Swarthmore College

Works

[Mathematics & Statistics Faculty Works](https://works.swarthmore.edu/fac-math-stat) [Mathematics & Statistics](https://works.swarthmore.edu/math-stat) **Mathematics** & Statistics

2019

Equivalence Between Uniform L² ★(Ω) A-Priori Bounds And Uniform L **∞**(**Ω**) A-Priori Bounds For Subcritical Elliptic Equations

A. Castro

Nsoki Mavinga Swarthmore College, nmaving1@swarthmore.edu

R. Pardo

Follow this and additional works at: [https://works.swarthmore.edu/fac-math-stat](https://works.swarthmore.edu/fac-math-stat?utm_source=works.swarthmore.edu%2Ffac-math-stat%2F248&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Part of the [Mathematics Commons](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/174?utm_source=works.swarthmore.edu%2Ffac-math-stat%2F248&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) [Let us know how access to these works benefits you](https://forms.gle/4MB8mE2GywC5965J8)

Recommended Citation

A. Castro, Nsoki Mavinga, and R. Pardo. (2019). "Equivalence Between Uniform L² * (Ω) A-Priori Bounds And Uniform L∞(Ω) A-Priori Bounds For Subcritical Elliptic Equations". Topological Methods In Nonlinear Analysis. Volume 53, Issue 1. 43-56. DOI: 10.12775/TMNA.2018.036 <https://works.swarthmore.edu/fac-math-stat/248>

This work is brought to you for free by Swarthmore College Libraries' Works. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mathematics & Statistics Faculty Works by an authorized administrator of Works. For more information, please contact myworks@swarthmore.edu.

T opological M ethods in N onlinear Analysis Volume 53, No. 1, 2019, 43-56 DOI: 10.12775/TMNA.2018.036

© **2019 Juliusz Schaude r Centre for Nonlinear Studies Nicola us Cope rnicus University**

EQUIVALENCE BETWEEN UNIFORM $L^{2^*}(\Omega)$ **A-PRIORI BOUNDS** AND UNIFORM $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ A-PRIORI BOUNDS **FOR SUBCRITICAL ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS**

ALFONSO CASTRO - NSOKI MAVINGA - ROSA PARDO

ABSTRACT. We provide sufficient conditions for a uniform $L^{2^*}(\Omega)$ bound to imply a uniform $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ bound for positive classical solutions to a class of subcritical elliptic problems in bounded C^2 domains in \mathbb{R}^N . We also establish an equivalent result for sequences of boundary value problems.

1. Introduction

We consider the existence of $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ *a priori* bounds for classical positive solutions to the boundary value problem

 (1.1)

 $-\Delta u = f(u)$, in Ω , $u = 0$, on $\partial \Omega$.

The second author was supported by the AWM-NSF Mentoring Travel Grant 2015.

The third author is supported by Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (MICINN) under Project MTM2016-75465-P, and by UCM-BSCH, Spain, GR58/08, Grupo 920894.

²⁰¹⁰ *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 35B45, 35B33; Secondary: 35B09, 35J60.

Key words and phrases. A priori estimates; positive solutions; subcritical nonlinearity; radial solutions.

This work was supported by Spanish Programa Cátedra de Excelencia de la Comunidad de Madrid UCM.

The first author is supported by a grant from the Simons Foundations ($\#245966$ to Alfonso Castro).

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $N > 2$, is a bounded domain with C^2 boundary $\partial \Omega$. We provide sufficient conditions on *f* for $L^{2^*}(\Omega)$ *a priori* bounds to imply $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ *a priori* bounds, where $2^* = 2N/(N-2)$ is the critical Sobolev exponent. The converse is obviously true without any additional hypotheses.

The existence of *a priori* bounds for (1.1) has a rich history. In chronological order, $[18]$, $[14]$, $[17]$, $[4]$, $[15]$, $[11]$, $[10]$ and $[2]$ are some of the main contributors to such a development. We refer the reader to [6] where their roles arc discussed.

The ideas for the proof of our main Theorem are similar to those used in $[6,$ Theorem 1.1. In $[6]$ we give sufficient conditions on the nonlinearity to have $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ *a priori* bounds, while here we prove the equivalence between the existence of $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ *a priori* bounds and the existence of $L^{2^*}(\Omega)$ *a priori* bounds for suberitical elliptic equations. Unlike the proof in [6], here we do not use Pohozaev or moving planes arguments.

Our main result is the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.1. Assume that the nonlinearity $f: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$ is a locally Lip*schitzian function that satisfies:*

(H1) *There exists a constant* $C_0 > 0$ *such that*

$$
\liminf_{s \to \infty} \frac{1}{f(s)} \min_{[s/2, s]} f \geq C_0.
$$

(H2) *There exists a constant* $C_1 > 0$ *such that*

$$
\limsup_{s\to\infty}\frac{1}{f(s)}\max_{[0,s]}f\leq C_1.
$$

(F) $\lim_{s\to+\infty}\frac{f(s)}{s^{2^*-1}}=0$; that is, f is subcritical.

Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(a) there exists a uniform constant C (depending only on Ω and f) such *that, for every positive classical solntion u of* (1.1),

$$
||u||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C,
$$

(b) there exists a uniform constant C (depending only on Ω and f) such that *for every positive classical solntion u of* (1.1)

(1.2)
$$
\int_{\Omega} |f(u)|^{2N/(N+2)} dx \leq C,
$$

(c) there exists a uniform constant C (depending only on Ω and f) such *that, for every positive classical solution u of* (1.1) ,

$$
(1.3) \t\t\t ||u||_{L^{2^*}(\Omega)} \leq C.
$$

In [7] and [8] the associated bifurcation problem for the nonlinearity $f(\lambda, s) =$ $\lambda s + g(s)$ with *g* subcritical is studied. Sufficient conditions guaranteeing that

UNIFORM $L^{2^*}(\Omega)$ A-Priori Bounds and Uniform $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ A-priori Bounds 45

either for any $\lambda < \lambda_1$ there exists at least a positive solution, or that there exists a λ^* < 0 and a continuum (λ, u_λ) , λ^* < λ < λ_1 , of positive solutions such that

$$
\|\nabla u_\lambda\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\to\infty,\quad\text{as }\lambda\to\lambda^*,
$$

are provided. See [8, Theorem 2]. In the case Ω is convex, for any $\lambda < \lambda_1$. there exists at least a positive solution, see $[7,$ Theorem 1.2]. In $[9]$ the concept of regions with *convex-starlike* boundary is introduced and sufficient conditions for the existence of *a priori* bounds in such regions are established. In [16] the existence of *a priori* bounds for elliptic systems is provided.

In this paper, we also provide sufficient conditions for the equivalence of the existence of $L^{2^*}(\Omega)$ *a priori* bound with that of $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ *a priori* bound for sequences of boundary value problems. In fact, we prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.2. *Consider the following sequence of* BVP *s*

$$
(1.3)_k \t -\Delta v = g_k(v) \t in \t \Omega, \t v = 0 \t on \t \partial \Omega,
$$

with $g_k: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$ *locally Lipschitzian.* We assume that the following hypotheses *are satisfied*

 $(H1)_k$ *There exists a uniform constant* $C_1 > 0$ *, such that*

$$
\liminf_{s \to +\infty} \frac{1}{g_k(s)} \min_{[s/2,s]} g_k \geq C_1.
$$

 $(H2)_k$ *There exists a uniform constant* $C_2 > 0$ *such that*

$$
\limsup_{s\to +\infty}\frac{1}{g_k(s)}\max_{[0,s]}g_k\leq C_2.
$$

Let $\{v_k\}$ be a sequence of classical positive solutions to $(1.3)_k$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If

$$
(F)_k \lim_{k \to +\infty} g_k(\|v_k\|)/\|v_k\|^{2^*-1} = 0,
$$

then, the following two conditions are equivalent:

(a) *there exists a uniform constant C, depending only on* Ω *and the sequence* ${g_k}$, but independent of k, such that for every $v_k > 0$, classical solution *to* $(1.3)_{k}$

$$
\limsup_{k\to\infty}||v_k||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\leq C;
$$

(b) *there exists a uniform constant C*, *depending only on* Ω *and the sequence* ${g_k}$, but independent of k, such that for every $v_k > 0$, classical solution *to* $(1.3)_{k}$

(1.4)
$$
\limsup_{k\to\infty}\int_{\Omega}|g_k(v_k)|^{2N/(N+2)} dx \leq C.
$$

- (c) there exists a uniform constant C (depending only on Ω and the sequence ${g_k}$) *such that for every positive classical solution v_k of* $(1.3)_k$
- (1.5) $||v_k||_{L^{2^*}(\Omega)} \leq C.$

Hypothesis $(H1)_k$, and $(H2)_k$, are not sufficient for the existence of an L^{∞} *a priori* bound. Atkinson and Pelletier in [1] show that for $f_{\varepsilon}(s) = s^{2^{\star}-1-\varepsilon}$ and Ω a ball in \mathbb{R}^3 , there exists $x_0 \in \Omega$ and a sequence of solutions u_{ε} such that $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} u_{\varepsilon} = 0$ in $C^1(\Omega \setminus \{x_0\})$ and $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} u_{\varepsilon}(x_0) = +\infty$. See also Han [13], for non-spherical domains.

Furthermore, hypotheses $(H1)_k$, $(H2)_k$, and $(F)_k$, are not sufficient for the existence of an L^{∞} a priori bound. In fact, in Section 4 we construct a sequence of BVP satisfying $(H1)_k$, $(H2)_k$, and $(F)_k$, and a sequence of solutions v_k such that $\lim \|v_k\|_{\infty} = +\infty$. Our example also shows the non-uniqueness of positive solutions.

2. Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

In this section, we state and prove our main results that hold for general bounded domains, including the non-convex case. We provide a sufficient condition for a uniform $L^{2^*}(\Omega)$ bound to imply a uniform $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ bound for classical positive solutions of the subcritical elliptic equation (1.1). We also give sufficient conditions such that the $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ bound of a sequence of classical positive solutions of a sequence of BVPs $(1.3)_k$ is equivalent to the uniform $L^{2^*}(\Omega)$ bound of the sequence of reaction functions. The arguments rely on the estimation of the radius *R* of a ball where the function *u* exceeds half of its L^{∞} bound, see Figure 1.

All throughout this paper, we assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded domain with $C²$ boundary, and *C* denotes several constants independent of *u*, where $u > 0$ is any classical solution to (1.1) .

FIGURE 1. A solution, its L^{∞} norm, and the estimate of the radius R such that $u(x) \ge ||u||_{\infty}/2$ for all $x \in B(x_0, R)$, where x_0 is such that $u(x_0) =$ $||u||_{\infty}$.

REMARK 2.1. By (1.2), elliptic regularity and the Sobolev embeddings imply that

(2.1) (1/2 l!ullnJ(n) \$ *lo* jVuj²*dx)* \$ *C.*

Hence, for any classical solutions to (1.1) , we have

(2.2)
$$
\int_{\Omega} u f(u) dx = ||u||_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 \leq C.
$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1. Since Ω is bounded (a) implies (b) and (c). From elliptic regularity and condition (1.2), we deduce that $||u||_{W^{2,2N/(N+2)}} \leq C$. It follows using twice the Sobolev embedding that a uniform bound in $W^{2,2N/(N+2)}$ implies a uniform bound in $H^1(\Omega)$ and a uniform bound in $L^{2^*}(\Omega)$, that is,

(2.3)

for all classical positive solution *u* of equation (1.1). Therefore, (b) implies (c).

Now, assume that (c) holds. It follows from the subcriticality condition **(F)** that $|f(s)|^{2N/(N+2)} \leq s^{2^*}$ for all *s* large enough. Thus, for any classical solution to (1.1) , we have

$$
\int_{\Omega} |f(u)|^{2N/(N+2)} dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |u|^{2N/(N-2)} dx + C < C.
$$

Thus (b) and (c) are equivalent.

Next, we concentrate our attention in proving that (b) implies (a). Since $2N/(N+2) = 1 + 1/(2[*] - 1)$, the hypothesis (1.2) can be written

(2.4)
$$
\int_{\Omega} |f(u)|^{1+1/(2^{\star}-1)} dx \leq C.
$$

Therefore,

$$
(2.5) \quad \int_{\Omega} |f(u(x))|^{q} dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |f(u(x))|^{1+1/(2^*-1)} |f(u(x))|^{q-1-1(2^*-1)} dx
$$

$$
\leq C \|f(u(\cdot))\|_{\infty}^{q-1-1/(2^*-1)},
$$

for any $q > N/2$.

From the elliptic regularity (see [3] and [12, Lemma 9.17]), it follows that

$$
(2.6) \t\t ||u||_{W^{2,q}(\Omega)} \leq C \|\Delta u\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \leq C \; ||f(u(\cdot))||_{\infty}^{1-1/q-1/(2^*-1)q}.
$$

Let us restrict $q \in (N/2, N)$. From the Sobolev embeddings, for $1/q^* = 1/q - 1/N$ with $q^* > N$ we can write

(2.7) 1iullw1.•·(n) \$ Cllullw2.,,(n) \$ CIIJ(u(·))ll~'/q- I/((2 ' - t)q)_

From Morrey's Theorem, (see [3, Theorem 9.12 and Corollary 9.14]), there exists a constant C (depending only on Ω , q and N) such that, for all $x_1, x_2 \in \Omega$,

$$
(2.8) \t\t |u(x_1)-u(x_2)| \leq C|x_1-x_2|^{1-N/q^*}||u||_{W^{1,q^*}(\Omega)}.
$$

Therefore, for all $x \in B(x_1,R) \subset \Omega$,

$$
(2.9) \t\t |u(x)-u(x_1)| \leq C R^{2-N/q} \|u\|_{W^{2,q}(\Omega)}.
$$

Now, we shall argue by contradiction. Suppose that there exists a sequence ${u_k}$ of classical positive solutions of (1.1) such that

(2.10)
$$
\lim_{k \to \infty} \|u_k\| = +\infty, \text{ where } \|u_k\| := \|u_k\|_{\infty}.
$$

Let $x_k \in \Omega$ be such that $u_k(x_k) = \max_{\Omega} u_k$. Let us choose R_k such that $B_k =$ $B(x_k, R_k) \subset \Omega$, and

$$
u_k(x) \geq \frac{1}{2} ||u_k|| \quad \text{for any } x \in B(x_k, R_k).
$$

and there exists $y_k \in \partial B(x_k, R_k)$ such that

(2.11)
$$
u_k(y_k) = \frac{1}{2} ||u_k||
$$

Let us denote by

$$
m_k := \min_{\{\|u_k\|/2, \|u_k\|\}} f, \qquad M_k := \max_{[0, \|u_k\|]} f.
$$

Therefore, we obtain

(2.12)
$$
m_k \le f(u_k(x))
$$
 if $x \in B_k$, $f(u_k(x)) \le M_k$ for all $x \in \Omega$.
Then **re半** as in (2.5) we obtain

Then, reasoning as in (2.5), we obtain

(2.13)
$$
\int_{\Omega} |f(u_k)|^q dx \leq C M_k^{q-1-1/(2^*-1)}.
$$

From the elliptic regularity, see (2.6), we deduce

(2.14)
$$
||u_k||_{W^{2,q}(\Omega)} \leq CM_k^{1-1/q-1/((2^*-1)q)}.
$$

Therefore, from Morrey's Theorem, see (2.9), for any $x \in B(x_k, R_k)$

$$
(2.15) \t |u_k(x)-u_k(x_k)|\leq C(R_k)^{2-N/q}M_k^{1-1/q-1/((2^{\star}-1)q)}.
$$

Taking $x = y_k$ in the above inequality and from (2.11) we obtain

$$
(2.16) \tC (R_k)^{2-N/q} M_k^{1-1/q-1/((2^*-1)q)} \geq |u_k(y_k)-u_k(x_k)| = \frac{1}{2} ||u_k||,
$$

which implies

(2.17)
$$
(R_k)^{2-N/q} \geq \frac{1}{2C} \frac{\|u_k\|}{M_k^{1-1/q-1/((2^*-1)q)}},
$$

or equivalently,

$$
(2.18) \t R_k \ge \left(\frac{1}{2C} \frac{\|u_k\|}{M_k^{1-1/q-1/((2^*-1)q)}}\right)^{1/(2-N/q)}.
$$

UNIFORM $L^{2^*}(\Omega)$ A-Priori Bounds and Uniform $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ A-priori Bounds 49

Consequently,

$$
\int_{B(x_k,R_k)} u_k^{2^*} \ge \left(\frac{1}{2} \left\|u_k\right\|\right)^{2^*} \omega(R_k)^N,
$$

where $\omega = \omega_N$ is the volume of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^N .

Due to $B(x_k, R_k) \subset \Omega$, substituting inequality (2.18), taking into account hypothesis (H2), and rearranging terms, we obtain

$$
||u_k||_{L^{2^*}(\Omega)}^{2^*} = \int_{\Omega} u_k^{2^*} \ge \left(\frac{1}{2} ||u_k||\right)^{2^*} \omega \left(\frac{1}{2C} \frac{||u_k||}{M_k^{1-1/q-1/((2^*-1)q)}}\right)^{N/(2-N/q)}
$$

\n
$$
\ge \left(\frac{1}{2} ||u_k||\right)^{2^*} \omega \left(\frac{1}{2C} \frac{||u_k||}{[f(||u_k||)]^{1-1/q-1/((2^*-1)q)}}\right)^{1/(2/N-1/q)}
$$

\n
$$
= C ||u_k||^{2^*-1} \left(||u_k|||^{2/N-1/q} \frac{||u_k||}{[f(||u_k||)]^{1-1/q-1/((2^*-1)q)}}\right)^{1/(2/N-1/q)}
$$

\n
$$
= C \frac{||u_k||^{2^*-1}}{f(||u_k||)} \left(\frac{||u_k||^{1+2/N-1/q}}{[f(||u_k||)]^{1-2/N-1/(2^*-1)q}}\right)^{1/(2/N-1/q)}
$$

\n
$$
\ge C \frac{||u_k||^{2^*-1}}{f(||u_k||)} \left(\frac{||u_k||^{(N+2)[1/N-1/((N+2)q)]}}{[f(||u_k||)]^{(N-2)[1/N-1/((N+2)q)]}}\right)^{1/(2/N-1/q)}.
$$

Finally, from (2.10) and the hypothesis **(F)** we deduce

$$
\int_{\Omega} u_k^{2^*} \geq C \frac{\|u_k\|^{2^*-1}}{f(\|u_k\|)} \left(\frac{\|u_k\|^{2^*-1}}{f(\|u_k\|)}\right)^{(N-2)[1/N-1/((N+2)q)](2/N-1/q)} \n= \left(\frac{\|u_k\|^{2^*-1}}{f(\|u_k\|)}\right)^{1+(N-2)[1/N-1/((N+2)q)]/(2/N-1/q)} \to \infty \text{ as } k \to \infty,
$$

which contradicts (2.3) . Thus (b) implies (a) .

REMARK 2.2. One can easily see that condition (1.4) implies that there exists a uniform constant $C_4 > 0$ such that

(2.19)
$$
\limsup_{k\to\infty}\int_{\Omega}v_k\,g_k(v_k)\,dx\leq C_4,
$$

for all classical positive solutions $\{v_k\}$ to $(1.3)_k$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2. Clearly, condition (a) implies (b) and (c). By the elliptic regularity and condition (1.4), we have that $||v_k||_{W^{2,2N/(N+2)}} \leq C$. Therefore, $||v_k||_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C$. Hence, by the Sobolev embedding, we deduce that

$$
(2.20) \t\t\t ||v_k||_{L^{2^*}(\Omega)} \leq C \t \text{ for all } k.
$$

Using similar arguments as in Theorem 1.1 and condition $(F)_k$, one can show that (b) and (c) are equivalent. We shall concentrate our attention in proving that (b) implies (a). All throughout this proof *C* denotes several constants independent of k.

Observe that $1 + 1/(2^* - 1) = 2N/(N + 2)$. From hypothesis (b), see (1.4), there exists a fixed constant $C > 0$, (independent of k) such that

$$
(2.21) \quad \int_{\Omega} |g_k(v_k(x))|^q \, dx \le \int_{\Omega} |g_k(v_k(x))|^{1+1/(2^*-1)} |g_k(v_k(x))|^{q-1-1/(2^*-1)} \, dx
$$

$$
\le C \|g_k(v_k(\cdot))\|_{\infty}^{q-1-1/(2^*-1)},
$$

for *k* big enough, and for any $q > N/2$. Therefore, from the elliptic regularity, see [12, Lemma 9.17]

$$
(2.22) \t\t ||v_k||_{W^{2,q}(\Omega)} \leq C \|\Delta v_k\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \leq C \t ||g_k(v_k(\,\cdot\,))||_{\infty}^{1-1/q-1/((2^\star-1)q)},
$$

for *k* big enough.

Let us restrict $q \in (N/2,N)$. From Sobolev embeddings, for $1/q^* = 1/q - 1/N$ with $q^* > N$ we can write

$$
(2.23) \t\t ||v_k||_{W^{1,q^*}(\Omega)} \leq C ||v_k||_{W^{2,q}(\Omega)} \leq C ||g_k(v_k(\cdot))||_{\infty}^{1-1/q-1/((2^*-1)q)},
$$

for *k* big enough. From Morrey's Theorem, (see [3, Theorem 9.12 and Corollary 9.14]), there exists a constant C only dependent on Ω , q and N such that

$$
(2.24) \t\t |v_k(x_1)-v_k(x_2)|\leq C|x_1-x_2|^{1-N/q^*}\|v_k\|_{W^{1,q^*}(\Omega)},
$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in \Omega$ and for any k. Therefore, for all $x \in B(x_1, R) \subset \Omega$

$$
(2.25) \t\t |v_k(x) - v_k(x_1)| \leq C R^{2-N/q} \|v_k\|_{W^{2,q}(\Omega)},
$$

for any k .

From now on, we argue by contradiction. Let $\{v_k\}$ be a sequence of classical positive solutions to $(1.3)_k$ and assume that

(2.26)
$$
\lim_{k \to \infty} ||v_k|| = +\infty, \text{ where } ||v_k|| := ||v_k||_{\infty}.
$$

Let $x_k \in \Omega$ be such that $v_k(x_k) = \max_{\Omega} v_k$. Let us choose R_k such that $B_k :=$ $B(x_k, R_k) \subset \Omega$, and

$$
v_k(x) \ge \frac{1}{2} ||v_k|| \quad \text{for any } x \in B_k.
$$

and there exists $y_k \in \partial B_k$ such that

(2.27)
$$
v_k(y_k) = \frac{1}{2} ||v_k||.
$$

Let us denote by

$$
m_k := \min_{\{ \|v_k\|/2, \|v_k\|\}} g_k, \qquad M_k := \max_{[0, \|v_k\|]} g_k.
$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$
(2.28) \t m_k \le g_k(v_k(x)) \t \text{if } x \in B_k, \t g_k(v_k(x)) \le M_k \t \text{for all } x \in \Omega.
$$

UNIFORM $L^{2^*}(\Omega)$ A-Priori Bounds and Uniform $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ A-priori Bounds 51

Then, reasoning as in (2.21), we obtain

(2.29)
$$
\int_{\Omega} |g_k(v_k)|^q dx \leq C M_k^{q-1-1/(2^*-1)}.
$$

From the elliptic regularity, see (2.22), we deduce

$$
(2.30) \t\t\t ||v_k||_{W^{2,q}(\Omega)} \leq CM_k^{1-1/q-1/((2^*-1)q)}.
$$

Therefore, from Morrey's Theorem, see (2.25), for any $x \in B_k$,

$$
(2.31) \t|v_k(x)-v_k(x_k)| \leq C(R_k)^{2-N/q} M_k^{1-1/q-1/((2^*-1)q)}.
$$

Particularizing $x = y_k$ in the above inequality and from (2.27) we obtain

$$
(2.32) \tC(R_k)^{2-N/q} M_k^{1-1/q-1/((2^*-1)q)} \geq |v_k(y_k)-v_k(x_k)| = \frac{1}{2} ||v_k||,
$$

which implies

$$
(2.33) \t\t (R_k)^{2-N/q} \geq \frac{1}{2C} \frac{\|v_k\|}{M_k^{1-1/q-1/((2^*-1)q)}},
$$

or equivalently

$$
(2.34) \t R_k \ge \left(\frac{1}{2C} \frac{\|v_k\|}{M_k^{1-1/q-1/((2^*-1)q)}}\right)^{1/(2-N/q)}.
$$

Consequently, taking into account (2.28),

$$
\int_{B_k} v_k |g_k(v_k)| dx \geq \frac{1}{2} ||v_k|| m_k \omega(R_k)^N,
$$

where $\omega = \omega_N$ is the volume of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^N , see Figure 2(b).

Due to $B_k \subset \Omega$, substituting inequality (2.34), and rearranging terms, we obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega} v_k |g_k(v_k)| dx \ge \frac{1}{2} ||v_k|| m_k \omega \left(\frac{1}{2C} \frac{||v_k||}{M_k^{1-1/q-1/(2^*-1)q)}}\right)^{N/(2-N/q)}
$$

\n
$$
= C m_k \left([||v_k||]^{2/N-1/q} \frac{||v_k||}{M_k^{1-1/q-1/(2^*-1)q}} \right)^{1/(2/N-1/q)}
$$

\n
$$
= C m_k \left(\frac{||v_k||^{1+2/N-1/q}}{M_k^{1-1/q-1/(2^*-1)q}}\right)^{1/(2/N-1/q)}
$$

\n
$$
= C \frac{m_k}{M_k} \left(\frac{||v_k||^{1+2/N-1/q}}{M_k^{1-2/N-1/(2^*-1)q}}\right)^{1/(2/N-1/q)}
$$

At this moment, let us observe that from hypothesis $(H1)_k$ and $(H2)_k$

(2.35)
$$
\frac{m_k}{M_k} \ge C, \text{ for all } k \text{ big enough.}
$$

Hence, taking again into account hypothesis $(H2)_k$, and rearranging exponents, we can assert that

$$
(2.36) \qquad \int_{\Omega} v_k |g_k(v_k)| \, dx \ge C \bigg(\frac{\|v_k\|^{1+2/N-1/q}}{M_k^{1-2/N-1/((2^*-1)q)}} \bigg)^{1/(2/N-1/q)} \\
\ge C \bigg(\frac{\|v_k\|^{1+2/N-1/q}}{[g_k(\|v_k\|)]^{1-2/N-1/((2^*-1)q)}} \bigg)^{1/(2/N-1/q)} \\
\ge C \bigg(\frac{\|v_k\|^{(N+2)[1/N-1/((N+2)q)]}}{[g_k(\|v_k\|)]^{(N-2)[1/N-1/((N+2)q)]}} \bigg)^{1/(2/N-1/q)}.
$$

Finally, from hypothesis $(F)_k$ we deduce

$$
\int_{\Omega} v_k |g_k(v_k)| dx \geq C \bigg(\frac{\|v_k\|^{2^{\star} - 1}}{g_k(\|v_k\|)} \bigg)^{(N-2)!(1/N-1/((N+2)\alpha))/(2/N-1/\alpha)} \to \infty,
$$

as $k \to \infty$, which contradicts (2.19).

3. Radial problems with almost critical exponent

In this section, we build an example of a sequence of functions ${g_k}$ growing subcritically, and satisfying the hypotheses $(H1)_k$, $(H2)_k$, and $(F)_k$, such that the corresponding sequence of BVP

(3.1)
$$
\begin{cases} \Delta w_k + g_k(w_k) = 0 & \text{in } |x| \leq 1, \\ w_k(x) = 0 & \text{for } |x| = 1. \end{cases}
$$

has an unbounded (in the $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ -norm) sequence $\{w_k\}$ of positive solutions. As a consequence of Theorem 1.2, this sequence $\{w_k\}$ is also unbounded in the $L^{2^*}(\Omega)$ -norm.

Let $N \geq 3$ be an integer. For each positive integer $k > 2$ let

$$
g_k(s) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } s < 0, \\ s^{(N+2)/(N-2)} & \text{for } s \in [0, k], \\ k^{(N+2)/(N-2)} & \text{for } s \in [k, k^{(N+2)/(N-2)}], \\ k^{(N+2)/(N-2)} + (s - k^{(N+2)/(N-2)})^{(N+1)/(N-2)} & \text{for all } s > k^{(N+2)/(N-2)}. \end{cases}
$$

For the sake of simplicity in notation, we write $g_k := g$.

Let $u_k := u$ denote the solution to

(3.2)
$$
\begin{cases} u'' + \frac{N-1}{r}u' + g(u) = 0 & \text{for } r \in (0,1], \\ u(0) = k^{N/(N-2)} & \text{for } u'(0) = 0. \end{cases}
$$

Let $r_1 = \sup\{r > 0 : u_k(s) \geq k \text{ on } [0,r]\}.$ Since $g \geq 0$, u is decreasing, consequently for $r \in [0, r_1]$, $k \leq u(r) \leq k^{N/(N-2)}$, and

(3.3)
$$
-r^{N-1}u'(r) = \int_0^r s^{N-1}g(u(s)) ds
$$

$$
= \int_0^r s^{N-1}k^{(N+2)/(N-2)} ds = \frac{k^{(N+2)/(N-2)}}{N}r^N,
$$

so

(3.4)
$$
u'(r) = \frac{k^{(N+2)/(N-2)}}{N} r.
$$

Hence

(3.5)
$$
u(r) = k^{N/(N-2)} - \frac{k^{(N+2)/(N-2)}}{2N} r^2, \text{ for } r \in [0, r_1].
$$

Thus, $u(r) \geq k^{N/(N-2)}/2$, for all $0 \leq r \leq r_0 := \sqrt{N}/k^{1/(N-2)}$, and $u(r_0) =$ $k^{N/(N-2)}/2$.

By well established arguments based on the Pohozaev identity, see (5], we have

have
(3.6)
$$
P(r) := r^N E(r) + \frac{N-2}{2} r^{N-1} u(r) u'(r) = \int_0^r s^{N-1} \Gamma(u(s)) ds,
$$

where

$$
E(r)=\frac{1}{2}(u'(r))^2+G(u(r)),\quad \Gamma(s)=NG(s)-\frac{N-2}{2}\,sg(s),\quad G(s)=\int_0^sg(t)\,dt.
$$

For $s \in [k, k^{N/(N-2)}],$

$$
(3.7) \t\Gamma(s) = -\frac{N+2}{2} k^{2N/(N-2)} + \frac{N+2}{2} s k^{(N+2)/(N-2)} \ge 0.
$$

Hence

$$
\Gamma(u(r)) \ge \frac{N+2}{8} k^{(2N+2)/(N-2)} \quad \text{for all } r \le r_0, \ k \ge 4^{(N-2)/2}.
$$

Due to $\Gamma(s) = 0$ for all $s \leq k$, (3.6) and (3.7), for $r \geq r_0$,

$$
P(r) \ge P(r_0) \ge \frac{N+2}{8N} k^{(2N+2)/(N-2)} r_0^N \ge \frac{N+2}{8} N^{(N-2)/2} k^{(N+2)/(N-2)}.
$$

Due to (3.7), for $r \ge r_0$, we have

$$
P(r) \ge P(r_0) \ge \frac{N+2}{8} N^{(N-2)/2} k^{(N+2)/(N-2)}.
$$

From (3.5) $u(r_1) = k$ with

$$
r_1 = \sqrt{2N\left[\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{2/(N-2)} - \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{4/(N-2)}\right]} = \sqrt{2N}\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{1/(N-2)} + o\left(\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{1/(N-2)}\right).
$$

From the definition of $g, -u'(r_1) = k^{(N+2)/(N-2)} r_1/N$ (see (3.4)), which implies

$$
P(r_1) \ge r_1^{N+2} O(k^{2(N+2)/(N-2)}) - r_1^N O(k^{2N/(N-2)})
$$

$$
\ge O(k^{(N+2)/(N-2)}) - O(k^{N/(N-2)}) \ge O(k^{(N+2)/(N-2)}).
$$

For $r \geq r_1$,

$$
(3.8) \qquad -\frac{N-2}{2} r^{N-1} u(r) u'(r) \geq \frac{(N-2)r^N}{2N} u(r) u(r)^{(N+2)/(N-2)} = \frac{(N-2)r^N}{2N} u(r)^{2N/(N-2)} = r^N G(u(r)).
$$

This and Pohozaev's identity imply

$$
[(u'(r))^{2} > O(k^{(N+2)/(N-2)})\frac{1}{r^{N}} \text{ or } -u'(r) > O(k^{(N+2)/(2(N-2))})\frac{1}{r^{N/2}}.
$$

Integrating on $[r_1, r]$ we have

$$
u(r) \leq k - O\big(k^{(N+2)/(2(N-2))}\big) \bigg(\frac{1}{r_1^{(N-2)/2}} - \frac{1}{r^{(N-2)/2}}\bigg),\,
$$

which implies that there exists k_0 such that if $k \geq k_0$ then $u(r) = 0$ for some $r \in (r_1, 2r_1]$. Since (3.8), $r_1 = r_1(k) \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$.

Let
$$
v := v_k
$$
 denote the solution to
\n(3.9)
$$
\begin{cases}\nv'' + \frac{N-1}{r}v' + g(v) = 0, & r \in (0,1], \\
v(0) = k^{(N+2)/(N-2)}, & v'(0) = 0.\n\end{cases}
$$

Let $r_1 = \sup\{r > 0 : v_k(s) \geq k \text{ on } [0, r]\}.$ For $v(r) \geq k$, i ntegrating (3.4), we deduce

(3.10)
$$
v(r) = k^{(N+2)/(N-2)} - \frac{k^{(N+2)/(N-2)}}{2N} r^2, \text{ for } r \in [0, r_1],
$$

(3.11)
$$
v(r) = k \t 2N \t 2N
$$

$$
v(r_1) = k^{(N+2)/(N-2)} - \frac{k^{(N+2)/(N-2)}}{2N} r_1^2 = k,
$$

therefore

(3.12)
$$
r_1 = \sqrt{2N\left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{4/(N-2)}\right)} > 1,
$$

therefore $v(r) \geq k$ for all $r \in [0,1]$. So, by continuous dependence on initial conditions, there exists $d_k \in (k^{N/(N-2)}, k^{(N+2)/(N-2)})$ such that the solution $w = w_k$ to

$$
\begin{cases} w'' + \cfrac{N-1}{r} w' + g_k(w) = 0, & r \in (0,1], \\ w(0) = d_k, & w'(0) = 0. \end{cases}
$$

satisfies $w(r) \geq 0$ for all $r \in [0, 1]$, and $w(1) = 0$. Since *k* may be taken arbitrarily large, and as a consequence of Theorem 1.2, we have established the following result.

COROLLARY 3.1. *There exists a sequence of functions* $q_k : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and a se*quence* $\{w_k\}$ of positive solutions to (3.1), such that each function q_k grows *subcritically and satisfies the hypotheses* $(H1)_k$, $(H2)_k$ *and* $(F)_k$ *of Theorem* 1.2, and the sequence $\{w_k\}$ of positive solutions to (3.1), *is unbounded in the* $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ *norm. Moreover, this sequence* $\{w_k\}$ *is also unbounded in the* $L^{2^*}(\Omega)$ -norm.

Let now $v := v_k$ denote the solution to

(3.13)
$$
\begin{cases} v'' + \frac{N-1}{r}v' + g(v) = 0, & r \in (0,1], \\ v(0) = k, & v'(0) = 0. \end{cases}
$$

Since $\Gamma(s) = 0$ for all $s \leq k$, and the solution is decreasing, by Pohozaev's identity

$$
r(v'(r))^2+\frac{N-2}{4N}\,r\,v(r)^{2N/(N-2)}+\frac{N-2}{2}\,v(r)v'(r)=0,\quad\text{for all }r\in[0,1].
$$

Hence, if $v(\hat{r}) = 0$ for some $\hat{r} \in (0, 1]$, then $v'(\hat{r}) = 0$ and the uniqueness of the solution of the IVP (3.13), implies $v(r) = 0$ for all $r \in [0,1]$. Since this contradicts $v(0) = k > 0$ we conclude that $v(r) > 0$ for all $r \in [0, 1]$. Therefore, by continuous dependence on initial conditions, there exists $d'_{k} \in (k, k^{N/(N-2)})$ such that the solution $z = z_k$ to

$$
\begin{cases} z''+\dfrac{N-1}{r}\,z'+g_k(z)=0, & r\in(0,1],\\ z(0)=d'_k, & z'(0)=0.\end{cases}
$$

satisfies $z(r) \geq 0$ for all $r \in [0, 1]$, and $z(1) = 0$.

COROLLARY 3.2. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the BVP (3.1) has at least two positive *solutions.*

REFERENCES

- [l] F.V. ATKINSON AND L.A. PELETIER, *Elliptic equations with nearly critical growth,* J. Differential Equations **70** (1987), no. 3, 349-365.
- [2] A. BAHRI AND J.M. CORON, *On a nonlinear elliptic equation involving the critical Sobolev exponent: the effect of the topology of the domain,* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 41(1988), no. 3, 253-294.
- [3] H. BREZIS, *Functional Analysis, Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential equations,* Universitext, Springer, New York, 2011.
- [4] H. BREZIS AND R. E.L. TURNER, *On a class of superlinear elliptic problems,* Comm . Partia l Differential Equations **2** (1977), no. 6, 601-614.
- [5] A. CASTRO AND A. KuREPA, *Infinitely many radially symmetric solutions to a superlinear Dirichlet problem in a ball,* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 101 (1987), no. 1, 57-64.
- [6] A. CASTRO AND R. PARDO, *A priori bounds for positive solutions of subcritical elliptic equations,* Rev. Mat. Complut. **28** (2015), 715- 731.
- [7] A. CASTRO AND R. PARDO, *Branches of positive solutions of subcritical elliptic equations in convex domains,* Dynamical Systems, Differential Equations and Applications, AIMS Proceedings, (2015), 230-238.

- [8] A. CASTRO AND R. PARDO, *Branches of positive solutions for subcritical elliptic equations,* Contributions to Nonlinear Elliptic Equations and Systems, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and Their Applications **86** (2015), 87-98.
- [9] A. CASTRO AND R. PARDO, *A priori estimates for positive solutions to subcritical elliptic problems in a class of non-convex regions,* Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B **22** (2017), no. 3, 783-790.
- [10] D.G. DE FIGUEIREDO, P.-L. LIONS ANO R.D. NUSSBAUM, *A priori estimates and existence of positive solutions of semilinear elliptic equations,* J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **61** (1982), no. 1, 41-63.
- [11] B. GIOAS AND J. SPRUCK, *A priori bounds for positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations,* Comm. Partial Differential Equations **6** (1981), no. 8, 883-901.
- [1 2] D. GtLBARG ANO N.S. TRUOINGER, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order,* Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciencesl, vol. 224, Springer-Verlag. Berlin. second ed., 1983.
- [13] Z.-C .HAN, *Asymptotic approach to singular solutions for nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponent,* Ann. Inst. **H.** Poincare Anal. Non Lineaire **8** (1991), no. 2, 159- 174.
- [14] D.D. JOSEPH AND T.S. LUNDGREN, *Quasilinear Dirichlet problems driven by positive sources,* Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **9** (1972/1973), 241-269.
- [15] P.L. LIONS, *On the existence of positive solutions of semilinear elliptic equations,* SIAM Rev. 24 (1982), no. 4, 441-467.
- [l6] N. MAVlNGA AND R. PARDO, *A priori bounds and existence of positive solutions for subcritical semilinear elliptic systems,* J. Math. Anal. Appl. **449** (2017), no. 2, ll72- 1188.
- [17] R. NUSSBAUM, *Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems,* J. Math. Anal. Appl. 51 (1975), (1975), no. 2, 461-482.
- [18] S.I. POHOZAEV, *On the eigenfunctions of the equation* $\Delta u + \lambda f(u) = 0$, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 165 (1965), 36-39.

Manuscript received July 30, 2017 accepted October 4 , 2017

ALFONSO CASTRO Department of Mathematics Harvey Mudd College Claremont, CA 91711, USA *E-mail address:* castro@math.hmc.ec1u

NSOKI MAVINGA Department of Mathematics and Statistics Swarthmore College Swarthmore, PA 19081-1390, USA *E-mail address:* mavinga@swarthmore.edu

ROSA PARDO

Departamento de Matematica Aplicada Universidad Complutense de Madrid 28040-Madrid, SPAIN

E-mail address: rpardo@mat.ucm.es

TMNA: VOLUME 53 - 2019 - N° **1**