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ABSTRACT
The groundbreaking detection of gravitational waves produced by the inspiralling and coalescence of the black hole (BH) binary GW150914 confirms the existence of ‘heavy’ stellar-mass BHs with masses >25 M☉. Initial characterization of the system by Abbott et al. supposes that the formation of BHs with such large masses from the evolution of single massive stars is only feasible if the wind mass-loss rates of the progenitors were greatly reduced relative to the mass-loss rates of massive stars in the Galaxy, concluding that heavy BHs must form in low-metallicity (Z ≲ 0.25–0.5Z☉) environments. However, strong surface magnetic fields also provide a powerful mechanism for modifying mass-loss and rotation of massive stars, independent of environmental metallicity. In this paper, we explore the hypothesis that some heavy BHs, with masses >25 M☉ such as those inferred to compose GW150914, could be the natural end-point of evolution of magnetic massive stars in a solar-metallicity environment. Using the MESA code, we developed a new grid of single, non-rotating, solar-metallicity evolutionary models for initial zero-age main sequence masses from 40 to 80 M☉ that include, for the first time, the quenching of the mass-loss due to a realistic dipolar surface magnetic field. The new models predict terminal-age main-sequence (TAMS) masses that are significantly greater than those from equivalent non-magnetic models, reducing the total mass lost by a strongly magnetized 80 M☉ star during its main-sequence evolution by 20 M☉. This corresponds approximately to the mass-loss reduction expected from an environment with metallicity Z = 1/30 Z☉.
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1 INTRODUCTION
On 2015 September 14, the Laser Interferometric Gravitational-Wave Observatory (LIGO) detected their first gravitational wave event GW150914, as predicted by Postnov & Yungelson (2014); Morscher et al. (2015); Marchant et al. (2016). According to Abbott et al. (2016a), this event originated from the merger of two black holes (BHs) with masses of 36 and 29 M☉ at redshift z = 0.09. The high masses of the merging BHs is in stark contrast with the handful of BHs (<15 M☉) in our Galaxy for which dynamical masses can be inferred (e.g. Özel et al. 2010). GW150914 therefore provides the best evidence that relatively ‘heavy’ (>25 M☉) BHs do form in nature.

The most likely origin of these objects is via the evolution of massive stars. According to standard narratives of stellar evolution, one of the critical aspects to the formation of heavy BHs (in isolation as well as in multiple systems) is the total mass lost during their evolution, which in turn is very dependent on the metallicity. This is because massive stars have powerful, radiatively driven stellar winds (Puls, Vink & Najarro 2008, and references therein) with the opacity of resonance-line transitions in the UV as the main driving mechanism. The predicted slightly sub-linear dependence of mass-loss rate on metallicity (Vink, de Koter & Lamers 2001) is corroborated by observations of massive stars in nearby,
metal-poor galaxies (Mokiem et al. 2007a,b). Models of isolated, single massive star evolution show that heavy BHs are likely to form in low-metallicity environments with $Z \lesssim 0.1Z_\odot$ (Spera, Mapelli & Bressan 2015; Belczynski et al. 2016; Abbott et al. 2016b).

In this paper, we explore the effects of a large-scale, dipolar surface magnetic field in suppressing wind mass-loss and enabling an additional channel for a heavy BH to form in a solar-metallicity environment.

In the last decade, large magnetometric surveys (Fossati et al. 2015; Wade et al. 2016) have revealed a population of magnetic massive stars, comprising $\sim10$ per cent of all main-sequence (MS) OB stars. These magnetic fields, ranging from a few hundred Gauss to tens of kilogauss, have properties different from dynamo-powered solar-type stars: They are of large scale and mainly dipolar, stable and probably of fossil origin, i.e. they were left behind from a previous evolutionary epoch.

An important aspect of magnetic massive stars is the formation of wind-fed circumstellar magnetospheres (ud-Doula & Owocki 2002; Townsend, Owocki & ud-Doula 2007; ud-Doula et al. 2008; ud-Doula et al. 2013). The interaction between the wind and field creates a region of closed loops (Fig. 1) that channels the upflowing wind material into standing shocks near the loop apices. The magnetic field strongly couples the wind to the stellar surface, forcing it into corotation. In the absence of significant stellar rotation ability to provide centrifugal support to the cooling, post-shock material, the trapped gas is pulled back to the stellar surface by gravity over a dynamical time-scale (Owocki et al. 2009). Such a magnetosphere is referred to as a ‘dynamical magnetosphere’ (DM). The mass-loss rate is thus reduced according to the fraction of the stellar surface feeding closed loops.

It has been shown both theoretically and observationally that the rotational braking produced by these magnetic fields is very effective for the most massive O-type stars (ud-Doula, Owocki & Townsend 2009; Petit et al. 2013). Therefore, very massive magnetic stars should rapidly transition from hosting a rotationally supported magnetosphere to a DM. As we will present, many of the known magnetic O stars have a significant fraction of their winds returning to the stellar surface because of magnetic confinement, effectively reducing the mass-loss to a point that can rival with the effect of a low metallicity.

In this paper, we explore how the magnetic confinement evolves with time to predict how large-scale, dipolar magnetic fields, like those measured on $\sim10$ per cent of O stars, will reduce the lifetime-integrated mass-loss, making it easier to form heavy BHs from magnetic progenitors, lessening (or altogether doing away with) the requirements for very low metallicity.

Section 2 summarizes the current day magnetic confinement of magnetic O-type stars and compares with metallicity relations. Section 3 explains our implementation of the magnetic confinement scenario within the Modules for Experiments in Stellar Astrophysics (MESA) evolution code. Section 4 presents the relation between the initial and final masses of our models at galactic metallicity. Finally, Section 5 summarizes our findings.

### 2 WIND QUENCHING BY MAGNETIC CONFINEMENT IN O-TYPE STARS

As described above, a large-scale magnetic field at the surface of a massive star can confine the outflowing, radiatively driven wind (Babel & Montmerle 1997a,b). The principal influence of the magnetic field on the stellar wind is to reduce the effective rate of mass-loss, due to two main effects.

(i) In the slowly rotating, DM case, only the open field regions contribute to the total mass-loss by the star (red regions in Fig. 1), as the trapped, post-shock material located in closed-line regions (blue region in the figure) is constantly pulled back to the stellar surface by gravity (ud-Doula et al. 2008).

(ii) The tilt of the magnetic field with respect to the direction normal to the stellar surface reduces the wind-feeding rate at the loop footpoint (Owocki & ud-Doula 2004; Bard & Townsend 2016). This results in a further reduction of the total wind-feeding rate, more important for low latitude loops near the magnetic equator. As low latitude field loops will generally be closed for a dipolar magnetic geometry with a typical wind confinement, this effect adds only marginally to the reduction of the mass-loss rate; hence, we ignore this higher order effect. However, as a consequence we will obtain a conservative lower limit to the mass-loss reduction caused by the presence of the magnetic field.

According to ud-Doula & Owocki (2002), the equatorial radius, of the farthest closed magnetic loop, $R_c$, in a magnetized wind with a dipolar geometry at the stellar surface is of the order of the Alfvén radius $R_A$ (see Fig. 1). More precisely,

$$R_c \approx R_* + 0.7(R_A - R_*)$$

where $R_*$ is the stellar radius.

---

1 For binary evolution, the low-metallicity requirement is less stringent in some models (Belczynski et al. 2016; Marchant et al. 2016, and reference therein.)

2 With the exception of Plaskett’s star, which has significant rotation, and is thought to be a post-mass-transfer object (Grunhut et al. 2013).
The location of the Alfvén radius corresponds to the point in the magnetic equatorial plane where the field energy density equals the wind kinetic energy:

$$R_A \approx 0.3 + \left( \frac{B_0^2 R_c^2}{4 M_{B=0} V_{\infty, B=0}^2} + 0.25 \right)^{1/4},$$

where $B_0$ is the surface dipolar field strength. It is important to note that the Alfvén radius is parametrized by the mass-loss rate and wind terminal velocity the star would have in absence of a magnetic field, $M_{B=0}$ and $V_{\infty, B=0}$. This mass-loss will be referred to as the ‘wind-feeding rate’ at the base of the magnetosphere to avoid confusion with the greatly reduced total rate of mass-loss.

Tracing back the last closed loop to its footprint on the stellar surface, we can determine the fractional area covered by open field lines (red-shaded region in Fig. 1) as a function of the closure radius of the last magnetic loop. Following ud-Doula et al. (2008), we assume that this fraction of the surface alone (reproduced at both magnetic poles) is responsible for the total mass-loss from the star and we define the dipolar escaping wind fraction $f_B$ as

$$f_B = \frac{M}{M_{B=0}} = 1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{R_A}{R_c}}.$$

We note that in the case of a star with dynamically significant rotation, fallback occurs only for magnetic loops for which material is not centrifugally supported, i.e. with equatorial radii less than the Kepler corotation radius $R_K$ (ud-Doula et al. 2008; Townsend et al. 2007). Without any loss of generality, $R_K$ in the above equation could be replaced with $R_A$ in such cases.

In Table 1, we compute the present-day values of $f_B$ for the known magnetic O-type stars included in the compilation of Petit et al. (2013). For all but one star the Alfvén radius is smaller than the corotation radius, as can be seen from columns 7 and 8, and we therefore use $R_A$ for our calculations. As a more intuitive comparison, we list in column 4 the fiducial rotational period that would be needed for the Kepler radius to be smaller than the Alfvén radius. For a generic magnetic MS O-type stars with $R_A \sim 2 - 3 R_c$, the rotational period would need to be shorter than one week in order to be dynamically significant, whereas the observed rotational periods are typically of the order of months.

The typical known magnetic O-type stars have an Alfvén radius of $R_A \sim 1.1 - 3.7 R_c$, which corresponds to an escaping wind fraction $f_B$ of 70–15 per cent, respectively. NGC 1624–2, the magnetic O-type star with the strongest field known (Wade et al. 2012a) has a much larger Alfvén radius, $R_A \sim 11 R_c$, leading to only 5 per cent of its wind escaping the magnetosphere.

For comparison, Fig. 2 illustrates the metallicities and equivalent values of $R_A$ that produce an equivalent mass-loss reduction. The mass-loss rate dependence on metallicity for non-magnetic stars is taken from the scaling relations by Vink et al. (2001).

For most known magnetic stars in the Galaxy, the effect of the magnetic field corresponds to the equivalent mass-loss reduction for stars at metallicities ranging between that of the Small Magellanic Cloud (SMC) and 1/10 Z⊙. The most extreme case, NGC 1624–2, has a mass-loss reduction equivalent to that which would occur for a similar star at a metallicity of $1/30 Z⊙$.

Naively, Galactic metallicity magnetic O stars could in principle evolve in a fashion similar to O stars with much lower metallicity. As

**Table 1.** List of known magnetic massive O-type stars with their spectral type, rotational period $P$, fiducial rotational period that would be needed for dynamically important rotation $P_{CM}$, mass, dipolar field strength $B_0$, Alfvén radius $R_A$ and escaping wind fraction $f_B$. Columns 1–3 and 5–8 are reproduced from Petit et al. (2013).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Star</th>
<th>Spec. type</th>
<th>$P$ (d)</th>
<th>$P_{CM}$ (d)</th>
<th>$M_*$ (M☉)</th>
<th>$B_{pole}$ (kG)</th>
<th>$R_K/R_*$</th>
<th>$R_A/R_*$</th>
<th>$f_B$ (per cent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HD 148937</td>
<td>O6f?p</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPD-282561</td>
<td>O6.5f?p</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>&gt;1.7</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>&gt;3.1</td>
<td>&lt;18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD 37022</td>
<td>O7Vp</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD 191612</td>
<td>O6f?p-08fp</td>
<td>537</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 1624–2</td>
<td>O6.5f?cp-08f?cp</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>&gt;20</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>&gt;11</td>
<td>&lt;4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD 47129</td>
<td>O7.5III</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>&gt;2.8</td>
<td>&lt;2.2</td>
<td>&gt;5.4</td>
<td>&lt;24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD 108</td>
<td>O8f?p</td>
<td>18 000</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>&gt;0.50</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>&gt;1.7</td>
<td>&lt;36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALS 15218</td>
<td>O8.5V</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>&gt;1.5</td>
<td>&gt;0.50</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>&gt;1.7</td>
<td>&lt;36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD 57682</td>
<td>O9V</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD 37742</td>
<td>O9.5ib</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. *$\sin i$ is measured to be $\sim 300$ km s$^{-1}$ resulting in $P_{rot}/\sin i = 1.8$ d (Grunhut et al. 2013).
a consequence, such evolution might then permit the formation of heavier remnants even at a metallicity higher than the $Z < 1/10 Z_\odot$ required by non-magnetic, single star models for the formation of BH as massive as those involved in the merger of GW150914 (Spera et al. 2015; Abbott et al. 2016b; Belczynski et al. 2016).

However, given its dependence on magnetic, stellar and wind parameters, the escaping wind fraction due to magnetic confinement will likely evolve during a star’s lifetime in a different way than a reduction of mass-loss due to a low metallicity. Therefore as a first step, we concentrate on the total mass that is lost during the span of a star’s MS lifetime, by implementing the effect of wind confinement in MESA, as described in the following section.

3 THE BEHAVIOUR OF MAGNETIC CONFINEMENT OVER STELLAR EVOLUTION TIME-SCALES

The well-studied large-scale magnetic fields that have been firmly detected at the surfaces of many massive stars – believed to have a fossil origin (e.g. Braithwaite 2009; Wade et al. 2011) – have only been considered thus far in a handful of evolutionary models.

Meynet, Eggenberger & Maeder (2011) studied the effects of magnetic fields in enhancing surface angular momentum loss by magnetic braking during the evolution of a $10 M_\odot$ star. Considering two models reflecting extreme behaviours of angular momentum transport in the interior, they found that: (i) when the interior is differentially rotating, the surface becomes enriched in nitrogen; (ii) when the interior is in solid-body rotation, there is no surface nitrogen enrichment and the surface rotation decreases more rapidly with time than for the differential rotation case.

Most other studies using magnetic stellar evolution models considered only the internal effects of shear dynamo-generated magnetic fields in massive stars (Heger, Woosley & Spruit 2005; Maeder & Meynet 2003, 2004, 2005; Petrovic et al. 2005), mostly to account for the transport angular momentum via the proposed (but debated) Tayler–Spruit mechanism (Tayler 1973; Spruit 2002, see evolutionary models from, e.g. Brott et al. 2011; Song et al. 2016).

Such dynamo mechanisms have been proposed to operate in massive stars, either generated by convection in the core (Augustson, Brun & Toomre 2016) or in a subsurface layer (Cantiello et al. 2009), and also generated by shear in the radiative envelope (Spruit 2002; Braithwaite 2006; Rüdiger, Kitchatinov & Elstner 2012, but see Zahn, Brun & Mathis 2007). However, the presence of dynamo fields detectable at the surfaces of hot, massive stars have not been confirmed by observations yet (e.g. Neiner et al. 2015).

While it is clear that fossil or possible dynamo fields do have an impact on the stellar interiors and especially angular momentum transport (e.g. Duez & Mathis 2010; Duez, Mathis & Turck-Chièze 2010; Meynet et al. 2011), our immediate focus is to study how large-scale fossil fields affect the evolution of the mass-loss, and ultimately the final mass available to form a stellar remnant.

Thus, in terms of 1D hydrodynamical model calculations, we account for the alteration of the mass-loss rates due to magneto-spheric effects for stars with large initial masses (>40 M_\odot; corresponding to O-type stars), as described below. Furthermore, we consider only non-rotating models, to reduce the complications arising from the unknown structure of fossil fields in stellar interiors, and the associated modification of the interior angular momentum transport. This is a very reasonable first approach considering that most known magnetic O-type stars rotate very slowly compared to non-magnetic O-type stars (see the rotational periods summarized in Table 1). Details of our implementation are described in the following subsections.

3.1 Mesa implementation

We use the open-source 1D hydrodynamical stellar evolution code, MESA ( Paxton et al. 2011, 2013, 2015), with the following new, simple treatment to manipulate the mass-loss rates.

We implement the effect of a large-scale dipolar magnetic field using the mass-loss reduction prescription of ud-Doula & Owocki (2002) as summarized in Section 2. We assume a range of initial [zero-age main sequence (ZAMS)] surface magnetic fluxes, corresponding approximately to the range of fluxes measured in real magnetic O stars. We impose flux conservation as the models are allowed to evolve; hence, the surface magnetic field strength changes with time according to

$$F \sim 4\pi R_\star^2(t)B_p(t) = \text{constant}. \quad (4)$$

The polar field strength at the surface will therefore scale as

$$B_p(t) = B_{p,0}\left(\frac{R_{p,0}}{R_p(t)}\right)^2,$$  \quad (5)

where $B_{p,0}$ and $R_{p,0}$ correspond to the polar field and stellar radius defined at the start of the evolution.

With the obtained polar field strength $B(t)$, the non-magnetic Vink ‘wind-feeding’ rate, terminal velocity (see Section 3.3) and the radius, we calculate the Alfvén radius from equation (2). It is straightforward then to obtain the escaping wind fraction $f_{\dot{M}}(t)$ from equations (1) and (3).

The final mass-loss for that time-step is obtained by scaling the current time-step ‘wind-feeding’ rate with the escaping wind fraction $f_{\dot{M}}(t)$ allowing for mass to escape only via open loops, such that

$$\dot{M}_{\text{final}}(t) = f_{\dot{M}}(t)\dot{M}_{\text{Vink}}(t).$$  \quad (6)

3.2 Grid of models

For consistency with the results discussed by Abbott et al. (2016b), we aim for simple model calculations that are comparable to the non-magnetic models presented by Belczynski et al. (2010).

3.2.1 General properties of the models

For our model calculations, we adopt the hydrogen, helium and metal fractions as $X = 0.732$, $Y = 0.249$ and $Z = 0.019$, and the chemical mixture of metals are from Anders & Grevesse (1989, the isotopic ratios are adopted from Lodders 2003). These values are the ones used in the original Vink prescription, as well as in the models presented by Belczynski et al. (2010).

The convective core boundary is determined by the Schwarzschild criterion, and we neglect overshooting. This can be justified by the large convective cores in this mass range (see also Köhler et al. 2015). We adopt a mixing length parameter $\alpha_{\text{MLT}} = 1.5$ (Kippenhahn, Weigert & Weiss 2012).

For this study, we follow our model calculations until core hydrogen exhaustion. This is reasonable since the presence and impact of

3 We note here that the wind properties are dependent on the adopted metallicity described in the following subsection.
fossil fields in the post-MS phases are very poorly understood. Due to the increase in the stellar radius after the TAMS, the fossil magnetic fields are expected to weaken significantly, while small-scale dynamo fields might take place due to the convective surface layers that develop at this phase of the evolution (Cantiello et al. 2009). Therefore, the wind confinement by fossil fields is expected to be small during the post-MS evolution, except in those stars with the very largest initial magnetic fields. Another open question is the role played by interior fossil magnetic field in the core collapse mechanism itself.

3.2.2 Choice of initial masses

Our choice of initial masses is motivated by our goal to explore the formation of heavy BHs at Galactic metallicity for magnetic stars of plausible initial masses. We note that the most massive magnetic O-type star known is \( \sim 60 M_\odot \) (HD 148937; Wade et al. 2012b). According to the models presented by Belczynski et al. (2016) and Spera et al. (2015), remnant of masses \( > 30 M_\odot \) could be formed by stars with initial masses ranging between \( \sim 40 \) and \( 80 M_\odot \) for metallicities ranging between 0.01 and 0.5 Z\(_\odot\). We therefore consider a mass range using 40, 60 and 80 M\(_\odot\) models. The 80 M\(_\odot\) models belong to the Very Massive Star category (Vink et al. 2012; Köhler et al. 2015). These objects typically live very close to the Eddington limit, and can experience a variety of complex radiation-hydrodynamical instabilities (see, e.g. Jiang et al. 2015). Rather than simulating these instabilities in detail, for simplicity we adopt the MLT++ prescription introduced by Paxton et al. (2013), which reduces the superadiabaticity in radiation-dominated convection zones and thereby allows models to be evolved successfully through the near-Eddington stages.

3.2.3 Choice of initial magnetic field values

In our grid of models, we adopt three realistic magnetic cases and a non-magnetic case. As we are considering the flux conservation hypothesis, we compute our magnetic models using a set of three magnetic fluxes, as defined in equation (4). This means that for each magnetic strength group, the initial dipolar field strength at the ZAMS will be different for each initial mass, as the more massive stars have a larger ZAMS radius.

Known magnetic O-type stars generally have a radius of \( \sim 10 R_\odot \) and a magnetic field of 1–2 kG (Petit et al. 2013). Therefore, the magnetic flux is of the order of \( 10^{28} \) G cm\(^2\). In the case of the most magnetic O-type star NGC 1624–2, the magnetic flux reaches \( 10^{29} \) G cm\(^2\) due to the higher dipolar strength (Wade et al. 2012a). In the case of the supergiant HD 37742, the larger radius and weaker dipole strength only lead to \( 10^{27} \) G cm\(^2\) (Blazèr et al. 2015).

We therefore use magnetic fluxes of \( 10^{27}, 10^{28} \) and \( 10^{29} \) G cm\(^2\), respectively. The corresponding initial dipolar field strengths at the ZAMS will be presented in Fig. 3 and discussed further in Section 4.

3.3 Mass-loss prescription

A very sensitive question is the treatment of mass-loss rates, and we note here that our purpose is to complement an existing mass-loss scheme with the effects of wind quenching from magnetic confinement. Therefore, while our results quantitatively depend on the adopted scheme, the qualitative influence is independent of the adopted wind description. We adopt the widely used Vink rates (Vink, de Koter & Lamers 2000; Vink et al. 2001) for consistency reasons, and we did not manipulate the ‘original’ MESA routine besides complementing it with a function accounting for the time-dependent reduction of the mass-loss as described by equations (4)–(6). However, we note here that recent studies indicate that there may be discrepancies between the theoretical Vink rates and mass-loss rates derived from state-of-the-art diagnostics.

(i) X-ray (Hervé, Rauw & Nazé 2013; Leutenegger et al. 2013; Cohen et al. 2014), UV (Bouret et al. 2012; Sundqvist & Owocki 2013; Sühran et al. 2013) and IR (Najarro, Hanson & Puls 2011) diagnostics of massive stars are consistent with Vink rates reduced by a factor of 2 when up-to-date abundances are considered (Vink et al. 2010; Petrov, Vink & Gräfener 2016).

(ii) Furthermore, the theoretical position of the first bistability jump (Pauldrach & Puls 1990; Vink, de Koter & Lamers 1999; Vink et al. 2000) has very recently been reinvestigated and found to be at lower effective temperatures (Petrov et al. 2016), while a large jump in mass-loss rates at the bistability is still debated (Crowther, Lennon & Walborn 2006; Markova & Puls 2008), and likely overestimated in evolutionary calculations (Keszthelyi, Puls & Wade 2016).

We note that we explicitly calculate the terminal velocity in equation (1) from the escape velocity \( v_{\text{esc}} \), adopting \( v_{\text{esc}}/v_{\text{esc}} = 2.6 \) and 1.3 for the hot and cool sides of the bistability jump, respectively (Lamers, Snow & Lindholm 1995; Kudritzki & Puls 2000, but see also Prinja & Massa 1998; Crowther et al. 2006; Markova & Puls 2008; Fraser et al. 2010; Castro et al. 2012).

We also note here, that although some of our models may become luminous blue variables during their MS, and thus enhanced mass-loss rates may need to be considered (e.g. Groh et al. 2014), we do not account for this transition since we only aim at demonstrating how magnetic winds compare to a reference non-magnetic model.

4 RESULTS

4.1 Non-magnetic models

The left-hand panel of Fig. 3 shows the evolutionary tracks of the non-magnetic models for initial masses of 40, 60 and 80 M\(_\odot\). In most evolutionary tracks produced by our models, a change in trajectory is present around 26 000 K, as indicated by the vertical dashed line, after which the increase in luminosity with decreasing effective temperature is less steep. The middle panel of Fig. 3 shows the evolution of the mass-loss rate as a function of temperature, and demonstrates that this change in the evolution path is associated with the sudden increase in mass-loss rate caused by the bistability jump (Vink et al. 2000, 2001; Markova & Puls 2008; Petrov et al. 2016, Keszthelyi et al. 2016).

The right-hand panel of Fig. 3 shows the evolution of the stellar mass as a function of effective temperature expressed as a fraction of the initial mass. The change in trajectory in the HRD results from the adaptation of the stellar structure to the more rapidly decreasing mass.

We remark here that our MS non-magnetic models show good agreement with the models by Belczynski et al. (2010) – we find that our 40 M\(_\odot\) MESA model with \( Z = 0.019 \) has a TAMS mass of 35.3 M\(_\odot\), and the 40 M\(_\odot\) of the Belczynski et al. model at \( Z = 0.020 \) has a TAMS mass of 34.6 M\(_\odot\) (Belczynski, private communication).
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4.2 Model evolution in the HR diagram

Fig. 4 shows all our models in the HR diagram. The evolutionary tracks are coloured according to their fractional MS age, where 0 represents the ZAMS, and 1 represents the TAMS.

For each initial mass group, the more magnetic models evolve at significantly higher luminosity. This can be understood by their higher mass at a given age, as will be presented in Fig. 6. However, as can be seen from the isochrones – represented by constant colours in Fig. 4 – for each initial mass group, stars of similar MS fractional age still have similar effective temperatures.

For the models with an initial mass of 40 M⊙, the TAMS is located at similar effective temperature. In contrast, for the 60 and 80 M⊙ the TAMS is located at different effective temperatures. However, in all cases, the more magnetic models reach the TAMS slightly quicker than the less magnetic ones, but with a difference between the MS lifetimes of less than 5 per cent (as will be shown in Fig. 7).

4.3 Surface field evolution

Fig. 5 shows the evolution of the dipolar field strength of the magnetic models as a function of the fractional MS age. We remind the reader that we compute our models on a grid of magnetic flux values, which correspond to a desired range of surface magnetic field strengths. As a consequence of this approach, larger initial dipolar field strengths are obtained for the less massive models due to their smaller initial radii.

In our models, the hydrogen abundance in the core varies nearly linearly with the fractional MS age.
The shaded area in Fig. 5 corresponds to the range of dipolar field strength values for known magnetic O-type stars (Petit et al. 2013, and references therein; see Table 1), illustrating that our models span a realistic range of field strengths for their entire evolution. As our lowest initial field value for each mass leads to an evolution very similar to the non-magnetic case, an extension of our grid to lower initial field values is not necessary.

The decrease in field strength in our model is directly tied to an increase of the stellar radius through magnetic flux conservation. We can see from the coherent decrease of all curves that the radius evolution is not a strong function of the initial field strength during the first 75 per cent of the MS.

Near the TAMS, however, we can see that the increase in radius and decrease in field strength is a stronger function of both the initial field strength and the initial mass. This is consistent with the fact that, for a given initial mass, the more strongly magnetic stars evolve at generally higher luminosity and larger radii towards the end of the MS, due to their higher mass caused by less mass-loss. This effect is more pronounced for the models with larger initial mass.

This result is very interesting considering the recent study by Fossati et al. (2016), who proposed that the seemingly young age of the magnetic massive star population could be the result of a decay of their surface magnetic fields that is more rapid than that obtained by a simple magnetic flux conservation model, especially for higher mass stars. Our preliminary results suggest that the inclusion of the change in stellar structure and evolutionary tracks for stars with large-scale magnetic fields might in part explain such a rapid decrease in surface field strength by a larger increase in stellar radius during the MS than would be expected from non-magnetic evolution models. However, self-consistent age determination with magnetic evolution models will be necessary to explore the magnetic flux conservation or decay hypotheses further.

4.4 Mass evolution

Fig. 6 shows the evolution of the stellar mass as a function of the fractional MS age. The curves are coloured as a function of the escaping wind fraction $f_B$, with darker colours corresponding to high magnetic confinement and therefore a lower $f_B$. The break towards the end of the MS correspond to the increased mass-loss rate that occurs after the bistability jump.

For the lowest initial magnetic flux value considered (second to bottom curve of each mass group), magnetic confinement is unimportant throughout the MS evolution, as the escaping wind fraction is always greater than $\sim$90 per cent (light colours). For the two higher initial magnetic flux values (top two curves of each mass group), typical for the majority of known magnetic O-type stars, the magnetic confinement is important (dark colours) for most of the MS. For the strongest initial magnetic flux value, which corresponds to the strongest magnetic O-type star known, the magnetic confinement is still important after the bistability jump, all the way to the TAMS. The growing difference in stellar mass with age indicates that the mass evolution changes strongly as a function of initial magnetic field strength, especially for higher initial masses.

Fig. 7 summarizes our results by showing the TAMS mass versus the ZAMS mass of our models. Increasing initial field strength is illustrated with increasing symbol size. From low to high initial masses, the difference in masses at the TAMS between the non-magnetic and the most magnetic models amount to 4, 10 and 20 $M_\odot$, respectively. This leads to the TAMS mass of the most magnetic model being 11, 21 and 38 per cent larger than the TAMS mass of the non-magnetic model, respectively.

The points in Fig. 7 are coloured as a function of the total MS lifetime relative to the lifetime of the non-magnetic model. The small difference in age at the TAMS between models of the same initial mass group (<5 per cent) illustrates that the difference in final mass is primarily due to the wind quenching from magnetic confinement, as opposed to a very different MS lifetime.
Of course the final mass of a BH produced by such a star will depend on subsequent post-MS evolution and core collapse. But as pre-collapse progenitors of 40 M_☉ or more should directly form BHs without a supernova (Fryer 1999), we nonetheless can conclude that according to our models, massive stars with a strong dipolar magnetic field will have a significant head start for the potential production of heavy stellar BHs at Galactic metallicity.

5 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we set out to explore a new pathway for the formation of single, ‘heavy’ (>25 M_☉) BHs – with masses such as those involved in the LIGO event GW150914 – through magnetic wind confinement. Although massive stars with large-scale, strong magnetic fields comprise only ~10 per cent of the galactic OB star population, magnetic confinement is still an effective way to quench mass-loss at galactic metallicity. This is unlike the formation of heavy BH from single, non-magnetic stars that requires a mass-loss reduction through a low-metallicity environment. Our main conclusions are as follows.

(i) We first evaluated the current-day escaping wind fraction, f_BH, for known magnetic O-type stars with a large-scale, dipolar field, which describes the fraction of the stellar wind that escapes through open field lines. For most magnetic O-type stars, f_BH is 10–30 per cent. For the most extreme case, the O-type star NGC 1624−2, f_BH is only 5 per cent. These values correspond to an upper limit to f_BH, as we considered only the change in mass-loss due to the material that is trapped in closed magnetic loops and falls back to the stellar surface, and ignored the second-order reduction of the wind-feeding rate at the base of the wind due to the tilt of the magnetic field lines with respect to the radial direction.

(ii) When comparing these values with the mass-loss rate reduction due to a reduced metallicity, we found that most magnetic stars in our Galaxy have a reduction of their mass-loss equivalent to that found for stars with a metallicity between that of the SMC and 1/10 Z☉. Again for the most extreme case of NGC 1624−2, this corresponds to the mass-loss of a non-magnetic star with a metallicity of 1/30 Z☉. Therefore, we estimated that wind confinement by a realistic dipolar field would provide a mass-loss reduction of the same order as the low metallicity (Z ≈ 1/10 Z☉) required by a BH formation scenario from single, non-magnetic stars.

(iii) As the strength of magnetic confinement is expected to change with time, due to evolutionary changes in surface magnetic and wind properties, we explored the integrated mass lost over the MS lifetime. We computed non-rotating, solar-metallicity evolution models in MESA using a mass-loss calculation that is modified by the time-dependent escaping wind fraction for a magnetized wind with a dipolar geometry at the stellar surface. We found that stars with higher initial magnetic strength evolved at higher luminosity, but at similar temperature, than their less/non-magnetic counterparts. The more magnetic models reach the TAMS slightly quicker than the less magnetic models, but with a difference between the MS lifetimes of less than 5 per cent.

(iv) Our models show a significant difference in mass at the end of the MS for the most massive and most magnetic stars. For the three initial masses considered (40, 60 and 80 M_☉), the difference in mass at the TAMS between the non-magnetic and the most magnetic models (corresponding roughly to the magnetic flux of NGC 1624−2) amounts to 4, 10 and 20 M_☉, respectively. This leads to the TAMS mass of the most magnetic model being 11, 21 and 38 per cent larger than the TAMS mass of the non-magnetic model. Therefore, according to our models, massive stars with a strong dipolar magnetic field will have a significant head start for the potential production of heavy stellar BHs at Galactic metallicity.

In our study, we imposed two main simplifications to our evolution models.

(i) We imposed surface magnetic flux conservation as the models were allowed to evolve. There is some evidence that the measured magnetic field at the surface of massive stars might decrease more rapidly than what would be explained by the increase in stellar radius with age (Fossati et al. 2016). A decay of the magnetic flux in time would modify the mass evolution of the magnetic model by enabling more mass-loss.

(ii) We used non-rotating models under the assumption that the magnetic spin-down of massive O-type stars occurs very rapidly, as suggested by their generally very long rotation periods. According to the models of Meynet et al. (2011), however, the TAMS internal structure of a 10 M_☉ star that was born with slow rotation would be different than that of a similar star that was magnetically spun-down (assuming solid body rotation), the latter one having a larger core. The effect of rotation in the evolution of massive magnetic O-type stars will be explored in a subsequent publication.
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