanet Survey Telescope near Perth, Australia. The 0.3-m telescope is equipped with a  $1530 \times 1020$  pixels SBIG ST-8XME camera with an image scale of  $1$ <sup>"</sup>. 2 pixel<sup>-1</sup> resulting in a 31 arcmin  $\times$  21 arcmin field of view. A custom pipeline was used to calibrate the images and extract the differential photometry using an aperture with radius 8*.* 2. The images have typical stellar point spread functions (PSFs) with a full width at half-maximum (FWHM) of ∼4 arcsec. The data rule out NEBs in stars within 2*.* 5 of the target star that are fainter by as much as  $6.4$  magnitudes in  $R_c$  band.

We also observed full predicted transit durations of TOI-132 continuously in *z*-short band on UTC 2018 November 14, UTC 2019 June 19, and UTC 2019 July 6 from the Las Cumbres Observatory Global Telescope (LCOGT) 1.0 m telescopes (Brown et al. [2013\)](#page-12-18) at Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory for 277, 335, and 283 min, respectively. Another full transit was observed continuously for 232 min in *B* band on UTC 2019 August 2 from an LCOGT 1.0 m telescope at Siding Spring Observatory. The  $4096 \times 4096$  LCOGT SINISTRO cameras have an image scale of 0<sup>*.*</sup> 389 pixel<sup>-1</sup> resulting in a 26 arcmin × 26 arcmin field of view. The images were calibrated by the standard LCOGT BANZAI pipeline (McCully et al. [2018\)](#page-13-56) and the photometric data were extracted using the AstroImageJ (AIJ) software package (Collins et al. [2017\)](#page-12-19), yielding a mean error of 800 ppm for the *z*-short band data we include in our analysis.

The November data rule out NEBs in all stars within 2*.* 5 of the target star that are fainter by as much as 8.7 mag in *z*-short band, which includes all known *Gaia* DR2 stars that are blended in the *TESS* aperture. The June observation confirmed a ∼1400 ppm deep ingress on target arriving ∼80 min late relative to the original TOI ephemeris. The follow-up ephemeris was adjusted to account for the 80 min offset. The July observation confirmed an on-time arrival of a ∼1400 ppm deep full transit relative to the adjusted ephemeris, indicating that the transit timing is consistent with a linear ephemeris. The images have stellar PSF FWHMs of ∼2*.* 0, and the transit signal is reliably detected on target using a followup aperture with radius as small as 1*.* 5. Therefore, the aperture is negligibly contaminated by the nearest *Gaia* neighbor 10*.* 5 south. Systematic effects start to dominate the light curve for smaller apertures. The August *B*-band observation confirmed an on-time arrival of a ∼1400 ppm deep full transit, indicating that the transitlike event does not show a filter-dependent depth in *B* and *z*-short bands, which photometrically strengthens the case for a transiting exoplanet orbiting around TOI-132.

#### **3 HARPS SPECTROSCOPIC FOLLOW-UP**

TOI-132 was observed using HARPS (Pepe et al. [2002\)](#page-13-48) spectrograph mounted at the 3.6-m ESO telescope at La Silla observatory, during seven consecutive nights between 2019 April 2 and 9, as part of the observing program 0103.C-0442. The exposure time was set to 1200–1800 s, which allowed us to achieve a mean signal-to-noise (S/N) ratio of  $\sim$ 35 pixel<sup>-1</sup> at 5500 Å in the extracted spectra giving rise to a typical error of  $\sim$ 1.9 m s<sup>-1</sup>. Upon examination of the radial velocities (RVs) and after performing a one-planet model fit to the *TESS* period, we found it necessary to acquire more observations to improve the phase coverage. Therefore, 13 additional RVs were

<span id="page-5-0"></span>



*Note*. \*Correction of  $+82 \mu$ as from Stassun & Torres [\(2018\)](#page-13-58) applied to the *Gaia* value.

taken in two runs between 2019 May and July, as part of the observing program 1102.C-0923, covering the initial gaps in the orbital phase from the observations in April. We set the exposure time to 1800–2100 s, leading to a mean S/N ratio of ∼40 and a mean uncertainty of  $\sim$ 1.5 m s<sup>-1</sup>.

We reduced the spectra using the HARPS online data reduction software (DRS; Bouchy, Pepe & Queloz [2001\)](#page-12-20). The data products include the extracted spectra, both in *echelle* and order-merged spectra, the cross-correlation functions<sup>2</sup> (CCF; Baranne et al. [1996;](#page-12-21) Pepe et al. [2002\)](#page-13-48) and a measurement of the FWHM of the CCF profile, and the bisector inverse slope (BIS; Queloz et al. [2001\)](#page-13-59).

We extracted the RV measurements using the HARPS–TERRA package (Anglada-Escudé et al. [2012\)](#page-12-22). The algorithm creates a high-S/N template by combining all the observed spectra, based on their S/N ratio, and then it recomputes the RV of a given observation by matching each individual spectrum with the template. One advantage for choosing HARPS–TERRA is that RVs are computed

for every echelle order, so it is relatively easy to find the orders with most of the RV information, discarding contaminated or low-S/N orders. In this case, we rejected the 22 bluest orders, and considered only from order 23 to 72 as they produced lowest errors and smallest RMS in the RVs. The software does not compute the BIS nor FWHM of the CCF, which are taken directly from the DRS using a G2 mask. TERRA does compute activity indicators in the form of S-indices directly from each observed spectrum. The S-index is measured from the cores of the Ca II H&K lines ( $\lambda_H = 3933.664$  Å,  $\lambda_{\rm K}$  = 3968.470 Å) and compared with the flux on adjacent chunks in the continuum, following the prescription from Lovis et al. [\(2011\)](#page-13-60) and it is calibrated to the Mt. Wilson system  $(S_{MW})$ , serving as a direct proxy to monitor the chromospheric activity of the star. Uncertainties in BIS are taken as twice the internal RV errors and the FWHM error is 2.35 times the RV uncertainties (see Zechmeister et al. [2013;](#page-13-61) Santerne et al. [2015\)](#page-13-62). The results are shown in Table [2.](#page-6-0)

Fig. [2](#page-6-1) shows the correlations between RVs and activity indicators, BIS, FWHM CCF, and  $S_{MW}$ , from left to right, respectively. No significant correlations are seen between the RVs and the activity indicators. However, we note one outlier point in the FWHM and S-index, which was related with an observation acquired under poor weather conditions at the beginning of the observing run in 2019 April.

We computed the generalized Lomb–Scargle periodogram<sup>3</sup> (GLS; Zechmeister & Kürster  $2009$ ) of the HARPS Doppler measurements and activity indicators. As shown in Fig. [3,](#page-7-0) the GLS periodogram of the HARPS RVs shows a significant peak at the orbital period of the transiting planet (2.11 d) with a false-alarm probability FAP *<* 0.1 per cent. We note that the secondary peak with  $FAP < 1$  per cent ( $P = 0.7d$ ) is the alias of the orbital period due to the sampling frequency. The periodograms of the HARPS activity indicators show neither a significant peak matching the one found in the RVs, nor any other significant peaks (Fig. [3\)](#page-7-0).

#### **4 STELLAR PARAMETERS**

We first estimated the stellar parameters<sup>4</sup> by combining the HARPS spectra into a high-S/N ratio spectrum and fed that into the spectral classification and stellar parameter estimation software package SPECIES (Soto & Jenkins [2018\)](#page-13-64). For a more detailed explanation and outputs from this code, the reader is referred to Díaz et al. [\(2018\)](#page-12-23) and Soto & Jenkins [\(2018\)](#page-13-64).

We also analysed the combined HARPS spectrum using both Spectroscopy Made Easy (version 5.22; Valenti & Piskunov [1996;](#page-13-65) Piskunov & Valenti [2017\)](#page-13-66), and the empirical package SpecMatch-Emp (Yee, Petigura & von Braun [2017\)](#page-13-67). We followed the same procedures outlined in, e.g. Fridlund et al. [\(2017\)](#page-12-24), Persson et al. [\(2018\)](#page-13-68), Persson et al. [\(2019\)](#page-13-69), and Gandolfi et al. [\(2019\)](#page-12-25). The two methods provide consistent results within  $1\sigma$  and  $2\sigma$ , which are also in agreement with those obtained with SPECIES. In particular, the age of the star was determined by isochrone fitting according to the method described in SPECIES. We note that, while there is no reason to prefer one set of spectroscopic parameter estimates over the others, we adopted the results derived with SPECIES for the subsequent analyses presented in this work.

<sup>2</sup>Obtained using a G2 numerical mask.

<sup>3</sup>[astropy.timeseries.LombScargle\(\)](https://docs.astropy.org/en/stable/timeseries/lombscargle.html), https://docs.astropy.org /en/stable/timeseries/lombscargle.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Including *v* sin(*i*) and  $v_{\text{mac}}$ 

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

<b>BJD</b> $(-2450000)$	<b>RV</b> $(m s^{-1})$	$\sigma$ RV $(m s^{-1})$	$S_{\text{MW}}$ $(\text{dex})$	$\sigma S_{\rm MW}$ $(\text{dex})$	<b>FWHM</b> $(km s^{-1})$	$\sigma$ FWHM $(m s^{-1})$	<b>BIS</b> $(m s^{-1})$	$\sigma BIS$ $(m s^{-1})$
8576.90725	$-4.737$	2.967	0.056	0.003	6.885	16.180	2.967	5.933
8578.89655	0.000	2.398	0.128	0.004	6.911	16.240	2.398	4.797
8579.90764	5.631	1.765	0.140	0.003	6.908	16.234	1.765	3.531
8580.90988	$-10.056$	1.972	0.121	0.003	6.914	16.248	1.972	3.943
8581.91433	8.808	1.338	0.133	0.002	6.911	16.241	1.338	2.675
8582.91045	$-9.005$	1.402	0.135	0.002	6.911	16.241	1.402	2.803
8583.90870	11.771	1.656	0.138	0.003	6.916	16.252	1.656	3.312
8635.81477	$-5.174$	2.488	0.151	0.004	6.909	16.235	2.488	4.977
8636.82174	9.069	1.800	0.143	0.003	6.898	16.211	1.800	3.599
8637.91868	2.175	1.649	0.134	0.003	6.914	16.247	1.649	3.297
8642.93057	8.481	1.571	0.145	0.003	6.928	16.281	1.571	3.142
8643.91730	$-10.522$	1.129	0.134	0.002	6.911	16.242	1.129	2.257
8644.84072	10.526	1.331	0.139	0.002	6.917	16.256	1.331	2.662
8660.81222	$-13.834$	1.945	0.152	0.003	6.905	16.228	1.945	3.891
8664.89377	$-14.864$	1.652	0.173	0.004	6.929	16.283	1.652	3.305
8666.80357	$-5.826$	1.542	0.165	0.003	6.923	16.270	1.542	3.084
8667.76863	6.145	1.530	0.165	0.003	6.910	16.238	1.530	3.061
8668.82036	$-3.829$	1.534	0.156	0.003	6.914	16.249	1.534	3.067
8669.71698	$-0.505$	1.294	0.156	0.003	6.916	16.252	1.294	2.588
8669.91776	3.943	1.344	0.137	0.003	6.915	16.250	1.344	2.687

**Table 2.** HARPS radial velocities and spectral activity indices for TOI-132.

<span id="page-6-1"></span>

**Figure 2.** Left to right: correlations between BIS, cross-correlation function FWHM, S-index, and radial velocities after subtraction of their mean, respectively. The first two are obtained from DRS and the latter is derived from the HARPS spectra using the HARPS–TERRA algorithm. On each plot, the dashed line represents a linear fit between the activity index and radial velocity. All three plots show no strong evidence for correlation, although outliers are seen in the FWHM and  $S_{MW}$ .

We performed an analysis of the broad-band spectral energy distribution (SED) of the star together with the *Gaia* DR2 parallaxes (adjusted by  $+0.08$  mas to account for the systematic offset reported by Stassun & Torres [2018\)](#page-13-58), in order to determine an empirical measurement of the stellar radius, following the procedures described in Stassun & Torres [\(2016\)](#page-13-70) and Stassun, Collins & Gaudi [\(2017\)](#page-13-71), and Stassun et al. [\(2018a\)](#page-13-72). We retrieved the  $B_T V_T$  magnitudes from *Tycho-2*, the *BVgri* magnitudes from APASS, the *JHKS* magnitudes from *2MASS*, the W1–W4 magnitudes from *WISE*, and the *G* magnitude from *Gaia*. Together, the available photometry spans the full stellar SED over the wavelength range 0.2–22 μm (see Fig. [4\)](#page-7-1).

We performed a fit using Kurucz stellar atmosphere models, with the effective temperature  $(T_{\text{eff}})$  and metallicity ([Fe/H]) and surface gravity ( $log g$ ) adopted from the spectroscopic analysis of

SPECIES. The only free parameter is the extinction  $(A_V)$ , which we restricted to the maximum line-of-sight value from the dust maps of Schlegel, Finkbeiner & Davis [\(1998\)](#page-13-73). The resulting fit shown in Fig. [4,](#page-7-1) gives a reduced  $\chi^2$  of 2.4 and best-fitting  $A_V = 0.03 \pm 0.01$ . Integrating the (unreddened) model SED, it gives the bolometric flux at the Earth,  $F_{bol} = 7.492 \pm 0.087 \times 10^{-10}$  erg s<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>. Taking the *F*bol and *T*eff together with the *Gaia* DR2 parallax, gives the stellar radius,  $R_{\star} = 0.90 \pm 0.02$  R<sub>o</sub>. Finally, we can use the empirical relations of Torres, Andersen & Giménez  $(2010)$  $(2010)$  and a 6 per cent error from the empirical relation itself to estimate the stellar mass,  $M_{\star} = 0.97 \pm 0.06$  M<sub>o</sub>; this, in turn, together with the stellar radius provides an empirical estimate of the mean stellar density  $\rho_{\star}$  =  $1.89 \pm 0.15$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>. We note the small errorbars on both stellar mass and radius come directly from propagation of uncertainties in  $T_{\text{eff}}$ ,  $F_{\text{bol}}$ , and parallax. In this case, the fractional errors are of order

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

Figure 3. Top panels: Time series showing the radial velocities from the HARPS follow-up observations. Generalized Lomb–Scargle periodogram of the radial velocities. The red power spectrum shows the window function. Bottom panels: Same as top panel but for the activity indices obtained with HARPS: BIS, FWHM, and S-index, respectively. The horizontal lines, from bottom to top on each periodogram, represent the 10, 1, and 0.1 per cent significance levels estimated via 5000 bootstrap samples. The vertical line on each plot marks the position of the 2.11-d planet candidate signal present in the radial velocity.

∼1 per cent, ∼1 per cent, and ∼0.5 per cent, respectively. Then, the uncertainty in stellar radius is dominated by the  $T_{\text{eff}}$  error, in this case that implies an error of ∼2 per cent (see Table [1\)](#page-5-0).

### **5 SPECKLE IMAGING**

The relatively large 21-arcsec pixels of *TESS* can result in contamination from companion stars or nearby sources. The additional light from these can dilute the planetary transit, resulting in an underestimated planet radius. We searched for nearby sources with speckle imaging with HRCam on the 4.1-m Southern Astrophysical Research (SOAR) telescope (Tokovinin et al. [2018\)](#page-13-75) on 2018

<span id="page-7-1"></span>

**Figure 4.** Spectral energy distribution of TOI-132. The blue points are the predicted integrated fluxes and the red symbols are the observed values at the corresponding passbands, where the horizontal bars represent the effective width of the passband and the vertical errors represent the  $1\sigma$  uncertainties. The best-fitting Kurucz atmospheric model for TOI-132 is shown by the black solid line.

<span id="page-7-2"></span>

**Figure 5.** Speckle imaging for TOI-132 obtained with SOAR. Inset on the top right-hand corner shows a preview of the ACF.

September 25 UT. From these observations, a potential companion star was detected at low significance. The purported star was located near the first diffraction ring of the primary star, at 0.079 arcsec (and a projected distance of ∼12 au), a similar position as optical ghosts that can occasionally appear in the speckle imaging during periods of low wind. This triggered a warning as the flux contamination due to the companion ( $\Delta m \sim 2.6$  mag) would have not been negligible for the spectroscopic observations given that the diameter of the fibers on HARPS is ∼1 arcsec, meaning that the suspected companion was inside the aperture of the fiber. Upon visual inspection of the CCF and the individual spectra, we could not see evidence for such a contamination. The system was observed again on 2019 May 18 UT in excellent conditions, and the possible companion star was not detected. The 5*σ* detection sensitivity and autocorrelation function of the later observation are shown in Fig. [5.](#page-7-2)

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

**Figure 6.** ASAS *V*-band photometry for TOI-132 to search for additional sources of periodicity in the star. The bottom plot shows the Generalized Lomb–Scargle periodogram of the time series. The horizontal lines, from bottom to top, represent the 10, 1, and 0.1 per cent significance levels estimated via 5000 bootstrap samples.

### **6 ASAS PHOTOMETRY**

We analysed photometry from the All-Sky Automated Survey (ASAS; Pojmanski [1997\)](#page-13-76) to search for stellar rotational periods. There are 694 available photometric measurements spanning 8.9 yr, from 2000 November to 2009 December. The selection of the best aperture was made choosing the time series with the lowest median absolute deviation (MAD). We discarded 129 points that were flagged as bad data points, including only 565 measurements with either 'A' or 'B' quality. Fig. [6](#page-8-0) shows the photometric time series after removing outliers and bad data and the GLS.<sup>5</sup>

From the power spectrum in the periodogram, the highest power is found to be at 17.138 d. We estimated the 10, 1, and 0.1 per cent significance level by running 5000 bootstrap samplings using the implementation available in the Python module astropy.stats.false\_alarm\_probability().<sup>6</sup> Although the highest peak in the GLS periodogram is noticeable and unique, its significance is below the 10 per cent level, as seen from the bottom panel in the figure. We note that the highest cadence in the photometry is  $\sim$ 1 d, however, the sampling of the time series is very sparse, making the detection of rotation periods on short time-scales of ∼10–20 d difficult.

#### **7 JOINT ANALYSIS**

We modelled the RVs and the photometry using the juliet<sup>7</sup> package (Espinoza, Kossakowski & Brahm [2019a\)](#page-12-26). Table [3](#page-9-0) shows the priors used in the analysis. We set up the initial priors for the period of the candidate and the time of transit  $(T_0)$  using the reported values in the *TESS* DV report document for TOI-132.

Preliminary analysis was done using Systemic Console v2 (Meschiari et al. [2009\)](#page-13-77). We analysed the RVs only to get an initial rough estimate of both instrumental and orbital parameters of the system such as the velocity semi-amplitude, eccentricity, and minimum mass of the planet. The period and transit time were constrained using the updated values provided by *TESS*. Initial results for a one-planet model with eccentricity fixed at zero, yields an RMS  $\sim$  2.7 m s<sup>-1</sup>. Letting eccentricity and argument of



periastron as free parameters the best-fitting model RMS goes down to  $\sim$ 2.5 m s<sup>-1</sup> and e  $\sim$  0.17. We then performed further analyses considering two scenarios (circular and eccentric) with juliet. This package has been proven to be an excellent tool for analysing both photometry and RVs using a joint model (see e.g. Brahm et al. [2019;](#page-12-27) Espinoza et al. [2019b;](#page-12-15) Kossakowski et al. [2019\)](#page-13-78). In short, the code uses batman (Kreidberg [2015\)](#page-13-79) to model the transit data and radvel (Fulton et al. [2018\)](#page-12-28) to model the RVs, and in order to estimate the Bayesian log-evidence, ln*Z*, for model comparison we used the option of the Dynamic Nested Sampling algorithm that the dynesty (Speagle & Barbary [2018;](#page-13-80) Speagle [2020\)](#page-13-81) package provides. We note that, while juliet has the option to include Gaussian Processes to model the light curve, RVs, or both, we did not set this option as there was no evidence of additional variability in the PDCSAP\_FLUX-corrected light curve (see Fig. [1\)](#page-4-0). We also used the parametrization described in Espinoza [\(2018\)](#page-12-29) that allows an efficient way to sample the impact parameter, *b*, and the planet-to-star radius ratio, *p*, where only values that are physically plausible in the  $(p, b)$  plane are sampled via the  $r_2$  and  $r_2$  coefficients (Espinoza [2018\)](#page-12-29). For the limb-darkening coefficients, we use the parametrization of Kipping [\(2013\)](#page-13-82) for two-parameter laws. Speckle images obtained for TOI-132 rules out the possibility of significant nearby sources of light. Therefore, we fixed the dilution factor to a value of 1 for the photometric data sets. The priors and boundaries for the parameters used in the joint analysis are listed in Table [3.](#page-9-0)

We set up two different runs, first by fixing eccentricity to zero, and another treating it (along with *ω*) as free parameter. Comparing the evidences from the circular  $(lnZ = 89705.63)$  and eccentric model (ln*Z* = 89706.85), we obtain  $\Delta$ ln*Z* = 1.22 that suggests weak evidence the latter is preferred over the circular model according to the model selections criteria and thresholds described in Espinoza et al. [\(2019a\)](#page-12-26). The joint model results are shown in Fig. [7](#page-10-0) and the best fit, or most probable parameters given the data are listed in Table [4.](#page-11-0) The quoted values are the median value from the posterior distribution.

As a sanity check, we also performed an independent joint analysis using the Python/FORTRAN software suite pyaneti (Barragán, Gandolfi & Antoniciello [2019a\)](#page-12-30). Results are consistent with those obtained with juliet well within the nominal error bars.

Using the luminosity of the host star, we could retrieve the incident flux on TOI-132 b using the semimajor axis from our joint model. We estimated that the insolation of TOI-132 b is  $S_p = 860 S_{\oplus}$ .

In order to estimate the average equilibrium temperature of the planet, considering the physical properties of TOI-132 b we assumed a Bond albedo of  $A_B = 0.31$ , which corresponds to the value accepted for Neptune. Then,

$$
T_{\text{eq}} = T_* \sqrt{\frac{R_*}{2a}} (1 - A_B)^{\frac{1}{4}}
$$
 (1)

yields an equilibrium temperature of  $T_{eq} = 1395^{+52}_{-72} K$  for the planet.

#### **8 TTV ANALYSIS**

In order to search for possible TTVs in TOI-132 b, we computed the individual transit time of each light curve using the EXOFASTv2 code (Eastman, Gaudi & Agol [2013;](#page-12-31) Eastman [2017\)](#page-12-32). EXOFASTv2 uses the Differential Evolution Markov chain Monte Carlo method to derive the values and their uncertainties for the stellar, orbital, and physical parameters of the system.

<span id="page-9-0"></span>



*Note.* <sup>*a*</sup>We used the transformations outlined in Espinoza [\(2018\)](#page-12-29) and also set  $p_l = 0.03$  and  $p_u = 0.05$  in the juliet call.

So, to obtain the transit time of each light curve, we fixed the stellar and orbital parameters to the values obtained from the global fit performed by juliet, except for the transit time and their baseline flux. If a planet follows strictly a Keplerian orbit, the transit time of a given epoch  $T_c(E)$  is a linear function of the orbital period *P*:

$$
T_c(E) = T_0 + P \times E,\tag{2}
$$

where  $T_0$  is a reference transit time and  $E$  is the number of epochs since  $T_0$ . The best-fitting values for equation (2) from juliet are shown in Table [4](#page-11-0) along with the planetary parameters fixed to compute the individual transit time.

Considering the theoretical and the observed transit times of the light curves, we obtained the TTV values for TOI-132 b presented in Fig. [8.](#page-11-1) Even though the larger variation is about 22 min, we found no evidence of a clear periodic variation in the transit time. This outlier is probably induced by a gap in the light curve of epoch 5. The RMS variation from the linear ephemeris is  $\sigma = 8.03$  min, however, the reduced chi-squared for this model is  $\chi^2_{\text{red}} = 1.37$ . This is an indicator that the transit times, considering their errors, fit well with the proposed linear ephemeris.

The lack of an additional RV signal, as well as no evidence of a TTV signal for our given time span of our transit data, suggests that there is no other close-in companion of TOI-132 b. These results also rule out additional planets in low-order resonant configurations

with TOI-132 b. Nevertheless, further ground-based follow-up will be required to unveil the possible existence of companions in TOI-132.

#### **9 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS**

By combining *TESS* space-based photometry with HARPS highprecision RV measurements, along with additional high-sensitivity ground-based photometric observations, we were able to confirm a short period, hot Neptune-like planet orbiting the nearby metalrich G8V star TOI-132. The planet was found to have an orbital period of only 2.1 d, a radius of  $3.42_{-0.14}^{+0.13}$   $R_{\oplus}$ , and mass of 22.40<sup>+1.90</sup>  $M_{\oplus}$ , implying a density and equilibrium temperature of  $3.08_{-0.46}^{+0.44}$  g cm<sup>-3</sup> and  $1395_{-72}^{+52}$  K, respectively.

In Fig. [9,](#page-11-2) we can see that TOI-132b is located in an underpopulated region of the mass–radius diagram. Of the relatively small number of known Neptune-like planets with well-constrained properties, TOI-132 b stands out as bridging the gap between 100 per cent water worlds and more typical Neptunes that have atmospheric mass fractions of ∼10 per cent. The planet likely more closely resembles NGTS-4 b (West et al. [2019\)](#page-13-45), which is shown in the figure despite the relatively high uncertainties measured for the planetary parametsers, or TOI-824 b (Burt et al., private communication). These three planets appear to have similar masses and radii, giving rise to similar densities and bulk

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

**Figure 7.** Results from the joint fit for the one-planet model. *Top panel:* HARPS–TERRA radial velocities and best-fitting Keplerian model (the solid curve) the bands around it show 68 per cent, 95 per cent, and 99 per cent posterior credibility bands. *Mid panels: TESS* photometry (left) and LCOGT *z*-short photometry (right) phase-folded to the 2.109 d period of TOI-132 b along with best-fitting transit model from the joint fit. The red points show the binned photometry in phase bins of 0.005. *Bottom panel:* phase-folded RVs from HARPS. The black line shows the model. Credibility bands are shown in the same way as in top panel. Best-fitting parameters are the most probable parameters given the data and the quoted values are the median value from the posterior distribution. The error bars of both photometry and RV data include their corresponding jitter.

**Table 4.** Planetary properties for TOI-132 b.

<span id="page-11-0"></span>

Property	Value
Fitted parameters	
P(d)	$2.1097019_{-0.000011}^{+0.000012}$
$T_0$ (BJD - 2450000)	8333.23095 <sup>+0.00094</sup> $-0.00096$
$a/R_{\ast}$	$6.362^{+0.413}_{-0.627}$
$\boldsymbol{h}$	$0.533_{-0.137}^{+0.124}$
$K(m s^{-1})$	$11.38 + 0.84$ $-0.85$
$i_{\rm p}$ (deg)	$85.03_{-1.84}^{+1.30}$
$\mathfrak{e}$	$0.059_{-0.037}^{+0.050}$
$\omega$ (deg)	$125.88^{+57.23}_{-38.05}$
Derived parameters	
$M_{\textrm{D}}(M_{\oplus})$	$22.40^{+1.90}_{-1.92}$
$R_{\rm p}$ $(R_{\oplus})$	$3.42^{+0.13}_{-0.14}$
$a$ (AU)	$0.026_{-0.003}^{+0.002}$
$\rho_{\rm p}$ (g cm <sup>-3</sup> )	$3.08^{+0.44}_{-0.46}$
$T_{eq}^{a}$ (K)	$1395^{+52}_{-72}$
Instrumental parameters	
$MTESS$ (ppm)	$-0.000069^{+0.000011}_{-0.000012}$
$\sigma_{w,\text{TESS}}$ (ppm)	$10.58^{+27.14}_{-8.14}$
$q_{1,TESS}$	$0.361^{+0.344}_{-0.242}$ $-0.242$
$q_{2,TESS}$	$0.331_{-0.223}^{+0.342}$
$M_{\rm LCOGT}$ (ppm)	$-0.000057^{+0.000060}_{-0.00015}$ $-0.000057$
$\sigma_{w, \text{LCOGT}}$ (ppm)	$462.35_{-73.70}^{+72.73}$
$q_{1, \text{LCOGT}}$	$0.426_{-0.262}^{+0.309}$
$q_{2, LCOGT}$	$0.284^{0.296}_{-0.186}$
$\mu_{\text{HARPS}}$ (m s <sup>-1</sup> )	$-0.18_{-0.55}^{+0.51}$
$\sigma_{w,\text{HARPS}}$ (m s <sup>-1</sup> )	$2.00_{-0.64}^{+0.72}$

*Note. <sup>a</sup>*Estimated using a Bond albedo of 0.31 (see text).

<span id="page-11-1"></span>

**Figure 8.** Observed minus computed mid-transit times of TOI-132 b. The residuals (TTV) of the transit times are shown considering the proposed linear ephemeris. The dashed line corresponds to zero variation and the grey area is the propagation of  $1\sigma$  uncertainties, considering the optimal transit time from EXOFASTv2, and the period from juliet. The epoch 0 is the first transit observed by *TESS* and it is also the corresponding epoch of the optimal transit time. The TTV values shown in this plot fit accordingly with the proposed linear ephemeris ( $\chi^2_{\text{red}} = 1.37$ ).

<span id="page-11-2"></span>

**Figure 9.** *Top*: Period–radius diagram for planets whose radius has been measured with a precision better than 5 per cent. We have included recent *TESS* discoveries (Burt et al., private communication; Nielsen et al. [2020\)](#page-13-83). The shaded area indicates the Neptune desert where the edges are defined by Mazeh et al. [\(2016\)](#page-13-42). TOI-132 b is highlighted with a red circle, near the edge of the desert. *Bottom*: Mass–radius diagram for planets whose mass and radius have been measured with a precision better than 25 per cent (the grey circles) in the range  $R_p < 5R_\oplus$  and  $M_p < 30M_\oplus$ , retrieved from the transiting planets catalog TEPCat (available at https://www.astro.keele.ac .uk/jkt/tepcat/, Southworth [2011\). The black points show recent discoveries](https://www.astro.keele.ac.uk/jkt/tepcat/) from *TESS*. TOI-132 b is shown with a red circle. The solid, coloured lines show models for different compositions from Zeng, Sasselov & Jacobsen [\(2016\)](#page-13-85) ranging from 100 per cent iron core planet to 100 per cent  $H_2O$  planet. Also, two-layer models from Zeng et al. [\(2019\)](#page-13-86) are shown for 2 per cent H2 envelopes at different temperatures (magenta, purple). Extended models (Lopez & Fortney [2014\)](#page-13-87) are shown for 95 per cent and 98 per cent core mass fraction, 6.2 Gyr (orange).

compositions, which might indicate they share similar formation histories.

Moreover, it is interesting to mention the planet K2-100 b from the *K2* mission (Mann et al. [2017\)](#page-13-88). Recently characterized by Bar-ragán et al. ([2019b\)](#page-12-33), the planet consists of a young, inflated Neptune on a short period around a G-type star. TOI-132 b falls within the evolutionary range of K2-100 b after 5 Gyr. This may indicate in the past TOI-132 b could have shared similar characteristics to that of K2-100 b, and at some point given the strong stellar irradiation on TOI-132 b could have caused atmospheric loss we see in the present. Hence, TOI-132 b is an interesting target for atmospheric transit spectroscopy, to check for evidence of ongoing atmospheric loss through a wind.

While TOI-132 b is not as extreme in some respects as the recently discovered, first ultrahot Neptune LTT 9779 b (Jenkins et al. [2020\)](#page-12-9), it is placed right at the edge of the Neptune desert. The survival of the planet's atmosphere can likely be understood based on its large core mass, and also the incompatibility with being composed of either 100 per cent rock or water. This would imply that, at the present time, TOI-132 b could maintain some significant gaseous atmosphere. We employed a 1D thermal evolution model (Lopez & Fortney [2014\)](#page-13-87), and for an Earth-like rocky core we find a best-fitting current day atmospheric mass fraction of  $4.3^{+1.2}_{-2.3}$  per cent gas, which can be retained with an initial envelope fraction of ∼ 9 per cent at 10 Myr. We note here that rocky core likely consists of a combination of rock and iron even if the relative core mass fraction is not clear. Moreover, these results are model dependent rather than being directly constrained by the data.

With the *Gaia* parameters from Table [1,](#page-5-0) we calculated the star's Galactic space motion. We used the IDL routine calc\_uvw, based upon Johnson & Soderblom [\(1987\)](#page-13-89) and the local standard of rest from Coşkunoğlu et al. ([2011\)](#page-12-34), we obtained  $(U, V, W) = (18.4 \pm 0.2,$  $-32.6 \pm 0.4$ , 16.5  $\pm$  0.4) km s<sup>-1</sup>. Per the methodology of Reddy, Lambert & Allende Prieto [\(2006\)](#page-13-90), this corresponds to a 98 per cent probability that TOI-132 belongs to the Galactic thin disc, which is consistent with the relatively high [Fe/H] we measured for the star.

The relatively high metallicity of the host star can also help to explain the large core mass fraction of the planet. Such metal-rich discs can quickly build up high-mass cores that can accumulate large fractions of gas before the disc is dispersed on time-scales of ∼5–10 Myr (Baraffe, Chabrier & Barman [2010;](#page-12-35) Mulders [2018\)](#page-13-91). Indeed, we may expect more cores to have been formed in this process, possibly influencing the migration history of TOI-132 b, and therefore future precision RV measurements should be sought to search for the presence of a more rich planetary system.

In this paper, we have presented the *TESS* discovery of a Neptunesized planet transiting the G-type star TOI-132 on the edge of the Neptune desert. Confirmation of this candidate comes from high-precision HARPS spectroscopic observations that fully constrain the orbital and physical parameters of TOI-132 b. Additional ground-based photometry and speckle images provide evidence of the planetary nature of TOI-132 b.

Structure models suggest that the planet can have a rocky core, retaining an atmospheric mass fraction of  $4.3^{+1.2}_{-2.3}$  per cent. TOI-132 b stands as a *TESS* Level 1 Science Requirement candidate, which aims to precisely measure the masses for 50 transiting planets smaller than 4*R*⊕. Therefore, future follow-up observations will allow the search for additional planets in the TOI-132 system, and also will help to constrain low-mass planet formation and evolution models, key to understanding the Neptune desert.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

MRD acknowledges support of CONICYT-PFCHA/Doctorado Nacional-21140646 and Proyecto Basal AFB-170002. JSJ is supported by FONDECYT grant 1161218 and partial support from CATA-Basal (PB06, CONICYT). ZMB acknowledges funds from CONICYT-FONDECYT/Chile Postdoctorado 3180405. JIV acknowledges support of CONICYT- PFCHA/Doctorado Nacional-21191829, Chile. ME acknowledges the support of the DFG priority program SPP 1992 'Exploring the Diversity of Extrasolar Planets' (HA 3279/12-1). KWFL, SzCs acknowledge support by DFG grant RA 714/14-1 within the DFG Schwerpunkt SPP 1992, 'Exploring the Diversity of Extrasolar Planets'. LGC acknowledges support from the MINECO FPI-SO doctoral research project SEV-

2015-0548-17-2 and predoctoral contract BES-2017-082610. SM acknowledges support from the Spanish Ministry under the Ramon y Cajal fellowship number RYC-2015-17697. NN acknowledges support by JSPS KAKENHI grant JP18H01265 and JP18H05439, and JST PRESTO grant JPMJPR1775. AS acknowledges financial support from the French Programme National de Planétologie (PNP, INSU). Funding for the *TESS* mission is provided by NASA's Science Mission directorate. We acknowledge the use of *TESS* Alert data, from pipelines at the *TESS* Science Office and at the *TESS* SPOC. Resources supporting this work were provided by the NASA High-End Computing Program through the NASA Advanced Supercomputing Division at Ames Research Center for the production of the SPOC data products. This research has used the Exoplanet Follow-up Observation Program website, which is operated by the California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration under the Exoplanet Exploration Program. This work uses observations from the LCOGT network.

#### **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-12-22"></span>Anglada-Escudé G. et al., 2012, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/751/1/L16) 751, L16
- <span id="page-12-35"></span>Baraffe I., Chabrier G., Barman T., 2010, [Rep. Prog. Phys.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/73/1/016901) 73, 016901
- <span id="page-12-21"></span>Baranne A. et al., 1996, A&AS, 119, 373
- <span id="page-12-30"></span>Barragán O., Gandolfi D., Antoniciello G., 2019a, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty2472) 482, 1017 Barragán O. et al., 2019b, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz2569) 490, 698
- <span id="page-12-33"></span><span id="page-12-3"></span>Batalha N. M. et al., 2013, [ApJS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/204/2/24) 204, 24
- 
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>Beaugé C., Nesvorný D., 2013, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/763/1/12) 763, 12 Benítez-Llambay P., Masset F., Beaugé C., 2011, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201015774) 528, A2
- <span id="page-12-5"></span><span id="page-12-0"></span>Borucki W. J., 2010, APS April Meeting Abstracts, #V1.002
- <span id="page-12-20"></span>Bouchy F., Pepe F., Queloz D., 2001, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20010730) 374, 733
- <span id="page-12-27"></span>Brahm R. et al., 2019, [AJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/ab279a) 158, 45
- <span id="page-12-18"></span>Brown T. M. et al., 2013, [PASP,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/673168) 125, 1031
- <span id="page-12-17"></span>Ceillier T. et al., 2017, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201629884) 605, A111
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>Chen H., Rogers L. A., 2016, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/831/2/180) 831, 180
- <span id="page-12-19"></span>Collins K. A., Kielkopf J. F., Stassun K. G., Hessman F. V., 2017, [AJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/153/2/77) 153, 77
- <span id="page-12-34"></span>Coșkunoğlu B. et al., 2011, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.17983.x) 412, 1237
- <span id="page-12-23"></span>Díaz M. R. et al., 2018, [AJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aaa896) 155, 126
- <span id="page-12-32"></span>Eastman J., 2017, Astrophysics Source Code Library, record ascl:1710.003 Eastman J., Gaudi B. S., Agol E., 2013, [PASP,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/669497) 125, 83
- <span id="page-12-31"></span><span id="page-12-29"></span>Espinoza N., 2018, Research Notes of the American Astronomical Society, Vol. 2, Efficient Joint Sampling of Impact Parameters and Transit Depths in Transiting Exoplanet Light Curves . p. 209
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>Espinoza N. et al., 2016, [AJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/0004-6256/152/4/108) 152, 108
- <span id="page-12-26"></span>Espinoza N., Kossakowski D., Brahm R., 2019a, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz2688) 490, 2262
- <span id="page-12-15"></span>Espinoza N. et al., 2019b, MNRAS, 491, 2982
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>Esposito M. et al., 2019, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201834853) 623, A165
- <span id="page-12-24"></span>Fridlund M. et al., 2017, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201730822) 604, A16
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>Fulton B. J. et al., 2017, [AJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aa80eb) 154, 109
- <span id="page-12-28"></span>Fulton B. J., Petigura E. A., Blunt S., Sinukoff E., 2018, [PASP,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1538-3873/aaaaa8) 130, 044504
- <span id="page-12-25"></span>Gandolfi D. et al., 2019, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ab17d9) 876, L24
- <span id="page-12-16"></span>García R. A. et al., 2014, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201423888) 572, A34
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>Helled R., Lozovsky M., Zucker S., 2016, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnrasl/slv158) 455, L96
- <span id="page-12-14"></span>Huang C. X. et al., 2018, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aaef91) 868, L39
- <span id="page-12-10"></span>Jenkins J. M., 2002, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/341136) 575, 493
- <span id="page-12-13"></span>Jenkins J. M., 2017, Technical report, Kepler Data Processing Handbook: Overview of the Science Operations Center. NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field CA
- <span id="page-12-11"></span>Jenkins J. M. et al., 2010, in Radziwill N. M., Bridger A., eds, Proc. SPIE Conf. Ser. Vol. 7740, Software and Cyberinfrastructure for Astronomy. SPIE, Bellingham, p. 77400D
- <span id="page-12-12"></span>Jenkins J. M. et al., 2016, in Chiozzi G., Guzman J. C., eds, Proc. SPIE Conf. Ser. Vol. 9913, Software and Cyberinfrastructure for Astronomy IV. SPIE, Bellingham, p. 99133E
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>Jenkins J. S. et al., 2020, Nature Astronomy, in review
- <span id="page-13-55"></span><span id="page-13-36"></span>Jensen E., 2013, Astrophysics Source Code Library, record ascl:1306.007 Jin S., Mordasini C., Parmentier V., van Boekel R., Henning T., Ji J., 2014, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/795/1/65) 795, 65
- <span id="page-13-89"></span>Johnson D. R. H., Soderblom D. R., 1987, [AJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/114370) 93, 864
- <span id="page-13-82"></span>Kipping D. M., 2013, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stt1435) 435, 2152
- <span id="page-13-78"></span>Kossakowski D. et al., 2019, MNRAS, 490, 1094
- <span id="page-13-79"></span>Kreidberg L., 2015, [PASP,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/683602) 127, 1161
- <span id="page-13-50"></span>Li J., Tenenbaum P., Twicken J. D., Burke C. J., Jenkins J. M., Quintana E. V., Rowe J. F., Seader S. E., 2019, [PASP,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1538-3873/aaf44d) 131, 024506
- <span id="page-13-34"></span>Lopez E. D., Fortney J. J., 2013, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/776/1/2) 776, 2
- <span id="page-13-87"></span>Lopez E. D., Fortney J. J., 2014, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/792/1/1) 792, 1
- <span id="page-13-60"></span>Lovis C. et al., 2011, preprint [\(arXiv:1107.5325\)](http://arxiv.org/abs/1107.5325)
- <span id="page-13-43"></span>Lundkvist M. S. et al., 2016, [Nat. Commun.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncomms11201) 7, 11201
- <span id="page-13-38"></span>Luque R. et al., 2019, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201834952) 623, A114
- <span id="page-13-88"></span>Mann A. W. et al., 2017, [AJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1361-6528/aa5276) 153, 64
- <span id="page-13-37"></span>Marcy G. W. et al., 2014, [ApJS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/210/2/20) 210, 20
- <span id="page-13-53"></span>Mathur S. et al., 2010, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/200913266) 511, A46
- <span id="page-13-39"></span>Mayo A. W. et al., 2019, [AJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/ab3e2f) 158, 165
- <span id="page-13-42"></span>Mazeh T., Holczer T., Faigler S., 2016, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201528065) 589, A75
- <span id="page-13-56"></span>M[cCully C. et al., 2018, LCOGT/banzai: Initial Release. Available at:](https://zenodo.org/record/1257560#.XkvxDIhOnIU) Zeno do.org.org/record/1257560
- <span id="page-13-77"></span>Meschiari S., Wolf A. S., Rivera E., Laughlin G., Vogt S., Butler P., 2009, [PASP,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/605730) 121, 1016
- <span id="page-13-91"></span>Mulders G. D., 2018, Planet Populations as a Function of Stellar Properties. Springer International Publishing AG, Springer Nature, p. 153
- <span id="page-13-83"></span>Nielsen L. D. et al., 2020, MNRAS, in press
- <span id="page-13-44"></span>Owen J. E., Lai D., 2018, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty1760) 479, 5012
- <span id="page-13-35"></span>Owen J. E., Wu Y., 2013, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/775/2/105) 775, 105
- <span id="page-13-40"></span>Palle E. et al., 2019, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201834001) 623, A41
- <span id="page-13-48"></span>Pepe F. et al., 2002, The Messenger, 110, 9
- <span id="page-13-68"></span>Persson C. M. et al., 2018, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201832867) 618, A33
- <span id="page-13-69"></span>Persson C. M. et al., 2019, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201935505) 628, A64
- <span id="page-13-32"></span>Petigura E. A., Howard A. W., Marcy G. W., 2013, [Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1319909110) 110, 19273
- <span id="page-13-66"></span>Piskunov N., Valenti J. A., 2017, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201629124) 597, A16
- <span id="page-13-76"></span>Pojmanski G., 1997, Acta Astron., 47, 467
- <span id="page-13-59"></span>Queloz D. et al., 2001, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20011308) 379, 279
- <span id="page-13-90"></span>Reddy B. E., Lambert D. L., Allende Prieto C., 2006, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2006.10148.x) 367, 1329
- <span id="page-13-47"></span>Ricker G. R. et al., 2015, [J. Astron. Telesc. Instrum. Syst.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1117/1.JATIS.1.1.014003) 1, 014003
- <span id="page-13-62"></span>Santerne A. et al., 2015, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stv1080) 451, 2337
- <span id="page-13-54"></span>Santos A. R. G., García R. A., Mathur S., Bugnet L., van Saders J. L., Metcalfe T. S., Simonian G. V. A., Pinsonneault M. H., 2019, [ApJS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4365/ab3b56) 244, 21
- <span id="page-13-73"></span>Schlegel D. J., Finkbeiner D. P., Davis M., 1998, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/305772) 500, 525
- <span id="page-13-51"></span>Smith J. C. et al., 2012, [PASP,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/667697) 124, 1000
- <span id="page-13-64"></span>Soto M. G., Jenkins J. S., 2018, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201731533) 615, A76
- <span id="page-13-84"></span>Southworth J., 2011, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.19399.x) 417, 2166
- <span id="page-13-81"></span>Speagle J. S., 2020, MNRAS, preprint [\(arXiv:1904.02180\)](http://arxiv.org/abs/1904.02180)
- <span id="page-13-80"></span>Speagle J. S., Barbary K., 2018, Astrophysics Source Code Library, record ascl:1809.013
- <span id="page-13-70"></span>Stassun K. G., Torres G., 2016, [AJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/0004-6256/152/6/180) 152, 180
- <span id="page-13-58"></span>Stassun K. G., Torres G., 2018, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aacafc) 862, 61
- <span id="page-13-71"></span>Stassun K. G., Collins K. A., Gaudi B. S., 2017, [AJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aa5df3) 153, 136
- <span id="page-13-72"></span>Stassun K. G., Corsaro E., Pepper J. A., Gaudi B. S., 2018a, [AJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aa998a) 155, 22
- <span id="page-13-57"></span>Stassun K. G. et al., 2018b, [AJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aad050) 156, 102
- <span id="page-13-52"></span>Stumpe M. C., Smith J. C., Catanzarite J. H., Van Cleve J. E., Jenkins J. M., Twicken J. D., Girouard F. R., 2014, [PASP,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/674989) 126, 100
- <span id="page-13-41"></span>Szabó G. M., Kiss L. L., 2011, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/727/2/L44) 727, L44
- <span id="page-13-75"></span>Tokovinin A., Mason B. D., Hartkopf W. I., Mendez R. A., Horch E. P., 2018, [AJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aabf8d) 155, 235
- <span id="page-13-74"></span>Torres G., Andersen J., Giménez A., 2010, [A&AR,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00159-009-0025-1) 18, 67
- <span id="page-13-49"></span>Twicken J. D. et al., 2018, American Astronomical Society Meeting Abstracts #232, p. 120.04
- <span id="page-13-65"></span>Valenti J. A., Piskunov N., 1996, A&AS, 118, 595
- <span id="page-13-33"></span>Van Eylen V., Agentoft C., Lundkvist M. S., Kjeldsen H., Owen J. E., Fulton B. J., Petigura E., Snellen I., 2018, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty1783) 479, 4786
- <span id="page-13-45"></span>West R. G. et al., 2019, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz1084) 486, 5094

<span id="page-13-46"></span>Wheatley P. J. et al., 2018, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx2836) 475, 4476

- <span id="page-13-67"></span>Yee S. W., Petigura E. A., von Braun K., 2017, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/836/1/77) 836, 77
- <span id="page-13-63"></span>Zechmeister M., Kürster M., 2009, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:200811296) 496, 577
- <span id="page-13-61"></span>Zechmeister M. et al., 2013, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201116551) 552, A78
- <span id="page-13-85"></span>Zeng L., Sasselov D. D., Jacobsen S. B., 2016, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/819/2/127) 819, 127
- <span id="page-13-86"></span>Zeng L. et al., 2019, [Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1812905116) 116, 9723
- <span id="page-13-0"></span><sup>1</sup> Departamento de Astronomía, Universidad de Chile, Camino El Observa*torio 1515, Las Condes, Santiago, Chile*
- <span id="page-13-1"></span><sup>2</sup>*Dipartimento di Fisica, Universita degli Studi di Torino, via Pietro Giuria ` 1, I-10125 Torino, Italy*
- <span id="page-13-2"></span><sup>3</sup>*NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, 20771 MD, USA*
- <span id="page-13-3"></span><sup>4</sup>*School of Physics and Astronomy, Queen Mary University of London, G.O. Jones Building, 327 Mile End Road, London E1 4NS, UK*
- <span id="page-13-4"></span><sup>5</sup>*Department of Physics & Astronomy, Vanderbilt University, 6301 Stevenson Center Ln., Nashville, TN 37235, USA*
- <span id="page-13-5"></span><sup>6</sup>*Department of Physics, Fisk University, 1000 17th Ave. N., Nashville, TN 37208, USA*
- <span id="page-13-6"></span><sup>7</sup>*Center for Astrophysics* <sup>|</sup> *Harvard & Smithsonian, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA*
- <span id="page-13-7"></span><sup>8</sup>*Dunlap Institute for Astronomy and Astrophysics, University of Toronto, ON M5S 3H4, Canada*
- <span id="page-13-8"></span><sup>9</sup>*Department of Space, Earth and Environment, Chalmers University of Technology, Onsala Space Observatory, SE- 439 92 Onsala, Sweden*
- <span id="page-13-9"></span><sup>10</sup>*Leiden Observatory, University of Leiden, PO Box 9513, NL-2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands*
- <span id="page-13-10"></span><sup>11</sup>*Department of Physics & Astronomy, Swarthmore College, Swarthmore, PA 19081, USA*
- <span id="page-13-11"></span><sup>12</sup>*Instituto de Astrof´ısica de Canarias, V´ıa Lactea s/n, E-38205 La Laguna, ´ Tenerife, Spain*
- <span id="page-13-12"></span><sup>13</sup>Departamento de Astrofísica, Universidad de La Laguna, San Cristobal *de La Laguna, E-38200 S/C de Tenerife, Spain*
- <span id="page-13-14"></span><span id="page-13-13"></span><sup>14</sup>*CNRS, CNES, LAM, Aix Marseille University, 13013 Marseille, France* <sup>15</sup>*Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Gibbet Hill Road, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK*
- <span id="page-13-15"></span><sup>16</sup>*Centre for Exoplanets and Habitability, University of Warwick, Gibbet Hill Road, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK*
- <span id="page-13-16"></span><sup>17</sup>Thüringer Landessternwarte Tautenburg, Sternwarte 5, D-07778 Tauten*burg, Germany*
- <span id="page-13-17"></span><sup>18</sup>*Las Cumbres Observatory, 6740 Cortona Dr., Ste. 102, Goleta, CA 93117, USA*
- <span id="page-13-18"></span><sup>19</sup>*Center for Astronomy and Astrophysics, Technical University Berlin, Hardenbergstr 36, D-10623 Berlin, Germany*
- <span id="page-13-19"></span><sup>20</sup>*Department of Astronomy, University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan*
- <span id="page-13-20"></span><sup>21</sup>*Mullard Space Science Laboratory, University College London, Holmbury St Mary, Dorking, Surrey RH5 6NT, UK*
- <span id="page-13-21"></span><sup>22</sup>*Department of Astrophysical Sciences, Princeton University, 4 Ivy Lane, Princeton, NJ 08540, USA*
- <span id="page-13-22"></span><sup>23</sup>*Astrobiology Center, 2-21-1 Osawa, Mitaka, Tokyo 181-8588, Japan*
- <span id="page-13-23"></span><sup>24</sup>*JST, PRESTO, 2-21-1 Osawa, Mitaka, Tokyo 181-8588, Japan*
- <span id="page-13-24"></span><sup>25</sup>*National Astronomical Observatory of Japan, 2-21-1 Osawa, Mitaka, Tokyo 181-8588, Japan*
- <span id="page-13-26"></span><span id="page-13-25"></span><sup>26</sup>*Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory, Casilla 603, La Serena, Chile* <sup>27</sup>*George Mason University, 4400 University Drive, Fairfax, VA, 22030, USA*
- <span id="page-13-27"></span><sup>28</sup>*German Aerospace Center, Institute of Planetary Research, Rutherfordstrasse 2, D-12489 Berlin, Germany*
- <span id="page-13-28"></span><sup>29</sup>*MIT Kavli Institute for Astrophysics and Space Research, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, 37-241, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA*
- <span id="page-13-29"></span><sup>30</sup>*Physics Department and Tsinghua Centre for Astrophysics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China*
- <span id="page-13-31"></span><span id="page-13-30"></span><sup>31</sup>*IRFU, CEA, Universite Paris-Saclay, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France ´* <sup>32</sup>*AIM, CEA, CNRS, Universite Paris-Saclay, Universit ´ e Paris Diderot, ´ Sorbonne Paris Cite, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France ´*

<span id="page-14-0"></span><sup>33</sup>*Department of Earth, Atmospheric and Planetary Sciences, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA*

<span id="page-14-1"></span><sup>34</sup>*NASA Ames Research Center, Moffet Field, CA 94035, USA*

<span id="page-14-2"></span><sup>35</sup>*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-3255, USA*

<span id="page-14-3"></span><sup>36</sup>*SETI Institute, 189 Bernardo Ave, Suite 200, Mountain View, CA 94043, USA*

<span id="page-14-4"></span><sup>37</sup>*Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics, MIT, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA*

<span id="page-14-5"></span><sup>38</sup>*Perth Exoplanet Survey Telescope, Perth, Western Australia*

<span id="page-14-6"></span><sup>39</sup>*Department of Astronomy, The University of Texas at Austin, 2515 Speedway, Stop C1400 Austin, TX 78712-1205, USA*