

Swarthmore College

Works

Chemistry & Biochemistry Faculty Works

Chemistry & Biochemistry

5-15-1991

Evidence For An Unusual Kinetic Phenomenon In The Metallation Of Porphyrins

F. González-Vílchez

R. A. Vilaplana

Robert F. Pasternack

Swarthmore College, rpaster1@swarthmore.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <https://works.swarthmore.edu/fac-chemistry>

 Part of the [Inorganic Chemistry Commons](#)

[Let us know how access to these works benefits you](#)

Recommended Citation

F. González-Vílchez, R. A. Vilaplana, and Robert F. Pasternack. (1991). "Evidence For An Unusual Kinetic Phenomenon In The Metallation Of Porphyrins". *Journal Of The Chemical Society: Chemical Communications*. Issue 10. 731-732. DOI: 10.1039/c39910000731
<https://works.swarthmore.edu/fac-chemistry/117>

This work is brought to you for free by Swarthmore College Libraries' Works. It has been accepted for inclusion in Chemistry & Biochemistry Faculty Works by an authorized administrator of Works. For more information, please contact myworks@swarthmore.edu.

Evidence for an Unusual Kinetic Phenomenon in the Metallation of Porphyrins

Francisco González-Vilchez,^a Rosario A. Vilaplana^a and Robert F. Pasternack^{*b}

^a Departamento de Química Inorgánica, Facultad de Química, Universidad, 41071 Sevilla, Spain

^b Chemistry Department, Swarthmore College, Swarthmore, PA 19081, USA

Reaction of copper(II) with water soluble porphyrins (H₂porph) in the presence of ethylenediamine shows an unusual and so far unreported kinetic phenomenon that can be explained in terms of supramolecular assembly formation apparently involving all solute species as confirmed *via* light scattering and conductivity measurements.

In this contribution we present an anomalous and so far unreported kinetic phenomenon that occurs when copper(II)-ethylenediamine complexes react with water soluble synthetic porphyrins (H₂porph).[†] Other reports of porphyrin metallation reactions in aqueous solutions,¹⁻⁶ generally conducted at low pH to avoid hydrolysis of metal ions and precipitation of hydroxy species, are consistent with a rate law of the form $R = k [M^{2+}][H_2porph]$. Pseudo-first-order conditions are usually maintained so that $R = k_e[H_2porph]$ with $k_e = k [M^{2+}]$. In contrast we observe in our studies at neutral pH in the presence of ethylenediamine(en), a discontinuity in the k_e vs [Cu^{II}] profile. The experimental, first-order rate constant[‡] shows a very high-order dependence on [Cu^{II}] over a limited concentration (Fig. 1) reaching a maximum value, k_e^{max} at some critical copper(II) concentration, [M]_c. Values of k_e^{max} and [M]_c depend on solution conditions as described below but the profile shown in Fig. 1 persists for a variety of electrolytes, buffers and porphyrins. At concentrations of Cu²⁺ beyond [M]_c, the rate constant k_e decreases but more gradually than its rise.

The Cu^{II}-ethylenediamine system was selected because (i) the rate of copper(II) insertion into porphyrins is in a convenient range for study, (ii) the free ligand shows no reactivity with the water soluble porphyrins investigated here⁷ and (iii) under the conditions of the experiment (*i.e.*, pH 7.0; $\mu = 0.15 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$; $T = 298 \text{ K}$; (en)₀ = 3 [Cu^{II}]₀), one copper species, Cu(en)₂²⁺, predominates over the entire concentration range considered.[§] We calculated the concentration profiles of the various minor copper(II)-containing species [*i.e.*, Cu²⁺, Cu(OH)⁺, Cu(en)²⁺, Cu(en)₃²⁺] and found that none of them resembles that of the reactivity profile shown in Fig. 1.

As a specific sample of this behaviour we considered the reaction of Cu^{II}-en with the tetracationic porphyrin tetrakis(4-*N*-methylpyridyl)porphyrin, H₂tmpyp. The experiments

shown in Fig. 1(b) were conducted at pH 7.0, [collidine] = $2.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$, $\mu = 0.15 \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$, $T = 298 \text{ K}$, [H₂tmpyp] = $3.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$. As shown in Fig. 1 and Table 1, the rate constant k_e is nearly zero at low values of [Cu^{II}] but at [Cu^{II}] = $7.5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$, k_e suddenly rises to a value of $9.9 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$. Further increase in [Cu^{II}] leads to a decrease in k_e until at [Cu^{II}] = $1.25 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$, k_e is once again near zero.

The other porphyrins investigated are the tetracationic tetrakis(*N,N,N*-trimethyl-4-anilinium)porphyrin, H₂tap, and the tetraanionic tetrakis(4-sulphophenyl)porphyrin H₂tpps. The maximum rate constants for metallation, k_e^{max} , increase in the sequence H₂tmpyp < H₂tap < H₂tpps, the same order as for porphyrin basicity. For the last of these compounds, stopped-flow methods must be employed for kinetic measurements. Charge and basicity of the porphyrin therefore play a role in determining the value of the rate constant. The concentration of the porphyrin also in part determines the critical parameters in the process. Increasing H₂tmpyp con-




Fig. 1 Metal concentration dependence of k_e at different concentrations of H₂tmpyp: (a): [H₂tmpyp] = $4.10 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$; (b): [H₂tmpyp] = $3.50 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$; (c): [H₂tmpyp] = $1.70 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}$

Table 1 Variation of the experimental rate constant with metal concentration in the reaction Cu(en)₂²⁺ + H₂tmpyp

[Cu ²⁺] ₀ × 10 ³ / mol dm ⁻³	$k_e \times 10^3/\text{s}^{-1}$
0.25	0.1
0.50	0.2
0.75	9.9
0.83	8.9
0.90	8.9
1.00	6.2
1.10	5.4
1.25	0.1
2.50	negligible

[†] H₂porph is used to designate the free base form of the porphyrin. Charges are neglected for the sake of convenience.

[‡] The slow kinetics were followed on either a Nicolet 9420 UV-VIS or a Varian 2200 recording spectrophotometer which has thermostated cell compartments. Faster reactions were observed using a Nortec stopped-flow system. The reactions were run at constant wavelength and most of the kinetic experiments were conducted under conditions such that [Cu²⁺] ≥ 10 [H₂porph]₀; these experiments provided good first-order type kinetic profiles. However, even when the [Cu²⁺]₀ ~ [H₂porph]₀ such first-order profiles were obtained. Values of k_e were found to be independent of whether the disappearance of the free base-porphyrin band (518 nm for H₂tmpyp) or the appearance of the metalloporphyrin peak (548 nm for CuH₂tmpyp) were monitored. The existence of an isosbestic point in the visible region (λ 535 nm) implies that the porphyrin reactant and metalloporphyrin product are the only chromophores present in non-negligible concentration other than Cu(en)₂²⁺ which absorbs much further into the red of the spectrum.

[§] The formation of the reactant complex Cu(en)₂²⁺ was achieved by maintaining an en-Cu^{II} ratio of 3.0 at pH 7.0. The components were added in the following sequence to avoid precipitation: Cu^{II}, en, NaNO₃, milli-Q water and collidine. A stock solution (0.1 mol dm⁻³) of 2,4,6-collidine from Sigma Chem. Co., was prepared and used as buffer. The final pH was adjusted to 7.0 using NaOH or HNO₃ if needed.




Fig. 2 Variation of the critical copper(II) concentration, $[M]_c$, with temperature

Table 2 Results of light scattering and conductivity measurements^a

$[Cu^{2+}]_0 \times 10^3 /$ $mol\ dm^{-3}$	Scattered light (arb. units)	Conductivity/ $\Omega^{-1}\ cm^{-1}$
0.25	2.2	8.3
0.75	11.8	2.3
1.25	1.3	7.9

^a $[H_2tmpyp] = 3.50 \times 10^{-5}\ mol\ dm^{-3}$; $pH = 7.0$; $\mu = 0.15\ mol\ dm^{-3}$; $T = 298\ K$; $collidine = 2.0 \times 10^{-2}\ mol\ dm^{-3}$. In all experiments $[en]_0 = 3\ [Cu^{2+}]_0$.

centration, for example, leads to a larger k_e^{max} and lower values of $[M]_c$ (Fig. 1) but in all cases, the anomalous kinetic profile remains.

The reaction of Cu^{II} -ethylenediamine with H_2tmpyp was studied over a 55 °C temperature range. A kinetic profile of the type shown in Fig. 1 was found throughout and the value of k_e^{max} varied by less than 9.0%. However, $[M]_c$ increases linearly with temperature as shown in Fig. 2. The nature of the buffer (collidine tris or HEPES) influences both k_e^{max} and $[M]_c$ but not the general kinetic profile. Finally, although the

only effect exerted by changing ionic strength is a shifting of $[M]_c$, the nature of the electrolyte has a profound influence on k_e^{max} and even the breadth of the profile.

To probe the nature of the species in solution giving rise to these unusual kinetic effects, we have performed light scattering and conductance measurements on these systems. We find that at Cu^{II} concentrations both above and below $[M]_c$, the amount of light scattered is small but at $[Cu^{II}] \approx [M]_c$, the scattered light intensity increases nearly sixfold (Table 2). Solutions giving rise to rapid kinetics (*i.e.*, at $[Cu^{II}] \approx [M]_c$) have much lower conductivities than solutions on either side of these critical concentrations (Table 2). These results provide evidence for the formation of some type of composite in solution at the critical conditions. Based upon the evidence, we propose that the unusual kinetic effect found here is attributable to the existence of molecular assemblies of a supramolecular nature formed by association of the metal complex, porphyrin and other solute species and held together by intermolecular forces⁸ having microscopic organization and macroscopic characteristics.⁹

The authors thank the NATO Postdoctoral Program and the Education Ministry of Spain for grants awarded to R. A. V. We are also indebted to the MEC/Fulbright Program for a Fellowship to F. G.-V. who thanks the University of Seville for sabbatical leave. We gratefully acknowledge support of this research from the National Science Foundation (CHEM-8915264) and the Monsanto Corporation.

Received, 10th October 1990; Com. 0/04561F

References

- 1 P. Hambright, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1971, **6**, 247; P. Hambright, *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.*, 1973, **206**, 443; P. Hambright and P. B. Chock, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1974, **96**, 3123.
- 2 H. Baker, P. Hambright and L. Wagner, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1973, **95**, 5942.
- 3 R. F. Pasternack, G. C. Vogel, C. A. Skowronek, R. K. Harris and J. G. Miller, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1981, **20**, 3763.
- 4 D. K. Lavalley, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1985, **61**, 55.
- 5 M. Tabata and M. Tanaka, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1988, **27**, 203.
- 6 F. R. Longo, E. M. Brown, D. J. Quimby, A. D. Adler and M. Meot-Ner, *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.*, 1973, **206**, 420.
- 7 A. J. McHugh, M. Gouterman and Ch. Weiss, Jr., *Theor. Chim. Acta*, 1972, **24**, 346.
- 8 J.-M. Lehn, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Eng.*, 1988, **27**, 89.
- 9 J. H. Fendler, *Membrane Mimetic Chemistry*, Wiley, N.Y., 1982.