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Abstract

The current industrial syngas process for transforming methane to methanol is an indirect process that requires the initial oxidation of methane to carbon monoxide with a subsequent reduction to form methanol under high pressure and temperature conditions. An alternative green chemical process is desirable, especially one that directly oxidizes gaseous methane to liquid methanol under mild conditions with an environmentally benign oxidant, such as dioxygen. The current study investigates the synthesis of a novel palladium complex with activated methane and the selective oxidation of this complex resulting in the liberation of methanol under more ambient conditions, a model system for the intermediate oxidation and functionalization steps of selective conversion of methane to methanol. A kinetic investigation was carried out to elucidate a mechanism for the selective oxidation culminating in a kinetic rate law and a proposed radical mediated reaction mechanism.
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1. Introduction

1.1 Natural Gas and Industrial Transformation

Natural gas, primarily composed of methane, is an important energy source and is increasing in use as global energy consumption shifts from a dependance on oil towards other carbon based sources (Figure 1).\textsuperscript{1} Unfortunately methane is expensive to transport due to its volatile gaseous state under standard conditions;\textsuperscript{2} oil fields, locations enriched in natural gas, transform the methane into CO\textsubscript{2} through the flaring process. This flaring process which combusts the natural gas is carried out as the release of methane into the environment has more environmental ramifications than CO\textsubscript{2}, being a more potent greenhouse gas than CO\textsubscript{2} by 84 fold on a 20-year scale.\textsuperscript{3} Alternatively, converting the methane in natural gas to methanol—a liquid under standard conditions which can be more easily transported—presents itself as a valuable, cheaper option to utilize this significant fuel source.\textsuperscript{2,4}
Figure 1. Total energy supply for the world in terajoules (TJ) based on energy source for 1990-2019. Figure from IEA.¹

Methanol is also a significant chemical precursor applicable in many synthetic chemical industries as a solvent or small carbon source for conversion into olefins as well as gasoline.⁴ Currently, the syngas method is the only industrially used process to convert methane to methanol.² This energy intensive process includes an oxidative step at high temperatures (1173 K) and pressures (100 bar) to break all C–H bonds in methane, forming syngas—a gaseous mixture of CO and H₂. A secondary reductive step is then required to reduce carbon monoxide to finally form methanol (Scheme 1).²,⁵
This indirect conversion of methane to methanol is inefficient and costly. More efficient selective oxidation of methane under mild conditions is a process of interest to be able to productively utilize this limited resource.

### 1.2 Selective Oxidation of Methane

Development of a catalyst capable of selectively oxidizing methane to methanol under mild conditions would have a significant impact on methanol production. It would also embrace the movement towards green chemistry, a field of chemistry focused on improved sustainability and safety.³

Functionalization of alkanes, especially methane, into higher order chemicals and fuels is an active area of research.³ A common hurdle and first step in functionalizing alkanes is C–H bond activation. Common mechanisms for C–H bond activation include oxidative addition (OA), concerted metalation deprotonation (CMD), and metal-ligand cooperation (MLC) (Scheme 2).⁸ MLC and CMD are of particular interest. In both instances the oxidation state of the metal ion of a potential catalyst is conserved. MLC is particularly attractive due to the concerted localization of the proton in the coordination sphere unlike CMD.
Scheme 2. \( R-H \) bond activation methods to activate the C–H bond of methane.

This conservation of metal oxidation state is important as this is conducive to desirable reactivity under aerobic conditions. This allows for exploitation of the tendency of metal complexes to oxidative in the presence of air, utilizing \( O_2 \) in the atmosphere as an environmentally benign and abundant oxidant, to produce productive oxidative products. Interestingly, the oxidation mechanisms of organometallic complexes with \( O_2 \) are still poorly understood.

This thesis work aims to investigate novel palladium-based catalysts that can oxidize methane to methanol to fill this void to better understand the mechanisms of this catalytic selective oxidation. To oxidize methane to methanol, a catalytic cycle can be imagined in which the C–H bond is activated, followed by the oxidation of the activated alkane, and further functionalization to liberate free methanol (Scheme 3).
Scheme 3. Proposed general catalytic cycle for the selective oxidation of methane to methanol. The red processes of oxidation and functionalization will be investigated in this study. The fate of the supplementary oxygen atom resulting from the functionalization step is unknown.

The Goldberg group has investigated the use of palladium and platinum based complexes that are capable of activating the C–H bond in methane as well as oxidizing to form the metal-methyl peroxide species (Scheme 3)\textsuperscript{8,9}. Previous work investigated the use of a platinum based complex capable of activating the C–H bond such as those found in arenes such as benzene (Scheme 4).\textsuperscript{8}
Zeitler et al. demonstrated that \([^{11}\text{BPI}]\text{Pt(Me)}\)[NTf\(_2\)] (BPI = 1,3-bis(2-(4-tert-butyl)pyridylimino)isoindole); NTf\(_2\) = triflimide) was capable of activating the C–H bond found in benzene. These results also demonstrated the importance of the counter-ion in metal-ligand-anion cooperative (MLAC) reaction. In MLAC reactions, the metal oxidation state is conserved as well as the localization of a proton in the coordination sphere with an additional benefit of a cooperative counterion that could contribute to functionalization of the proton in subsequent reactions.\(^8\) This localized ion could act as a shuttle for the proton on the organic ligand framework to facilitate transportation of the proton from the ligand framework to form the alcohol hydrogen in the final functionalized MeOH product. A few groups have also studied the use of elemental dioxygen as an oxidant for the selective oxidation of methane in the presence of organometallic catalysts, though not all cases result in the production of free oxidized methyl derivatives.\(^8,10–13\)

Additional work by the Goldberg and Britovsek groups focused on the oxidation of metal-methyl complexes utilizing elemental dioxygen.\(^8,12–13\) In successful instances of oxidation, the resulting product was a metal-methyl peroxy species. The Britovsek group studied this oxidation catalyzed by light whereas the Goldberg group utilized a
temperature sensitive radical initiator AIBN (AIBN = 2,2′-Azobis(2-methylpropionitrile)) to probe the kinetics of the oxidation in a controlled manner to elucidate a mechanism for oxidation.8,12-13

Scheme 5. Oxidation of [(bipy)Pd(Me)₂] to [(bipy)Pd(Me)(OOMe)] utilizing elemental dioxygen.

The oxidation of activated methane in [(bipy)Pd(Me)₂] (bipy = 2,2′-bipyridine) to the methyl peroxide species in [(bipy)Pd(Me)(OOMe)] (Scheme 5) was studied and a radical mediated mechanism was proposed for this selective oxidation (Scheme 6).9 Late transition metals such as palladium are of particular interest in developing a catalytic cycle as they are well documented to accomplish the initial step of activating the C–H bond such as that found in methane.15 Observing this oxygen insertion provides the possibility of developing a palladium oxygenase catalyst that is capable of activating the C–H bond, followed by the insertion of oxygen, and a further functionalization step to regenerate the palladium catalyst and liberate oxidized alkanes.14-15 This preliminary mechanism observed by Boisvert et al. for the oxidation of a Pd–Me complex to form the Pd–OOMe species is promising for future development of a catalyst that may further functionalize this peroxy-species, forming free methanol.9 The recently proposed mechanism is consistent with the experimental rate law of one-half order with respect to
both AIBN and [(bipy)Pd(Me)$_2$] which diverges from previous oxidation mechanism such as O$_2$ insertion into Pd–H which do not follow a radical chain mechanism (Scheme 6$^9$).$^{11,17}$

**Initiation**

\[
\begin{align*}
&AIBN \quad \xrightarrow{k_1} \quad 2 \text{ In}^\cdot \\
&\text{In}^\cdot + \text{O}_2 \quad \xrightarrow{k_2} \quad \text{InOO}^\cdot \\
&\text{InOO}^\cdot + (\text{bipy})\text{Pd}^{\text{III}}\text{Me}_2 \quad \xrightarrow{k_3} \quad (\text{bipy})\text{Pd}^{\text{III}}\text{Me}(\text{OOIn}) + \text{Me}^\cdot
\end{align*}
\]

**Propagation**

\[
\begin{align*}
&\text{Me}^\cdot + \text{O}_2 \quad \xrightarrow{k_4} \quad \text{MeOO}^\cdot \\
&\text{MeOO}^\cdot + (\text{bipy})\text{Pd}^{\text{III}}\text{Me}_2 \quad \xrightarrow{k_5} \quad \text{Me}^\cdot + \text{O}_2 \quad \xrightarrow{k_6} \quad (\text{bipy})\text{Pd}^{\text{III}}\text{Me}(\text{OOMe}) + \text{Me}^\cdot
\end{align*}
\]

**Termination**

\[
\begin{align*}
&\text{MeOO}^\cdot + \text{O}_2 \quad \xrightarrow{k_7} \quad \text{Me}^\cdot + \text{O}_2 \quad \xrightarrow{k_8} \quad \text{Me}^\cdot + \text{O}_2
\end{align*}
\]

Scheme 6. Proposed mechanism for the oxidation of [(bipy)Pd(Me)$_2$] to [(bipy)Pd(Me)(OOMe)] utilizing O$_2$ and the temperature sensitive radical initiator AIBN.

**1.3 Proposed Synthetic and Mechanistic Investigation**

In this proposed work, the novel complex 2 [($^{1}$BPI)Pd(Me)][BF$_4$] (Scheme 7) was synthesized and characterized. Once prepared, a mechanistic investigation was carried out to study the selective oxidation of Pd–Me to MeOH with elemental dioxygen...
utilizing this complex. A radical mediated mechanism with dioxygen insertion similar to
previous work by the Goldberg group was expected in this Pd system.\(^{10}\)

![Scheme 7](image)

**Scheme 7.** Left to right complexes 1 [(BPI)Pd(Me)]; 2 \([H(BPI)Pd(Me)][BF_4]\); 3 [(BPI)Pd(CD$_3$CN)][BF$_4$].

1 [(BPI)Pd(Me)] (Scheme 7) was prepared using a Methyl-then-Ligand approach, synthesizing the organic BPI framework and an inorganic methylated palladium species separately before introducing the organic BPI ligand framework to the palladium complex. The synthesis of the organic ligand as well as the inorganic synthetic procedures of preparing the catalysts were optimized. 1 was then be protonated to form the principal complex of interest 2 \([H(BPI)Pd(Me)][BF_4]\) (Scheme 7) which was then characterized utilizing nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy.

The kinetic investigation probed the oxidation and functionalization steps of the proposed catalytic cycle in this system (Scheme 3). 2 was reacted with elemental dioxygen in the presence of the temperature dependent radical initiator—AIBN—to form the acetonitrile derivative 3 [(BPI)Pd(CD$_3$CN)][BF$_4$] (Scheme 7). The temperature dependent radical initiator allowed the suspected radical mediated reaction to occur in a controlled manner and the reaction was studied by variable temperature nuclear magnetic
resonance spectroscopy (VT-NMR). The presence of a nonreactive internal standard—mesitylene (Mes)—allowed for quantification of the reaction using the integrals of the NMR signals to track product formation over time. A kinetic rate law for this selective oxidation and functionalization of Pd–Me to MeOH was discovered and a mechanism was proposed.
2. Results & Discussion

2.1 Synthesis

2.1.1 Organic Ligand Framework

Preparation of 4-(tert-butyl)pyridine-N-oxide followed standard literature procedures (Scheme 8) and yielded a yellow solid (99% yield) (Figure S1).\(^{18}\)

![Scheme 8](image)

**Scheme 8.** Standard synthesis of 4-(tert-butyl)pyridine-N-oxide.

Preparation of 2-amino-4-(tert-butyl)pyridine was modeled after standard literature procedures (Scheme 9).\(^{18}\) 4-(tert-butyl)pyridine-N-oxide was allowed to react with (tert-butyl)amine in DCM. 4-Toluenesulfonfyl chloride (TsCl) was slowly added and allowed to react stirring overnight. The reaction was observed to be incomplete which diverged from traditional literature results; thus, the reaction was recharged with TsCl. Incomplete conversion observed by \(^1\)H NMR spectroscopy was still observed; therefore, (tert-butyl)amine and TsCl were recharged into the reaction and allowed to stir overnight. Incomplete conversion persisted as noted by \(^1\)H NMR spectroscopy; therefore, more (tert-butyl)amine was added along with a slow addition of TsCl and the reaction, after
complete conversion was observed, was worked up with an aqueous NaOH solution. The product was extracted with DCM and dried with MgSO$_4$ and \textit{in vacuo}.

The products of this initial step underwent a preliminary purification to remove side products that formed from the reaction of (\textit{tert}-butyl)amine with TsCl. This preliminary purification would allow for the use of less trifluoracetic acid (TFA) in the deprotection step of the amination, rendering this step safer as compared to standard literature procedure which performed the deprotection in the crude reaction mixture.\textsuperscript{18} A recrystallization utilizing warm EtOAc and hexanes was performed and filtrate was collected and dried \textit{in vacuo}. Dried organics were reacted with TFA and heated to 90$^\circ$C overnight. The reaction mixture was quenched with an aqueous NaOH solution and extracted with DCM and dried with MgSO$_4$ and \textit{in vacuo}. The resulting oil was dry loaded onto a silica gel column equilibrated with 1:1 EtOAc : hexanes, eluted, and fractions containing product were dried \textit{in vacuo}. 2-amino-4-(\textit{tert}-butyl)pyridine was collected as a yellow solid (56\% yield) (\textbf{Figure S2}).

\begin{center}
\textbf{Scheme 9.} Adapted synthesis of 2-amino-4-(\textit{tert}-butyl).
\end{center}

The synthesis of BPI was modified from standard literature procedures due to irreproducibility of synthetic procedures (\textbf{Scheme 10}).\textsuperscript{19} Standard literature procedures
for the synthesis of BPI resulted in excess loss of product due to solubility in hexanes during a rinsing step to remove 1-hexanol as well as impure final product.\textsuperscript{19}

\begin{center}
\begin{tikzpicture}
\node at (-4,0) {\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{reaction_diagram.png}};
\end{tikzpicture}
\end{center}

\textbf{Scheme 10.} Modified synthesis for BPI.

Alternatively, the resulting crude product mixture was dried \textit{in vacuo} to remove 1-hexanol. This step allowed for successful removal of all of the 1-hexanol and resulted in more pure product as compared to syntheses that followed literature procedures.\textsuperscript{19}

The resulting solids were transferred to a frit with H\textsubscript{2}O due to low solubility of product in H\textsubscript{2}O and rinsed in excess to remove calcium salts that formed during reaction. Finally, the resulting brown crude mixture was rinsed with cold hexanes to remove an unknown brown impurity while maintaining low solubility of BPI product. The implementation of cold hexanes improved yield. The yellow filtrand was then dissolved in DCM and filtered. The resulting yellow filtrate was dried \textit{in vacuo} to yield pure BPI (\textbf{Figure S3}) with an unknown solid blue filtrand impurity remaining on the sintered glass frit. These procedures increased yield (69% yield) and purity (lack of 1-hexanol) as
compared to prior attempts of this synthesis performed by former Goldberg group members.

2.1.2 Inorganic Framework

Preparation of [(TMEDA)Pd(Cl)]$_2$ (TMEDA = N,N,N',N'-tetramethylethylenediamine) followed standard literature procedures (Scheme 11), yielding a yellow powder (96% yield) (Figure S4).$^{20}$

![Scheme 11. Standard synthesis of [(TMEDA)Pd(Cl)]$_2$.](image)

Preparation of [(TMEDA)Pd(Me)]$_2$ also followed standard literature procedures (Scheme 12), yielding a white solid (52% yield) (Figure S5).$^{20}$
Scheme 12. Standard synthesis of [(TMEDA)Pd(Me)$_2$].

2.1.3 Preparation of 1 [(BPI)Pd(Me)]

BPI was reacted with [(TMEDA)Pd(Me)$_2$] in benzene overnight at 60°C (Scheme 13). The red solution was dried and a red powder of 1 was collected (Figure S6). This protocol was previously optimized by the Goldberg group and yielded consistent reactivity, purity, and yield (94% yield).

Scheme 13. Synthesis of [(BPI)Pd(Me)].

2.1.4 Preparation of 2 [H(BPI)Pd(Me)]/BF$_4$]

Synthetic improvements have been made to optimize the yield and purity of 2. Prior synthetic protocols towards 2 involved the dissolving of 1 in Et$_2$O followed by
addition of the resultant solution to a flask containing one molar equivalent of HBF$_4$ solution (50-55% w/w in Et$_2$O). This resulted in the immediate precipitation of a crude product of 2 upon addition to HBF$_4$. A following purification step involved a slow evaporation recrystallization of 2 dissolved in DCM with an Et$_2$O bath, which led to a black precipitate suspected to be palladium black and low yields of product (19%). To optimize this reaction, a slower addition of a more dilute solution of HBF$_4$ to the solution of 1 was used to slow down the reaction and improve purity (Scheme 14). We thought by introducing a dilute solution of HBF$_4$ more slowly, this would decrease the probability of potentially doubly protonating 1. This decreased introduction of the highly reactive superacid HBF$_4$ would allow for slower reactivity yielding more homogenous reactivity within the reaction flask thus increasing the purity of this reaction.

We also targeted, the potential elimination of the purification step involving DCM, a known coordinating solvent that may facilitate the degradation of 2 to palladium black, was proposed. If yielding purer product was achieved, this inefficient purification processes that greatly decreases yield could be discarded.

As an alternative, 1 was dissolved in Et$_2$O. A separate fluoroboric acid solution diluted with Et$_2$O was prepared and slowly introduced into the reaction flask containing the solution of 1. Upon addition of HBF$_4$ solution, a yellow precipitate of 2 crashed out. 2 was collected on a sintered glass frit and rinsed with Et$_2$O (2mL) to produce a yellow powder analyzed by $^1$H (Figure S7) and $^{19}$F{$^1$H} NMR spectroscopies (Figure S8). This product of the improved synthesis was pure and did not require a recrystallization purification step. The lack of recrystallization allowed for higher yields as pure product was formed and there was no additional degradation of product from DCM coordinating resulting in the formation of palladium black. Thus, improved yields utilizing this new method were observed (62%).

2.2 Kinetic Investigation

With 2 prepared, we next turned to the investigation into its reactivity with O$_2$. Prior study in the Goldberg group revealed promising selective oxidation of the Pd–Me in
2 to form liberated MeOH.\textsuperscript{10} With this information, a kinetic investigation was of interest to begin to elucidate a reaction mechanism and compare it to prior radical mediated oxygen insertion for the Pd–Me derivative.\textsuperscript{9–11,17} The radical initiator AIBN was utilized as it has demonstrated promising and consistent results in characterizing radical chain mediated reactivity in the Goldberg Group.\textsuperscript{9–10} A chemical radical initiator was utilized in place of a photocatalytic initiation due to more reproducible results and resources in the Goldberg Group.\textsuperscript{14,17} A kinetic investigation utilizing VT-NMR allowed for the experimental determination of a kinetic rate law which was then compared to prior experimental results to verify or diversify the applicability of a prior proposed radical mediated oxygen insertion into a Pd–Me bond.\textsuperscript{9}

2.2.1 Aromatic Region throughout Oxidation Reaction

Reaction progress kinetic analysis (RPKA) was utilized to track the reactivity of 2 with elemental dioxygen as it formed 3 and produced various single carbon oxidized products, such as MeOH (Scheme 15).\textsuperscript{21}

\begin{center}
\textbf{Scheme 15.} Proposed reaction of 2 with AIBN and O\textsubscript{2} at 60°C in CD\textsubscript{3}CN producing 3 and MeOH.
\end{center}
Initially, 2 presented a symmetric aromatic region as observed by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy, despite predicted asymmetry created by the protonation of the BPI backbone (Figure S7). Upon pressurization with O$_2$, the $^1$H NMR signals indicated an asymmetric structure followed by a symmetric resolution as product 3 was formed over time. This initially appeared to be promising to track the kinetics of the reaction of 2 with O$_2$ over time to give 3; however, the processes of the aromatic region becoming asymmetric upon pressurization with O$_2$ is not immediate which poses a problem for obtaining reliable integrals to track reaction progress. Alternatively, the Pd–Me resonance was tracked as this had a consistent chemical shift and a reliable trackable integral to monitor reaction progress.

**Figure 2.** Symmetric resolution of aromatic region of the $^1$H NMR spectrum consistent with the symmetric resolution of the aromatic backbone of 2 going to 3. Spectra collected at 240s intervals with spectrum number indicated for 2 in CD$_3$CN at Pd–CH$_3$ = 8.3mM; O$_2$ = 5bar; AIBN = 51 mM. δ 6.8 (s 3H) is internal standard Mes.
The unexpected symmetry of the aromatic region of 2 in the absence of O₂ prompted the suspicion of a potential initial dimer state of 2 that separates into a monomer form to react with O₂. To resolve this hypothesis, diffusion ordered spectroscopy (DOSY) was implemented to estimate the molecular mass of 2 (Figure 3).²²

Utilizing a Bayesian DOSY Transform (BDT) on MestReNova version 14.2.3 with a BDT resolution factor of 1.00, a single repetition, and 128 points in the diffusion dimension, the molecular mass of 2 was estimated to be 691 g/mol which is comparable to the actual 619.82 g/mol disconfirming the presence of 2 as a dimer in solution. The estimated value differs from the expected molecular mass of 2 due to DOSY being limited in its capacity to estimate the molecular mass of complexes containing particularly heavy elements such as Pd; though, this model is useful in disconfirming the hypothesis of dimer of 2.
Figure 3. 2D DOSY NMR (400MHz; CD$_3$CN) for complex 2. Confirmation of a monomer with estimated molecular weight of 691 g/mol.

The initial symmetry observed in the aromatic region of 2 is suspected to be due to rapid interchange of the proton position on the backbone of BPI in 2 potentially due to residual H$_2$O in the dried CD$_3$CN.

Due to the complexities of symmetry in the aromatic region, the Pd–Me resonance in the aliphatic region presented itself as a viable option to track reaction progress and thus was selected for further kinetic investigation.

2.2.2 Small Carbon Products Formed

The goal of exposing 2 to elemental dioxygen was to produce MeOH. Due to the nature of pressurizing a J Young NMR tube with O$_2$ to oxidize the Pd–Me in 2, there was
concern of over oxidizing methyl to form more oxidized small carbon species. Throughout the reactivity, many small peaks grew in that were suspected to be associated with oxidized single carbon species. To confirm the identity of these species, a series of spiking experiments were performed.

The principal desired product of methanol (CH$_3$OH; δ 3.35) was suspected to be growing in throughout the progression of the reaction as well as methyl hydroperoxide (CH$_3$OOH; δ 3.81) found downfield (Figure 4).

![Figure 4](image_url)

**Figure 4.** Partial $^1$H NMR spectra showing the production of methanol and methyl hydroperoxide. The initial concentration of 2 = 8.3mM in CD$_3$CN; O$_2$ = 5 bar; AIBN = 51 mM with spectra collected at 240s intervals with spectrum number indicated.

The production of methanol (CH$_3$OH) was confirmed via a spike experiment in which the reaction J Young tube was opened to atmosphere to release the pressurized O$_2$ after completion of the oxidation, spiked with a small quantity of methanol (CH$_3$OH) (0.3
μL), and analyzed via $^1$H NMR spectroscopy under standard atmosphere (1 bar air). This spiking confirmed the formation of methanol (CH$_3$OH) as the signal attributed to methanol grew larger (Figure 5).

There was a slight downfield shift in peaks noted in the sample that was pressured with O$_2$ (5 bar) and this is suspected to occur due to the presence of this paramagnetic species and was observed consistently throughout the investigation.

The presence of methyl hydroperoxide (CH$_3$OOH) was also suspected based on chemical shift and could have formed due to the presence of excess O$_2$ allowing for further oxidation of methane to methanol. Alternately, a reaction mechanism can be imagined including the insertion of oxygen into the activated methyl forming a Pd–OOMe species that releases methyl hydroperoxide (CH$_3$OOH) that decomposes to form the more stable methanol (CH$_3$OH) which is most probable and these decomposition pathways may explain the unknown fate of the extra oxygen in the functionalization step.
Figure 5. Spike experiment validating formation of methanol. (A) 2 Pd–CH$_3$ 8.7 mM in CD$_3$CN; AIBN 52.7 mM; 298 K; t = 0 s (B) sample A pressurized with 5 bar O$_2$ and reaction completed; 333 K; t = 9540 s (C) sample B open to atmosphere and spiked with 0.3 µL of MeOH; 298 K. Note the change in chemical shift in spectrum C due to release of 5 bar O$_2$.

A similar process was carried out for the suspected formation of formaldehyde (CH$_2$O, δ 9.65) and peroxyformic acid (CH$_2$O$_3$, δ 9.93). After completion of the oxidation, O$_2$ pressure was released and a small amount of formaldehyde solution (5 µL; 37% wt formaldehyde in H$_2$O with 10 - 15% MeOH) was spiked in followed by further investigation with $^1$H NMR spectroscopy. (Figure 6). Only the formaldehyde peak (CH$_2$O) grew in upon spiking confirming the formation of formaldehyde (CH$_2$O) throughout the progress of the oxidation reaction (Figure 6).
peak, thus, this spike experiment was inconclusive.

A formaldehyde peak (H\textsubscript{2}COOH) that grew upon spiking was overlapped with an existing aromatic peak which became broadened in a formaldehyde peak (H\textsubscript{2}COOH) was performed (data not shown). Unfortunately, the formation of formaldehyde (H\textsubscript{2}COOH, 6.8 ppm) was confirmed in an analogous spike experiment. It was suspected that if peroxyformic acid (CH\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}) may form due to the presence of excess O\textsubscript{2} in this highly oxidative environment. Interestingly, it appeared that the peroxyformic acid peak (CH\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}) slowly grew in at later time points as there is a slight decrease in peak area associated with the formaldehyde peak, indicating side oxidation reactions.

It was suspected that if peroxyformic acid (CH\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}) may form due to the presence of excess O\textsubscript{2} during the release of formaldehyde in H\textsubscript{2}O with 10 - 15% MeOH. Note the change in chemical shift in sample B open to atmosphere and spiked with 5 µL of 37% formaldehyde. A sample C pressurized with 5 bar O\textsubscript{2} and reaction in CD\textsubscript{3}CN: AIBN 54 mM; t = 0 s (B) sample B open to atmosphere and spiked with 5 µL of 37% formaldehyde (A) 2 Pd-CH\textsubscript{3} 15 mM.
2.2.3 Kinetic Rate Law

A mechanistic investigation was carried out to understand the reactivity of 2 with O₂ in the presence of AIBN (Scheme 16). The reaction mechanism for the oxidation of 2 was suspected to follow a radical mediated reaction similar to a previous study by Boisvert et al.⁹ AIBN was selected as a temperature sensitive radical initiator to ensure replicable initiation of radicals utilizing high-temperature VT-NMR to study the kinetics of the reaction and elucidate a mechanism. By tracking the reactivity over time, a rate law may be derived for Equation 1.²¹

\[
\text{Rate} = \frac{-d[\text{[BPI]Pd}^\text{II}(\text{Me})][BF_4]]}{dt} \quad \text{(Eq 1)}
\]

A kinetic investigation in CD₃CN was carried out by exposing 2 to an excess of O₂ and AIBN at 333 K. Due to the complexity of the aromatic region in the ^1H NMR spectrum as 2 converts to 3, the disappearance of the Pd–CH₃ resonance of 2 was tracked

Scheme 16. Reaction of 2 with O₂ in the presence of AIBN to be kinetically investigated to experimentally derive a kinetic rate law.
to determine reactivity progress. O₂, AIBN, and 2 were selected as important species to study in experimentally deriving a rate law for the selective oxidation.

2 and AIBN were kept at constant concentrations (Pd–CH₃ = 8.3 – 9.6 mM, AIBN = 51 - 62 mM) while the pressure of O₂ loaded into the J Young NMR tube was varied (2.5 bar, 5 bar, 7 bar) to determine the kinetic dependance of the reaction (Scheme 15) on O₂ (Figure 7). The disappearance of 2 over time was not observed to change with varying pressurization of O₂; therefore, a zero order dependance on O₂ is concluded for the selective oxidation (Figure 7).

![Graph showing zero order dependence on O₂](image)

**Figure 7.** Zero order dependence on O₂ for Pd–CH₃ = 8.3 – 9.6 mM; AIBN = 51 - 62 mM; varying pressurization of O₂.

The rate of the disappearance of 2 tracked with the Pd–CH₃ resonance found in Scheme 7 was manipulated to determine the best kinetic fitting that models the disappearance of starting material. Experimentally, it was determined that there was a one-half order dependance on 2 (Figure 8).
Figure 8. $\frac{1}{2}$ order dependence on 2 for Pd–CH$_3$ = 8.3 mM; O$_2$ = 5 bar; AIBN = 51 mM. This one-half order kinetic fitting had the highest correlation coefficient when fitted linearly as compared to alternative fittings that were applied to rationalize the dependance on 2 (Figure 9). Both the first order (Figure 9A) and the second order (Figure 9B) fitting of the dependance on 2 resulted in obviously nonlinear fits with poor correlation coefficients thus supporting the one-half order dependance on 2 (Figure 8).
Figure 9. Alternative fittings for dependence on 2 with Pd–CH₃ = 8.27; O₂ = 5 bar; AIBN = 51 mM. (A) 1ˢᵗ order fitting; (B) 2ⁿᵈ order fitting.
The dependance on AIBN was determined similarly to the dependance of O₂. The molar equivalents of AIBN added to the reaction were varied while keeping the concentration of 2 and the pressure of O₂ constant (Pd–CH₃ = 6.9 - 8.5 mM, O₂ = 5 bar) (Figure 10). A one-half order dependance on AIBN for the oxidation reaction was determined as this fitting resulted in the highest correlation coefficient and demonstrated a linear relationship (Figure 10).
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**Figure 10.** ½ order dependence on AIBN for Pd–CH₃ = 6.9–8.5 mM; O₂ = 5 bar.

An alternative fitting of first order for AIBN was performed (Figure 11). This alternative fitting had a relatively high correlation coefficient; however, demonstrated a nonlinear relationship with a lower correlation coefficient when compared to the linear one-half order dependance fitting.
Figure 11. 1\textsuperscript{st} order fitting for AIBN for 2 Pd–CH\textsubscript{3} = 6.9–8.5 mM; O\textsubscript{2} = 5 bar.

The kinetic investigation experimentally resulted in a preliminary rate law in Equation 2. This demonstrated a one-half order dependence on AIBN and 2 contributing to an overall first order reaction. This equation also illustrated the independence of O\textsubscript{2} in the selective oxidation.

\[
\text{Rate} = k_{\text{obs}} [\text{AIBN}]^{1/2} [2]^{1/2} [\text{O}_2]^0 \tag{Eq 2}
\]

\textbf{2.2.4 Proposed Mechanism}

A similar radical mediated reaction mechanism for the selective oxidation of Pd–CH\textsubscript{3} in 2 was suspected as previously studied for the conversion of [(bipy)Pd(Me)\textsubscript{2}] to [(bipy)Pd(Me)(OOME)] by Boisvert et al.\textsuperscript{8,9} This mechanism illustrated for the reactivity of 2 with AIBN in the presence of O\textsubscript{2} is detailed below (Scheme 17).
Scheme 17. Proposed mechanism for the reaction of 2 with O₂ utilizing AIBN to form the peroxy-species \([^{II}(BPI)Pd(OOMe)][BF₄]\).

Under steady state conditions, the initiation and termination steps are assumed to occur at the same rate, Equation 3. This equation can be rewritten to solve for \([\text{MeOO}^\bullet]\) thus producing Equation 4.

\[
\begin{align*}
    k_1 [\text{AIBN}] &= k_7 [^{II}(BPI)Pd^{III}(Me)(OOMe)^\bullet][BF₄] [\text{MeOO}^\bullet] & (\text{Eq 3}) \\
    [\text{MeOO}^\bullet] &= \frac{k_1 [\text{AIBN}]}{k_7 [^{II}(BPI)Pd^{III}(Me)(OOMe)^\bullet][BF₄]} & (\text{Eq 4})
\end{align*}
\]

Assuming that the chains for the radical reaction are long, the steady state approximation can be applied to \([^{II}(BPI)Pd^{III}(Me)(OOMe)^\bullet][BF₄]\) for steps 5, -5, and 6 in the mechanism resulting in Equation 5 (Scheme 17). Substitution of Equation 4 into Equation 5
followed by rearrangement to solve for $[^\text{H}(\text{BPI})\text{Pd}^{\text{III}}(\text{Me})(\text{OOMe})^\bullet][\text{BF}_4]$ results in

**Equation 6.**

$$[^\text{H}(\text{BPI})\text{Pd}^{\text{III}}(\text{Me})(\text{OOMe})^\bullet][\text{BF}_4] = \frac{k_5}{k_5 + k_6}[^\text{H}(\text{BPI})\text{Pd}^{\text{III}}(\text{Me})][\text{BF}_4][\text{MeOO}^\bullet] \quad \text{(Eq 5)}$$

$$[^\text{H}(\text{BPI})\text{Pd}^{\text{III}}(\text{Me})(\text{OOMe})^\bullet][\text{BF}_4] = \left(\frac{k_1k_5[^\text{H}(\text{BPI})\text{Pd}^{\text{III}}(\text{Me})][\text{BF}_4][\text{AIBN}]}{k_7(k_5 + k_6)}\right)^{1/2} \quad \text{(Eq 6)}$$

The consumption of $[^\text{H}(\text{BPI})\text{Pd}^{\text{II}}(\text{Me})][\text{BF}_4]$ is equal to the production of $[^\text{H}(\text{BPI})\text{Pd}^{\text{III}}(\text{OOMe})^\bullet][\text{BF}_4]$ in **Equation 7**. **Equation 8** can be obtained by substituting **Equation 6** into **Equation 7**.

**Equation 7.**

$$\text{Rate} = -\frac{d[^\text{H}(\text{BPI})\text{Pd}^{\text{II}}(\text{Me})][\text{BF}_4]}{dt} = k_6[^\text{H}(\text{BPI})\text{Pd}^{\text{III}}(\text{Me})(\text{OOMe})^\bullet][\text{BF}_4] \quad \text{(Eq 7)}$$

$$\text{Rate} = -\frac{d[^\text{H}(\text{BPI})\text{Pd}^{\text{II}}(\text{Me})][\text{BF}_4]}{dt} = \left(\frac{k_1k_5}{k_7(k_5 + k_6)}\right)^{1/2}[^\text{H}(\text{BPI})\text{Pd}^{\text{III}}(\text{Me})][\text{BF}_4]^{1/2}[\text{AIBN}]^{1/2} \quad \text{(Eq 8)}$$

This **Equation 8** demonstrates the rate dependence of a one-half order on 2 and AIBN with an overall kinetic rate order of first order. This mechanistic derivation of the rate law is consistent with the experimental rate law determined in **Equation 2**. The proposed mechanism may explain the selective oxidation of the Pd–Me complex thus obtaining a Pd–OOMe species as the product of this step (**Scheme 17**).

In the experimental results, the presence of a Pd–OOMe species was not observed but rather the production of mainly MeOH, MeOOH, and 3 (**Figure 2; Figure 4**). This may be rationalized as the formation of the Pd–OOMe species is the slow step of the reaction and thus the kinetics are explained through this mechanism (**Scheme 17**). The further functionalization of free small carbon oxidized species such as MeOH is assumed to be a fast process and thus no kinetic dependance is observed in this kinetic
investigation. Further investigation into the mechanism for the functionalization of MeOH will have to be carried out.
3. Conclusion & Future Directions

The charged palladium analogue to a previous complex of interest was prepared and the synthesis was optimized. This complex was suspected to be able to react with elemental dioxygen to selectively oxidize the Pd–Me similar to previous works. A mechanistic investigation was performed to experimentally derive a kinetic rate law for the reactivity of 2 with O₂ utilizing the radical initiator AIBN in CD₃CN. This experimental rate law in Equation 1 was consistent with previous studied radical mediated selective oxidations and thus a mechanism was proposed for this reactivity (Scheme 17). Utilizing this proposed mechanism, a kinetic rate law was derived in Equation 7 which is consistent with experimental results.

2 is a novel complex in the catalytic cycle of interest (Scheme 3) as reactivity resulted in the functionalize or release of free MeOH. In previous works involving the BPI framework, the selective oxidation of Pd–Me resulted in a stable metal–OOMe species that does not liberate functionalized MeOH or MeOOH. In this study, liberated MeOH and MeOOH was observed (Figure 4). This functionalization was independent of the kinetic rate law determined experimentally and theoretically as this was expected to be a rapid step and thus the kinetics are dependent on the slow oxidation step resulting in the formation of [H(BPI)Pd(OOMe)][BF₄].

An additional investigation into the fate of small carbon species produced by the reaction of 2 with O₂ is of interest. Potential ¹³C labeling of the activated methane may be utilized to track and confirm the destiny of the small carbon species produced via
$^{13}$C\{$^1$H\} NMR spectroscopy. Similarly, GC-MS may also be utilized to identify and quantify the small carbon species produced from this selective oxidation reaction.

Further investigation into 3 is of interest to see if methane may be activated or complexed to the Pd metal center again to complete the catalytic cycle in Scheme 3. An additional photolytic investigation is of interest considering preliminary evidence of 2 being capable of reacting with O$_2$ at room temperature in the absence of a radical initiator like AIBN. This is consistent with previous photolytic work by Ho et al.$^{14}$ A photocatalyzed selective oxidation is of interest as this embraces the principals of green chemistry capable of performing the selective oxidation under ambient conditions open to air and light.
4. Materials and Methods

4.1 Physical Measurements

$^1$H NMR spectra were collected using a Bruker AVIII Bio 500 MHz spectrometer with a 5 mm BBFO probe unless otherwise noted. All $^{19}$F NMR spectra were collected using a Bruker AVIII Bio 376 MHz spectrometer with a 5 mm BBFO probe. DOSY NMR spectrum was collected with a Bruker 400 MHz spectrometer. Chemical shifts were referenced to residual solvent. s = singlet, d = doublet, dd = doublet of doublets, m = multiplet.

4.2 Synthetic and Characteristic Protocol

All reactions and manipulations were performed under an inert atmosphere (N$_2$) using standard Schlenk techniques or in a vacuum atmosphere dry box equipped with oxygen and moisture purifier systems. Glassware was dried overnight at 60°C prior to use. (CD$_3$)$_3$CO, CD$_3$CN, C$_6$D$_6$, and CDCl$_3$ were purchased anhydrous and stored over 4 Å molecular sieves before use. CD$_3$CN utilized in kinetics was purchased anhydrous, rigorously dried with CaH$_2$ under vacuum, transferred to a Schlenk tube, and stored over 4 Å molecular sieves then passed over celite prior to use.
4.3 Organic BPI Framework

4.3.1 Synthesis of 4-(tert-butyl)pyridine-N-oxide


Preparation followed standard literature procedures open to air (Scheme 18). 4-(tert-butyl)pyridine (3.0 g, 22.2 mmol) was added to a round bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar and containing 60 mL of CHCl₃. The resultant solution was chilled with an ice bath to 0°C. meta-Chloroperoxybenzoic acid (mCPBA; 6.56 g; 26.6 mmol) suspended in CHCl₃ (60 mL) was added in portions to the chilled, stirring reaction mixture over the course of 20 min. The reaction turned a cloudy white and was allowed to come to room temperature after which it was stirred overnight. The resultant homogenous reaction mixture was quenched with an excess of Na₂CO₃, extracted with CHCl₃, and dried with MgSO₄ and *in vacuo*. 4-(tert-butyl)pyridine-N-oxide was collected as a yellow solid (3.32 g, 21.9 mmol, 99% yield). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) confirmed product formation and purity δ 8.16 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), δ 7.27 (d, 2H), δ 1.31 (s, 9H) (Figure S1).
4.3.2 Synthesis of 2-amino-4-(tert-butyl)pyridine

Scheme 19. Synthetic scheme for 2-amino-4-(tert-butyl)pyridine.

Preparation was modeled after standard literature procedures open to air (Scheme 19).\textsuperscript{18} 4-(tert-butyl)pyridine-$N$-oxide (1.75 g, 11.6 mmol) was massed into a reaction flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar and DCM (150 mL). (tert-butyl)amine (6.08 mL, 57.9 mmol) was added forming a cloudy yellow solution. TsCl (4.41 g, 23.1 mmol) was massed and added to the reaction flask over 1 hr. The reaction was stirred overnight and observed to be incomplete the next morning as verified by $^1$H NMR. TsCl (2.21 g, 11.6 mmol) was recharged into the reaction flask over 20 min period. Incomplete conversion was observed by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy by taking an aliquot, drying \textit{in vacuo}, then dissolving in CDCl$_3$ with a spike of triethylamine (TEA). (tert-butyl)amine (6.06 mL, 57.9 mmol) was added along with a slow addition of TsCl (4.41 g, 23.1 mmol) over 45 min. The reaction was stirred overnight. Incomplete conversion was observed by $^1$H NMR; therefore, (tert-butyl)amine (6.06 mL, 57.9 mmol) was added along with a slow addition of TsCl (4.41 g, 23.1 mmol) over 30 min. The reaction was completed and worked up with an aqueous NaOH solution (1.04 M) with 2 extractions (50 mL) followed
by 3 extractions with DCM (75 mL). The resulting organic solution was dried with MgSO₄ and in vacuo. Organic solids were dissolved with warm EtOAc and allowed to come to room temperature to which hexanes were added (225 mL) and the recrystallization was allowed to occur over 2 days. A vacuum filtration was performed to extract product in the supernatant which was then dried in vacuo. Dried filtrate containing the tert-butyl protected aminated intermediated was then added to a round bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar and TFA fitted with a condenser. The reaction flask was heated to 90°C and allowed to react overnight. The reaction mixture was quenched with an aqueous NaOH solution (100 mL, 3.65 M) and extracted with 3 additions of DCM (100 mL) and dried with MgSO₄ and in vacuo. The resulting oil was dry loaded onto a silica gel column equilibrated with 1:1 EtOAc : hexanes, eluted, and dried in vacuo. 2-amino-4-(tert-butyl)pyridine was collected as a yellow solid (0.9751 g, 6.49 mmol, 56% yield). ¹H NMR confirmed product formation (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.94 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H), δ 6.66 (dd, J = 5.53, 1.7 Hz, 1H), δ 6.48 (s, 1H), δ 4.48 (s, 2H), δ 1.25 (d, 9H) (Figure S2).
4.3.3 Synthesis of 1,3-bis(2-(4-tert-butyl)pyridylimino)isoindole (BPI)

Scheme 20. Synthetic scheme for BPI.

Preparation of BPI was modeled after standard literature procedures (Scheme 20). 2-amino-4-(tert-butyl)pyridine (0.5 g, 3.33 mmol); 1,2-dicyanobenzene (0.2133 g, 1.66 mmol); calcium chloride (CaCl$_2$, 0.0370 g, 0.33 mmol) were massed into a round bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar and 1-hexanol (10 mL) fitted with a condenser and back filled with N$_2$. The reaction was stirred at reflux (157°C) for 3 days. The resulting brown solution was dried in vacuo and the brown solids were transferred to a sintered glass frit with H$_2$O. The solids were tritratured with cold hexanes followed by a rinse of DCM. The yellow filtrate was dried, and a yellow solid of BPI was collected (0.471 g, 1.15 mmol, 69% yield) and stored in a glovebox. $^1$H (500 MHz; CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 13.97 (s, 1H), $\delta$ 8.51 (d, J = 5.3 Hz, 2H), $\delta$ 8.08 (dd, J = 5.7, 3.1 Hz, 2H), $\delta$ 7.65 (dd, J = 5.6, 3.0 Hz, 2H), $\delta$ 7.47 (s, 2H), $\delta$ 7.12 (dd, J = 5.5, 1.9 Hz, 2H), $\delta$ 1.37 (s, 18H) (Figure S3).
4.4 Inorganic Pd Framework

4.4.1 Synthesis of [(TMEDA)Pd(Cl)₂]

![Scheme 21](image.png)

**Scheme 21.** Synthetic scheme for [(TMEDA)Pd(Cl)₂].

Preparation of [(TMEDA)Pd(Cl)₂] followed standard literature procedures (Scheme 21).²⁰ Palladium chloride (PdCl₂, 6.0 g, 33.8 mmol) was massed into a Schlenk flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar and back filled with N₂. Dry acetonitrile (CH₃CN, 200 mL) was cannula transferred into the reaction flask. The red suspension was left to react overnight. The orange suspension was heated to reflux (82°C) fitted with a condenser until all precipitate dissolved (1 hr). The yellow solution was allowed to cool (1 hr) and N,N,N',N'-tetramethylethylenediamine (TMEDA, 6 mL, 40.0 mmol) was added via a syringe. The resulting reaction mixture was allowed to stir (1 hr) before being opened to air, filtered with 3 Et₂O rinses (25 mL), and dried in vacuo. Yellow [(TMEDA)Pd(Cl)₂] was collected (9.476 g; 32.5 mmol; 96% yield). ¹H NMR (500 MHz; CDCl₃): δ 2.83 (s, 12H), δ 2.69 (s, 4H) (Figure S4).
4.4.2 Synthesis of [(TMEDA)Pd(Me)$_2$]

**Scheme 22.** Synthetic scheme for [(TMEDA)Pd(Me)$_2$].

Preparation of [(TMEDA)Pd(Me)$_2$] followed standard literature procedures (Scheme 22)$^{20}$ [(TMEDA)Pd(Cl)$_2$] (1.0 g, 3.43 mmol) was massed into Schlenk flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar and back filled with N$_2$ and chilled to -40°C. Et$_2$O (13 mL) was cannula transferred to the reaction flask which was allowed to stir followed by an addition of MeLi solution (2.76 mL, 3.1 M in diethoxymethane, 8.56 mmol). The orange solution was allowed to come to 0°C and stirred to react for 1 hr becoming a brown solution. The reaction was allowed to come to room temperature before degassed H$_2$O was cannula transferred to quench. The product was extracted with 3 additions of Et$_2$O (20 mL) and dried *in vacuo* before taken up in benzene and filtered over a sintered glass frit. The clear filtrate was dried *in vacuo*, and the white powder was taken up in pentane and collected over a sintered glass frit and further dried *in vacuo*. Solid white [(TMEDA)Pd(Me)$_2$] was collected (0.452 g, 1.8 mmol, 52% yield) and stored at -30°C in a glovebox. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz; (CD$_3$)$_2$CO): δ 2.53 (s, 4H), δ 2.37 (s, 12H), δ -0.38 (s, 6H) (Figure S5).
4.5 Preparation of Pd catalyst

4.5.1 Synthesis of [(BPI)Pd(Me)]

Scheme 23. Synthetic scheme for [(BPI)Pd(Me)].

In the glovebox, BPI (100 mg, 0.242 mmol) and [(TMEDA)Pd(Me)]₂ (61 mg, 0.242 mmol) were massed into a Schlenk flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar and dissolved in benzene (6 mL). The yellow solution was stirred overnight at 60°C under N₂ fitted with a condenser (Scheme 23). The red solution was dried in vacuo and a red powder of 1 was collected (121.8 mg, 94% yield) and stored in the glovebox. ¹H NMR (400 MHz; C₆D₆): δ 8.70 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), δ 8.30 (dd, J = 5.4, 3.1 Hz, 2H), δ 7.79 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 2H), δ 7.27 – 7.19 (m, 2H), δ 6.45 (dd, J = 6.5, 2.5 Hz, 2H), δ 1.02 (s, 24H), δ 0.30 (s, 3H) (Figure S6).
4.5.2 Synthesis of [$^{11}$BPI]Pd(Me)][BF$_4$]

**Scheme 24.** Synthetic scheme for [$^{11}$BPI]Pd(Me)][BF$_4$].

In the glovebox, 1 (100 mg, 0.188 mmol) was massed into an Erlenmeyer flask and dissolved in Et$_2$O (40 mL). Fluoroboric acid (HBF$_4$, 33.015 mg, 50-55% w/w in Et$_2$O, 0.188 mmol) was massed into a separate Erlenmeyer flask and diluted with Et$_2$O to make a HBF$_4$ solution (9.4 M HBF$_4$). The HBF$_4$ solution was added dropwise over 20 minutes into the Erlenmeyer flask containing the red solution of 1. Upon addition of HBF$_4$ solution, a yellow precipitate of 2 crashed out (Scheme 24). 2 was collected on a sintered glass frit and rinsed with Et$_2$O (2 mL) to produce a yellow powder (72.2 mg, 62% yield) stored in a glovebox. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz; CD$_3$CN): $\delta$ 8.66 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), $\delta$ 8.18 (s, 2H), $\delta$ 7.85 (s, 2H), $\delta$ 7.79 (s, 2H), $\delta$ 7.46 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), $\delta$ 1.41 (s, 18H), $\delta$ 0.87 (s, 3H) (Figure S7). $^{19}$F{$^1$H} NMR (376 MHz; CD$_3$CN): $\delta$ -151.61 (d, 4F) (Figure S8).
4.6 DOSY

Complex 2 was opened to air and was dissolved in non-rigorously dried CD$_3$CN to conduct a 2D NMR analysis of size. DOSY NMR collected with Bruker’s “1edbp2s” program with the gradient strength (g), diffusion time (Δ), and diffusion gradient (δ) optimized according to the Bruker DOSY Manuel (Table 1). A gradient ramp from g = 2% to g = 95% with 16 linear steps (TD[F1]) was utilized with optimized Δ (D20 = 50 ms) and δ (P30 = 1.0 ms) for complex 2.

Table 1. The acquisition parameters for DOSY of 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PULPROG: ledbpgp2s</th>
<th>TD[F2]: 65K</th>
<th>TD[F1]: 16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NS: 16</td>
<td>DS: 2</td>
<td>D20: 50 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D21: 5 ms</td>
<td>P30: 1.0 ms</td>
<td>P19: 0.6 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPZ6: 100%</td>
<td>GPZ7: -17.13%</td>
<td>GPZ8: -13.17%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The 2D DOSY spectra were processed with a Bayesian DOSY Transform (BDT) utilizing MestReNova version 14.2.3 with a BDT resolution factor of 1.00, a single repetition, and 128 points in the diffusion dimension.
4.7 Kinetics

4.7.1 Rate Determination Kinetics

Implementing RPKA, the disappearance of the Pd–Me resonance in the aliphatic region of the $^1$H NMR spectrum of 2 allowed for the tracking of the disappearance of the reactant 2 and thus appearance of product 3 to create a concentration of reactant 2 versus time plot to determine kinetics with respect to varied reactant conditions.21

All preparations were carried out under an inert atmosphere. Stock solutions of complex 2 (40.3 mM), AIBN (0.944 M), and Mes (64.52 mM) were prepared in rigorously dried and filtered CD$_3$CN and stored at room temperature. Appropriate amounts of stock solutions were transferred to a J Young NMR tube with a micropipette and the reaction solution was topped off with CD$_3$CN to a final volume of 0.5000 mL. A constant amount of Mes stock (62.41 μL; 64.52 mM) was used for all kinetic trials thus the concentration of the internal standard Mes in the final reaction mixture was known (8.054 mM) to quantify the reaction progress. An initial spectrum of each sample under N$_2$ (1 bar) was collected to ensure that there were no impurities present prior to reacting. The J Young tube was then freeze-pump-thawed three times before pressurization of a certain amount of oxygen (2.5 - 7 bar) at room temperature. The sample was then placed into the NMR spectrometer preheated to 333 K and a $^1$H pseudo 2D kinetic experiment with a pulse angle of 30 degrees was collected with 1 scan every 4 minutes to track reaction progress. The reaction was followed and data collected for at least 3 half-lives.
4.7.2 Spike Experiments

After completion of a kinetic trial, the sample was allowed to come to room temperature before being opened to air to release the pressurized O₂. The appropriate spike was added, and the J Young was sealed with a final ¹H NMR spectrum being collected at room temperature with atmosphere (1 bar air). A formaldehyde solution (5 μL, 37% w/w formaldehyde in H₂O with 10-15% methanol) was spiked to probe the formation of formaldehyde. Methanol (0.3 μL) was spiked to probe the formation of methanol.
5. References


(10) Zeitler, H. E.; Kaminsky, W. A.; Goldberg, K. I. Insertion of Molecular Oxygen into the Metal–Methyl Bonds of Platinum(II) and Palladium(II) 1,3-Bis(2-Pyridylimino)Isoindolate Complexes. Organometallics 2018, 37 (21), 3644–3648. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.organomet.8b00573.


(22) Rainer Kerssebaum. *DOSY and Diffusion by NMR*; Rheinstetten, Germany.
6. Supplementary Information
List of Supplementary Figures

Figure S1. $^1$H NMR of 4-(tert-butyl)pyridine-$N$-oxide .................................................. 55
Figure S2. $^1$H NMR of 2-amino-4-(tert-butyl)pyridine .......................................................... 56
Figure S3. $^1$H NMR of 1,3-bis(2-(4-tert-butyl)pyridylimino)isoindole ................................. 57
Figure S4. $^1$H NMR of [(TMEDA)Pd(Cl)$_2$] ........................................................................... 58
Figure S5. $^1$H NMR of [(TMEDA)Pd(Me)$_2$] ........................................................................... 59
Figure S6. $^1$H NMR of [(BPI)Pd(Me)] ....................................................................................... 60
Figure S7. $^1$H NMR of $[^{1}(BPI)Pd(Me)][BF_4]$ ................................................................. 61
Figure S8. $^{19}$F $^1$H NMR of $[^{1}(BPI)Pd(Me)][BF_4]$ .............................................................. 62
Figure S1. $^1$H NMR of 4-(tert-butyl)pyridine-N-oxide (500 MHz; CDCl$_3$; 99% yield): δ 8.16 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2H), δ 7.27 (d, 2H), δ 1.31 (s, 9H).
Figure S2. $^1$H NMR of 2-amino-4-(tert-butyl)pyridine (500 MHz; CDCl$_3$; 56% yield): δ 7.94 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H), δ 6.66 (dd, J = 5.5, 1.7 Hz, 1H), δ 6.48 (s, 1H), δ 4.48 (s, 2H), δ 1.25 (d, 9H).
Figure S3. $^1$H NMR of 1,3-bis(2-(4-tert-butyl)pyridylimino)isoindole (500 MHz; CDCl$_3$; 69% yield): $\delta$ 13.97 (s, 1H), $\delta$ 8.51 (d, $J = 5.3$ Hz, 2H), $\delta$ 8.08 (dd, $J = 5.7$, 3.1 Hz, 2H), $\delta$ 7.65 (dd, $J = 5.6$, 3.0 Hz, 2H), $\delta$ 7.47 (s, 2H), $\delta$ 7.12 (dd, $J = 5.5$, 1.9 Hz, 2H), $\delta$ 1.37 (s, 18H).
Figure S4. $^1$H NMR of [(TMEDA)Pd(Cl)$_2$] (500 MHz; CDCl$_3$; 96% yield): $\delta$ 2.83 (s, 12H), $\delta$ 2.69 (s, 4H).
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