## Swarthmore College

# **Works**

[Mathematics & Statistics Faculty Works](https://works.swarthmore.edu/fac-math-stat) [Mathematics & Statistics](https://works.swarthmore.edu/math-stat) **Mathematics** & Statistics

4-1-2022

# Amphichiral Knots With Large 4-Genus

Allison N. Miller Swarthmore College, amille11@swarthmore.edu

Follow this and additional works at: [https://works.swarthmore.edu/fac-math-stat](https://works.swarthmore.edu/fac-math-stat?utm_source=works.swarthmore.edu%2Ffac-math-stat%2F295&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

**Part of the [Mathematics Commons](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/174?utm_source=works.swarthmore.edu%2Ffac-math-stat%2F295&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)** 

[Let us know how access to these works benefits you](https://forms.gle/4MB8mE2GywC5965J8) 

## Recommended Citation

Allison N. Miller. (2022). "Amphichiral Knots With Large 4-Genus". Bulletin Of The London Mathematical Society. Volume 54, Issue 2. 624-634. DOI: 10.1112/blms.12588 <https://works.swarthmore.edu/fac-math-stat/295>

This work is brought to you for free by Swarthmore College Libraries' Works. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mathematics & Statistics Faculty Works by an authorized administrator of Works. For more information, please contact [myworks@swarthmore.edu](mailto:myworks@swarthmore.edu).

## AMPHICHIRAL KNOTS WITH LARGE 4-GENUS

ALLISON N. MILLER

ABSTRACT. For each  $q > 0$  we give infinitely many knots that are strongly negative amphichiral, hence rationally slice and representing 2-torsion in the smooth concordance group, yet which do not bound any locally flatly embedded surface in the 4-ball with genus less than or equal to g. Our examples also allow us to answer a question about the 4-dimensional clasp number of knots.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A knot K in  $S^3$  is called *strongly negative amphichiral* if there exists an orientation reversing involution  $\varphi: S^3 \to S^3$  such that  $\varphi(K) = K$ . Many concordance invariants vanish on such knots, including the classical Tristram-Levine signature function [\[Lev69,](#page-8-0) [Tri69\]](#page-8-1) and more modern invariants coming from Heegaard Floer and Khovanov homology like the  $\tau$ -invariant [\[OS03\]](#page-8-2),  $\nu^+$ -invariant [\[HW16\]](#page-8-3), Y-invariant [\[OSS17\]](#page-8-4), s-invariant [\[Ras10\]](#page-8-5), s<sub>n</sub>-invariants [\[Lob09,](#page-8-6) [Wu09\]](#page-8-7), s<sup>#</sup>-invariant [\[KM13\]](#page-8-8), and  $\bar{J}$ -invariant [\[LL19\]](#page-8-9). Notably, this list contains almost all known lower bounds on the 4-genus, or minimal genus of a (smoothly or locally flatly) embedded orientable surface in  $B<sup>4</sup>$ with boundary the given knot. However, we use Gilmer's bound on the topological 4-genus [\[Gil82\]](#page-8-10) coming from Casson-Gordon signatures [\[CG86\]](#page-8-11) to prove the following.

<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Theorem 1.1.** For any  $g > 0$ , there exists a knot K with the following properties:

- $(1)$  K is strongly negative amphichiral.
- (2) K can be transformed to a smoothly slice knot by either (a) changing some crossings  $(+)$  to  $(-)$  or (b) changing some crossings  $(-)$  to  $(+)$ .
- (3) the topological 4-genus of K is strictly larger than q.

In fact, something more is true, and proven in Proposition [2.7:](#page-6-0) for any  $g \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists an infinite family of knots  $\{K^k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ , generating a subgroup of the concordance group isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^\infty$ , such that any nontrivial sum  $K = \#_{j=1}^m K^{k_j}$  satisfies the conclusions of Theorem [1.1.](#page-1-0) Moreover, each of the knots  $K^k$  is algebraically slice, so we incidentally reprove a result of Livingston [\[Liv99\]](#page-8-12) that there is a  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^\infty$ -subgroup of the concordance group consisting of algebraically slice knots.

Negative amphichiral knots, if not slice, represent 2-torsion elements of the smooth concordance group; a still-open question of Gordon asks whether all 2-torsion elements have such representatives [\[Hau78,](#page-8-13) Problem 16]. We therefore obtain the following corollary to Theorem [1.1,](#page-1-0) which appears to be previously unknown.

<span id="page-1-1"></span>**Corollary 1.2.** There exist 2-torsion knots with arbitrarily large  $\lambda$ -genera.

A knot K is called *rationally slice* if there exists a smooth 4-manifold W with boundary  $\partial W = S^3$ and  $H_*(W; \mathbb{Q}) = H_*(B^4; \mathbb{Q})$  such that K bounds a smoothly embedded null-homologous disc in W. Every strongly negative amphichiral knot is rationally slice [\[Kaw09\]](#page-8-14), and so Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0) also answers a question of [\[HKJPS20\]](#page-8-15) in the affirmative.

**Corollary 1.3.** There exist rationally slice knots with arbitrarily large  $\ddot{4}$ -genera.

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 57K10 .

Key words and phrases. Casson-Gordon signatures, clasp number, four genus, rationally slice, strongly negative amphichiral.

#### 2 A. N. MILLER

The 4-dimensional clasp number  $c_4(K)$  of a knot K is the minimal number of transverse double points across all immersions of  $D^2$  in  $B^4$  with  $\partial D^2 = K$ . Similarly,  $c_4^+(K)$  (respectively  $c_4^-(K)$ ) is defined to be the minimal number of positive (resp. negative) transverse double points across all immersions of  $D^2$  in  $B^4$  with  $\partial D^2 = K$ . It follows immediately from the definitions that  $c_4^+ + c_4^- \leq c_4$ ; the figure-eight knot  $4_1$  is the prototypical example of when this inequality is strict, since  $c_4^+(4_1) = c_4^-(4_1) = 0$  and yet  $c_4(4_1) = 1$ . We answer a question of [\[JZ20\]](#page-8-16) by giving the first examples of knots for which  $c_4(K)$  is arbitrarily larger than  $c_4^+(K) + c_4^-(K)$ .

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Corollary 1.4.** The difference between  $c_4(K)$  and  $c_4^+(K) + c_4^-(K)$  can be arbitrarily large.

*Proof.* For  $g \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $K_g$  be a knot satisfying the conclusions of Theorem [1.1.](#page-1-0) By (2), we have that  $c_4^+(K_g) + c_4^-(K_g) = 0 + 0 = 0$ , and by (3) we have that

$$
g < g_4(K_g) \le g_4^s(K_g) \le c_4(K_g),
$$

noting that standard arguments show that for any knot K the smooth 4-genus  $g_4^s(K)$  is bounded above by  $c_4(K)$ .

Since Casson-Gordon signatures provide bounds on the topological 4-genus, it remains open whether one can find examples for the smooth analogue of Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0) as follows.

Question 1.5. For  $g \in \mathbb{N}$ , does there exist a topologically slice knot K such that  $g_4^s(K) > g$  and

- (1)  $K$  is order 2 in the smooth concordance group?
- (2)  $K$  is smoothly rationally slice?
- (3)  $c_4^+(K) = c_4^-(K) = 0$ ?

Recent work of Hom-Kang-Park-Stoffregen [\[HKJPS20\]](#page-8-15) has shown that  ${C_{2n+1,1}(4_1)}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  generates a Z∞-subgroup of rationally slice knots in the smooth concordance group. By work of [\[FMPC19\]](#page-8-17), the topological 4-genus of  $C_{2n+1,1}(4_1)$  equals 1 for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , but it remains open whether the smooth 4-genus of  $C_{2n+1,1}(4_1)$  is large. Since  $2n+1$  is relatively prime to 2, one can combine the work of this paper with the formulas for Casson-Gordon signatures of satellite knots given in [\[Lit84\]](#page-8-18) and conclude that for our choice of  $K_g$  satisfying the conclusions of Theorem [1.1,](#page-1-0) we have that  $g_4(C_{2n+1,1}(K_g)) > g$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We therefore state the following as an interesting open problem in either the smooth or topological categories.

Question 1.6. For any  $g \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $K_g$  be one of the knots given in Section [2](#page-3-0) that satisfies the conclusions of Theorem [1.1.](#page-1-0) For some or all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , determine whether  $C_{2n+1,1}(K_q)$  is infinite order in the concordance group.

We note that it remains open even whether  $C_{2n,1}(K)$  must always be slice for strongly negative amphichiral K, though it is known that many such knots are not ribbon  $\text{Miy94}$ .

Remark 1.7. The key feature of Casson-Gordon signatures that allows us to use Gilmer's bound to establish Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0) when all other lower bounds on the 4-genus fail might initially seem like a flaw: no single signature gives a 4-genus bound or even a sliceness obstruction. While we avoid stating the precise definition of these invariants, we remind the reader that  $\sigma(K, \chi) \in \mathbb{Q}$  depends on not just the knot K but a choice of map  $\chi$  from the first homology of the double branched cover of K to a cyclic group. The fact that K is negative amphichiral implies that there is an involution  $\iota$ on the set of such maps such that  $\sigma(K, \iota(\chi)) = -\sigma(K, \chi)$ . As long as this involution is non-trivial, the negative amphichirality of K does not force  $\sigma(K, \chi)$  to vanish and there is still the potential to obtain a sliceness obstruction–and even a lower bound on the 4-genus–by considering the set of all such signatures. This could be considered as philosophically similar to the fact that Casson-Gordon signatures can obstruct knots from being concordant to their reverses [\[KL99\]](#page-8-20), though that result requires a careful analysis of additional structure that we are able to avoid.

#### Acknowledgments

The author is indebted to Anthony Conway and JungHwan Park for thoughtful conversations and for suggesting the questions resolved by Corollaries [1.2](#page-1-1) and [1.4,](#page-2-0) and to Chuck Livingston for asking about algebraic sliceness. The author also gratefully acknowledges her partial support by NSF DMS-1902880.

## 2. Proof of Main Result

<span id="page-3-0"></span>Our examples are connected sums of certain satellites of the figure-eight knot.

**Example 2.1.** Let J be a reversible knot and define  $K(J)$  to be as in Figure [1,](#page-3-1) where  $\overline{J}$  denotes the mirror image of J, which since J is reversible equals the concordance inverse  $-J$ . The right



<span id="page-3-1"></span>FIGURE 1. The knot  $K(J)$  from 2 perspectives.

side of Figure [1](#page-3-1) demonstrates that  $K(J)$  is strongly negative amphichiral: rotation by 180 degrees in the plane about the marked point followed by reflection in the plane of the page takes  $K(J)$  to itself. Also observe that the disc-with-bands Seifert surface for  $K(J)$  visible on the left of Figure [1](#page-3-1) demonstrates that  $K(J)$  shares a Seifert form with the figure-eight knot  $K_0$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** If J is a reversible knot, then  $K(J)$  has  $c_4^+(K_J) = c_4^-(K_J) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Consider the knots  $K_{\pm}$  as depicted in Figure [2,](#page-3-2) shown with genus one Seifert surfaces  $F_{\pm}$ in disc-with-bands position. Observe that  $K_{+}$  (respectively  $K_{-}$ ) is obtained from  $K_{J}$  by changing a single negative (resp. positive) crossing to a positive (resp. negative) crossing. Figure [2](#page-3-2) also



<span id="page-3-2"></span>FIGURE 2.  $K_{+}$ , obtained by changing a crossing from  $-$  to  $+$  (left) and  $K_{-}$ , obtained by changing a crossing from  $+$  to  $-$  (right).

depicts a curve  $\gamma_{\pm}$  on  $F_{\pm}$ . Note that each of  $\gamma_{\pm}$  represents a nontrivial element of  $H_1(F_{\pm})$  and is 0-framed by  $F_{\pm}$ ; i.e. is an *derivative curve*. Considered as a knot,  $\gamma_{+}$  is  $J\# J$ ; since J is reversible this is isotopic to  $J#$  − J and hence is slice. Similarly, the knot type of  $\gamma$  is the slice knot  $J#$  − J. Therefore, surgering the Seifert surface  $F_{\pm}$  along the derivative curve  $\gamma_{\pm}$  yields a smooth slice disc for  $K_{\pm}$ . We can convert this single crossing change from  $K(J)$  to  $K_{\pm}$  into an immersed annulus in  $S^3 \times I$  from  $K(J)$  to  $K_{\pm}$ . Capping each of these annuli with a smooth slice disc for  $K_{\pm}$  yields the desired immersed discs bounded by  $K(J)$ , each with a single singularity of different sign.

#### 4 A. N. MILLER

2.1. Background results. For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and a knot K, we let  $\Sigma_n(K)$  denote the *n*th cyclic branched cover of  $S^3$  along K. To a knot K and a map  $\chi: H_1(\Sigma_n(K)) \to \mathbb{Z}_q$  one can associate the Casson-Gordon signature  $\sigma(K, \chi) \in \mathbb{Q}$  [\[CG86\]](#page-8-11). We avoid giving the technical definition of these invariants, noting only that they are defined in terms of the twisted intersection form of some 4-manifold and are notoriously difficult to compute precisely. We remark for those familiar with Casson-Gordon signatures that in the literature what we call  $\sigma(K, \chi)$  is just  $\sigma_1 \tau(K, \chi)$  instead.

Our lower bound on the topological 4-genus of a knot comes from the following result of Gilmer.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Theorem 2.3** ([\[Gil82\]](#page-8-10)). Suppose that K is a knot with  $g_4(K) \leq g$ . Then there is a decomposition  $H_1(\Sigma_2(K)) = A_1 \oplus A_2$  such that:

- $(1)$   $A_1$  has a presentation with at most 2g generators.
- (2) There is some  $B \leq A_2$  with  $|B|^2 = |A_2|$  such that for any prime power order  $\chi: H_1(\Sigma(K)) \to$  $\mathbb{Z}_q$ , we have

$$
|\sigma(K, \chi) + \sigma(K)| \le 4g.
$$

We remark for later that in our applications of Theorem [2.3](#page-4-0) K will always be negative amphichiral and hence have  $\sigma(K) = 0$ .

Litherland proved a much more general formula for the Casson-Gordon invariants of satellite knots, but we will only need the following special case.

<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Theorem 2.4** ([Lits4]). Suppose P is a pattern of winding number 0 described by an unknot  $\eta$  in the complement of  $P(U)$ . Let x denote the homology class of one of the lifts of  $\eta$  to  $\Sigma_2(P(U))$ . For any knot J, there is an isomorphism  $\alpha: H_1(\Sigma_2(P(J))) \to H_1(\Sigma_2(P(U)))$  such that for any  $\chi: H_1(\Sigma_2(P(U))) \to \mathbb{Z}_q$  we have

$$
\sigma(P(J), \chi \circ \alpha) = \sigma(P(U), \chi) + 2\sigma_J(\omega_q^{\chi(x)}),
$$

where  $\omega_q = e^{2\pi i/q}$  and  $\sigma_J$  denotes the Tristram-Levine signature function.

As well as the knot invariant  $\sigma(K, \chi)$ , Casson-Gordon introduced a signature invariant  $\sigma(M, \phi)$ associated to a 3-manifold M and a character  $\phi: H_1(M) \to \mathbb{Z}_q$ . We will need a formula due to Cimasoni-Florens for the Casson-Gordon signature of a 3-manifold in terms of the colored signature function of a surgery link. Although this result is proved in much more generality, we state it only for the case of interest: when  $M$  is obtained by surgery on a Hopf link. We thereby avoid going into the technical details of the definition of the colored signature function, noting only for the experts that the cell complex consisting of 2 discs meeting in a single arc and bounded by the Hopf link is a C-complex in the sense of [\[CF08\]](#page-8-21), and the contractibility of this complex immediately implies that the colored signature function of the Hopf link is identically zero.

<span id="page-4-2"></span>Theorem 2.5. [\[CF08,](#page-8-21) Theorem 6.7] Suppose that a 3-manifold M is obtained by surgery on a Hopf link L with linking matrix  $\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} a & 1 \\ 1 & b \end{bmatrix}$ 1 b . Let q be prime and  $\chi: H_1(M) \to \mathbb{Z}_q$  be a character such that the two meridians  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  of L are sent to nonzero elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_q$ . For  $i = 1, 2$  let  $n_i \in \{1, \ldots, q-1\}$  be the unique value satisfying  $n_i \equiv \chi(\mu_i) \mod q$ . Then

$$
\sigma(M, \chi) = -1 - sign(\Lambda) + \frac{2}{q^2} \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix}^T \cdot \begin{bmatrix} a & 1 \\ 1 & b \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} q - n_1 \\ q - n_2 \end{bmatrix}
$$

2.2. Proof of Theorem [1.1.](#page-1-0) We now apply Theorems [2.4](#page-4-1) and [2.5](#page-4-2) to obtain a formula for the Casson-Gordon signatures of  $K_J$  in terms of the Tristram-Levine signatures of J.

<span id="page-4-3"></span>**Example 2.6.** Let  $K_0$  denote the figure-eight knot. Note that  $K(J)$  is obtained from  $K_0$  by two infections along curves  $\eta_1$  and  $\eta_2$ , as depicted in Figure [3.](#page-5-0)



<span id="page-5-0"></span>FIGURE 3. The knot  $K(J)$  is an iterated satellite of the figure-eight knot.

By twice applying Theorem [2.4,](#page-4-1) we see that for any knot J there is an isomorphism  $\alpha$ :  $H_1(\Sigma_2(K(J))) \to$  $H_1(\Sigma_2(K_0))$  such that for any character  $\chi: H_1(\Sigma_2(K_0)) \to \mathbb{Z}_q$  we have

$$
\sigma(K(J), \alpha \circ \chi) = \sigma(K_0, \chi) + 2\sigma_J(\omega_q^{\chi(\tilde{\eta_1})}) + 2\sigma_{\overline{J}}(\omega_q^{\chi(\tilde{\eta_2})}) = \sigma(K_0, \chi) + 2\sigma_J(\omega_q^{\chi(\tilde{\eta_1})}) - 2\sigma_J(\omega_q^{\chi(\tilde{\eta_2})})
$$

Since both  $\eta_i$  curves are disjoint from the usual genus one Seifert surface for  $K_0$ , we can apply Akbulut-Kirby's algorithm of [\[AK80\]](#page-8-22) to obtain the following surgery diagram for  $\Sigma_2(K_0)$ , with lifts of  $\eta_1$  and  $\eta_2$  as indicated. (Note that we have only depicted one lift of each curve, since that is all we need to apply Theorem [2.5.](#page-4-2)) The first homology of  $\Sigma_2(K_0)$  is generated by the meridians of



<span id="page-5-1"></span>FIGURE 4. A surgery diagram L for  $\Sigma_2(K_0)$ .

the components of L, which are isotopic to  $\tilde{\eta}_1$  and  $\tilde{\eta}_2$ . The relations are given by the rows of the linking-framing matrix, and are

$$
-2[\widetilde{\eta_2}]+[\widetilde{\eta_1}]=0 \text{ and } [\widetilde{\eta_2}]+2[\widetilde{\eta_1}]=0.
$$

Some quick simplifications give us that  $H_1(\Sigma_2(K_0)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_5$ , generated by  $a := [\tilde{\eta}_2]$  and such that  $[\tilde{\eta}_1] = 2[\tilde{\eta}_2]$ . Therefore, for any character  $\chi: H_1(\Sigma_2(K_0)) \to \mathbb{Z}_5$  we have that

<span id="page-5-2"></span>(1) 
$$
\sigma(K_J, \chi \circ \alpha) = \sigma(K_0, \chi) + \sigma_J(\omega_5^{2\chi(a)}) - \sigma_J(\omega_5^{\chi(a)}).
$$

We can also use the surgery diagram of Figure [4](#page-5-1) to bound  $|\sigma(K_0, \chi)|$ . For  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_5$ , define  $\chi_j: H_1(\Sigma_2(K_0)) \to \mathbb{Z}_5$  to be the map with  $\chi_j(x) = j$ . Observe that  $\chi_1([\tilde{\eta}_1]) = 2$  and  $\chi_2([\tilde{\eta}_1]) = 4$ . Therefore, Theorem [2.5](#page-4-2) gives us that

$$
\sigma(\Sigma_2(K_0), \chi_1) = -1 - 0 + \frac{2}{25} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = -1 + \frac{30}{25} = 1/5
$$

and

$$
\sigma(\Sigma_2(K_0), \chi_2) = -1 - 0 + \frac{2}{25} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = -1 + \frac{20}{25} = -1/5.
$$

Moreover, basic properties of Casson-Gordon signatures (or reapplying Theorem [2.5\)](#page-4-2) imply that  $\sigma(\Sigma_2(K_0), \chi_3) = \sigma(\Sigma_2(K_0), \chi_2), \ \sigma(\Sigma_2(K_0), \chi_4) = \sigma(\Sigma_2(K_0), \chi_1), \text{ and } \sigma(\Sigma_2(K_0), \chi_0) = 0.$ 

Since  $H_1(\Sigma_2(K_0)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_5$  is cyclic, for any character  $\chi: H_1(\Sigma_2(K_0)) \to \mathbb{Z}_5$  we have by [\[CG86,](#page-8-11) Lemma 3 and Theorem 4] that

$$
|\sigma(K_0, \chi) - \sigma(\Sigma_2(K_0), \chi)| \leq 1.
$$

Therefore, we conclude that for any  $\chi: H_1(\Sigma_2(K_0)) \to \mathbb{Z}_5$  we have  $|\sigma(K_0, \chi)| < 2$ .

#### 6 A. N. MILLER

We are now ready to prove the following and obtain Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0) as a consequence.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Proposition 2.7.** Fix  $g \in \mathbb{N}$ . For  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  define  $J_i = \#^{m_i}T_{2,5}$ , where  $m_i = 2^{2i+1}g$ . Now, for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ define  $K^k := \#_{i=1}^{2g+2} K(J_{k(2g+2)+i})$ . Then  $S = \{K^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a collection of algebraically slice knots such that any nontrivial sum  $K = \#_{j=1}^n K^{k_j}$  satisfies the conclusions of Theorem [1.1.](#page-1-0)

*Proof.* Observe that for any choice of J, the knot  $K(J)$  shares a Seifert form with  $K_0$ . Therefore, each  $K^k$  shares a Seifert form with the slice knot  $\#_{i=1}^{2g+2} K_0$ , and hence is algebraically slice.

Now let  $K = \#_{j=1}^n K^{k_j}$  be a nontrivial sum of elements of S. We can and do assume that  $k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_n$ . Since conditions (1) and (2) of Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0) are preserved under connected sum, it only remains to verify condition (3).

So suppose for a contradiction that  $g_4(K) \leq g$  and hence that there exists a decomposition  $H_1(\Sigma_2(K)) \cong A_1 \oplus A_2$  and a subgroup  $B \leq A_2$  satisfying the conclusions of Theorem [2.3.](#page-4-0) Let

$$
\beta \colon H_1(\Sigma_2(K)) \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^n \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2g+2} H_1(\Sigma_2(K(J_{k(2g+2)+i}))) \right) \to \bigoplus_{j=1}^n \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2g+2} H_1(\Sigma_2(K_0)) \right)
$$

denote the isomorphism (coming from Theorem [2.4](#page-4-1) together with the additivity of Casson-Gordon signatures with respect to connected sum [\[Lit84\]](#page-8-18)) satisfying

$$
\sigma\left(K,\beta\circ\left((\chi_i^j)_{i=1}^{2g+2}\right)_{j=1}^n\right) = \sum_{j=1}^m \sigma(K^{k_j}, (\chi_i^j)_{i=1}^{2g+2})
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2g+2} \sigma(K(J_{k_j(2g+2)+i}), \chi_i^j)\right)
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2g+2} \sigma(K_{0}, \chi_i^j) + 2\sigma_{J_{k_j(2g+2)+i}}(\omega_5^{2\chi_i^j(a)}) - 2\sigma_{J_{k_j(2g+2)+i}}(\omega_5^{\chi_i^j(a)})\right),
$$

where in the last equality we use Equation [1](#page-5-2) of Example [2.6.](#page-4-3)

Since  $H_1(\Sigma_2(K)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_5^{m(2g+2)}$  $\frac{m(2g+2)}{5}$  and  $A_1$  has a presentation with at most 2g generators, we have that  $A_1$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_5^j$  $\frac{j}{5}$  for some  $j \leq 2g$ . Therefore  $A_2$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_5^{n(2g+2)-j}$  $_5^{n(2g+2)-j}$  and B is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_5^{n(g+1)-j/2}$  $_{5}^{n(g+1)-j/2}$ . So  $A_1 \oplus B \cong \mathbb{Z}_5^{j'}$  $rac{j}{5}$  for

$$
j' = n(g+1) + j/2 \le n(g+1) + g < n(2g+2)
$$

and there exists a nonzero character  $\chi: H_1(\Sigma_2(K)) \to \mathbb{Z}_5$  that vanishes on  $A_1 \oplus B$ .

The rest of the proof consists of showing that  $|\sigma(K, \chi)| > 4g$ , using only our definition of K and the hypothesis that  $\chi$  is not identically zero. Let

$$
\left( (\chi_i^j)_{i=1}^{2g+2} \right)_{j=1}^n := \chi \circ \beta^{-1} : \bigoplus_{j=1}^n \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2g+2} H_1(\Sigma_2(K_0)) \right) \to \mathbb{Z}_5.
$$

Since  $\chi$  is nontrivial, there exists some j such that  $(\chi_i^j)$  $i<sub>i</sub><sup>j</sup>_{i=1}^{2g+1}$  is not identically zero. Let  $j<sub>0</sub>$  be the maximal such j and  $i_0$  be the maximal i such that  $\chi_i^{j_0}$  is nonzero. Let  $\ell = k_{j_0}(2g + 2) + i_0$ . The following algebraic manipulations show that  $\sigma(K(J_\ell), \chi_{i_0}^{j_0})$  $\binom{J_0}{i_0}$  so dominates the other terms that could contribute to  $\sigma(K, \chi)$  that we have as desired that  $|\sigma(K, \chi)| > 4g$ .

Recalling that  $J_i = \#^{m_i}T_{2,5}$ , where  $m_i = 2^{2i+1}g$ , we have by the additivity of Tristram-Levine signatures under connected sum that  $\sigma_{J_i}(\omega_5) = \sigma_{J_i}(\omega_5^4) = -2^{2i+2}g$  and  $\sigma_{J_i}(\omega_5^2) = \sigma_{J_i}(\omega_5^3) =$  $-2^{2i+3}g$  (see KnotInfo [\[LM20\]](#page-8-23) for the Tristram-Levine signature function of  $T(2,5)$ .) Applying

Equation [1](#page-5-2) from Example [2.6,](#page-4-3) we see that for any i and any nonzero character  $\rho: H_1(\Sigma_2(K(J_i))) \to$  $\mathbb{Z}_5$  we have that

<span id="page-7-1"></span>(2) 
$$
2^{2i+3}g - 2 \leq |\sigma(K(J_i), \rho)| = |\sigma(K_0, \rho) \pm (2\sigma_{J_i}(\omega_5) - 2\sigma_{J_i}(\omega_5^2))| \leq 2^{2i+3}g + 2
$$

Note that here and in the rest of the proof, we suppress the identification of each  $H_1(\Sigma_2(K(J_i)))$ with  $H_1(\Sigma_2(K_0))$ .

Observe that the set of natural numbers

<span id="page-7-0"></span>(3) 
$$
{k_{j_0}(2g+2)+i: 1 \le i \le i_0-1} \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{j_0-1} {k_j(2g+2)+i): 1 \le i \le 2g+2}
$$

is a subset of  $\{1, \ldots, \ell - 1\}$ , recalling that  $\ell = k_{j_0}(2g + 2) + i_0$ . We therefore have that

$$
|\sigma(K,\chi)| = \left| \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{2g+2} \sigma(K(J_{k_j(2g+2)+i}), \chi_i^j) \right|
$$
  
\n
$$
= \left| \sigma(K(J_{\ell}), \chi_{i_0}^{j_0}) + \sum_{i=1}^{i_0-1} \sigma(K(J_{k_{j_0}(2g+2)+i}), \chi_i^{j_0}) + \sum_{j=1}^{j_0-1} \sum_{i=1}^{2g+2} \sigma(K(J_{k_j(2g+2)+i}), \chi_i^j) \right|
$$
  
\n
$$
\geq \left| \sigma(K(J_{\ell}), \chi_{i_0}^{j_0}) \right| - \sum_{i=1}^{i_0-1} \left| \sigma(K(J_{k_{j_0}(2g+2)+i}), \chi_i^{j_0}) \right| - \sum_{j=1}^{j_0-1} \sum_{i=1}^{2g+2} \left| \sigma(K(J_{k_j(2g+2)+i}), \chi_i^j) \right|
$$
  
\n
$$
\geq (2^{2\ell+3}g-2) - \sum_{k=1}^{\ell-1} (2^{2k+3}g+2) =: (*)
$$

where in the last inequality we use our observation from Equation [3](#page-7-0) together with Equation [2.](#page-7-1) Some algebraic simplification yields that

$$
(*) = 8g\left(2^{2\ell} - \sum_{k=1}^{\ell-1} 2^{2k}\right) - 2\ell = (g/3)(2^{2\ell+3} - 32) - 2\ell.
$$

Now, note that since  $\ell > 2g + 2 \ge 4$  we have that  $2\ell + 3 > 11$  and so certainly  $2^{2\ell+3} - 32 > 2^{2\ell+2}$ . Therefore

$$
|\sigma(K, \chi)| \geq (*) > (g/3)2^{2\ell+2} - 2\ell > 2^{2\ell} - 2\ell.
$$

Finally, we observe that for any  $x > 2$  we have  $2^{2x} - 2x > 2x$ , since letting  $f(x) = 2^{2x} - 4x$  we see that  $f'(x) = \ln(4)2^{2x} - 4$  is positive for all  $x \ge 1$  and  $f(2) = 8$ . Therefore

$$
|\sigma(K, \chi)| > 2\ell > 4g + 4 > 4g,
$$

as desired.  $\square$ 

Remark 2.8. The examples of Proposition [2.7](#page-6-0) are far from the only knots satisfying the conclusions of Theorem [1.1.](#page-1-0) One could vary the base knot, for example by choosing  $\{a_i\}_{i>0}$  to be natural numbers such that  $\{4a_i^2+1\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$  consists of pairwise relatively prime numbers. (This is easily accomplished by e.g. letting  $a_0 = 1$  and  $a_k = \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (4a_i^2 + 1)$  for  $k \ge 1$ . ) Now, let  $K_i$  be the 2-bridge knot corresponding to the rational number  $\frac{4a_i^2+1}{2a_i}$  $\frac{u_i+1}{2a_i}$ , noting that indeed  $K_0$  is the figure-eight knot. Choose  $\{p_i\}_{i\geq 0}$  to be primes dividing  $4a_i^2+1$ , noting that by our choice of  $a_i$  we have that  $p_i$  divides  $4a_j^2 + 1$  if and only if  $j = i$ . By taking connected sums of  $K_{a_i}$  analogously infected with large connected sums of  $T_{2,p_i}$  and  $-T_{2,p_i}$ , we can essentially repeat the arguments of Proposition [2.7](#page-6-0) and obtain many more linearly independent knots satisfying the conclusions of Theorem [1.1.](#page-1-0)

## $8\,$   $\,$  A. N. MILLER

## **REFERENCES**

<span id="page-8-22"></span><span id="page-8-21"></span><span id="page-8-20"></span><span id="page-8-17"></span><span id="page-8-16"></span><span id="page-8-15"></span><span id="page-8-14"></span><span id="page-8-13"></span><span id="page-8-11"></span><span id="page-8-10"></span><span id="page-8-8"></span><span id="page-8-3"></span>

<span id="page-8-23"></span><span id="page-8-19"></span><span id="page-8-18"></span><span id="page-8-12"></span><span id="page-8-9"></span><span id="page-8-7"></span><span id="page-8-6"></span><span id="page-8-5"></span><span id="page-8-4"></span><span id="page-8-2"></span><span id="page-8-1"></span><span id="page-8-0"></span>Department of Mathematics, Rice University, Houston, Texas, USA Email address: allison.miller@rice.edu