10-1-1998

Bacterial Stress Responses To 1-Megahertz Pulsed Ultrasound In The Presence Of Microbubbles

Amy Cheng Vollmer
Swarthmore College, avollme1@swarthmore.edu

Sylvia D. Sakyiama Kwakye, ’98


E. Carr Everbach
Swarthmore College, ceverba1@swarthmore.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://works.swarthmore.edu/fac-engineering

Part of the Biology Commons, and the Engineering Commons

Recommended Citation
http://works.swarthmore.edu/fac-engineering/21

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Engineering at Works. It has been accepted for inclusion in Engineering Faculty Works by an authorized administrator of Works. For more information, please contact myworks@swarthmore.edu.
Bacterial Stress Responses to 1-Megahertz Pulsed Ultrasound in the Presence of Microbubbles

AMY C. VOLLMER, SYLVIA KWAKYE, MATTHEW HALPERN, AND E. CARR EVERBACH

Department of Biology and Department of Engineering, Swarthmore College, Swarthmore, Pennsylvania 19081-1397

Received 23 April 1998/Accepted 10 August 1998

Members of a panel of stress-responsive biosensors have been used to study the effect of megahertz frequency ultrasound on Escherichia coli. Insonification causes acoustic cavitation, the collapse of oscillating microbubbles in solution, which can damage bacterial cells. A focused 1-MHz ultrasound transducer, capable of generating a spatial peak pulse average intensity of 500 W/cm², was used to treat liquid bacterial cultures. Stress-responsive promoters fused to luxCDABE allowed the continuous measurement of light produced as a result of protein damage, DNA damage, oxidative stress, and membrane perturbation. A promoter responsive to ammonia limitation was not transcriptionally activated under test conditions. In contrast to bacteria in exponentially growing cultures, those in stationary-phase cultures were more resistant to the effects of ultrasound treatment. Quantification of the degree of acoustic cavitation due to symmetric bubble collapse was measured by a 20-MHz passive transducer, the output of which appears to be only partially correlated with cellular damage and survival. The methods and results summarized here provide the basis for further investigation into applications, including the purification of water samples.

Bacteria have the capacity to respond to various types of stress encountered in their environments. Nutritional limitation as well as macromolecular damage result in intracellular signals that induce response programs. Many of the responses involve the transcriptional activation of specific sets of stress response genes, the products of which function to restore cellular "health," establish a new steady-state balance, and decrease the level of the intracellular signals, resulting in a reduction of the stress response. While some genes are activated in response to only a limited number of stressors, the expression of others, most notably uspA (23), may be induced under many different conditions. Much of the research in the area of bacterial stress response has focused on growing cells and has been recently reviewed in the cases of DNA damage (26, 42), heat shock (14), and limitation for carbon (27), nitrogen (18), and phosphorus (43). However, a growing body of work in the area of stationary-phase responses indicates that stationary-phase cells have different means of stress response regulation and are generally more resistant (16, 28).

The use of stress-responsive reporter allows investigators to characterize the regulatory circuits involved in modulating the response. More recently, bioluminescence has provided a rapid viable alternative to the traditional colorimetric assays of promoter-lacZ fusions. Comprehensive characterization of enzymes of bacterial bioluminescence and its applications have been reviewed elsewhere (20, 30). The development of a panel of promoter-luxCDABE biosensors has allowed real-time, non-invasive detection of genotoxic agents (5, 40), protein-damaging solvents and toxins (35–37), and oxidative stressors (5–7). These biosensors report the stress response to pure components as well as to mixtures of known and unknown composition (6, 7, 40).

Ultrasound is known to damage biological cells and tissues through two primary mechanisms, heating and cavitation (33). Heating occurs as ultrasonic energy is absorbed by cells, tissues, or bone and produces biological effects indistinguishable from heating induced by other methods (22). Acoustic cavitation occurs when bubbles that are present naturally or added intentionally interact with the alternating compressions and rarefactions of the ultrasonic wave (2). Each bubble has a sharply defined resonance frequency that depends upon the bubble size, the composition of any contaminating surface layer, and the characteristics of the surrounding fluid (e.g., viscosity). If the bubble is driven near its resonance frequency, the resulting violent collapse may be symmetrical, producing temperatures in excess of 5,000 K (31) at the collapse point and pressures of thousands of atmospheres that can be measured as acoustic emissions. These conditions are sufficient to produce hydroxyl free radicals (24). If the bubble collapse is asymmetrical, however, as is the case when the collapsing bubble is within a few radii of a solid surface, a jet of liquid can form that pierces the bubble and strikes the surface at velocities up to 200 m/s (17). These microjets, which have been shown to be responsible for damage to both kidney and gallstones in lithotripsy (34), have the ability to puncture or shear cell walls (13).

Sonication is often used by investigators as a method of lysing bacterial cells. It depends upon bubble activity, heating, and the shear forces produced by the sonicator tip itself ("jack-hammer effect"). Sonicators such as the Branson W-350 model operate at ultrasonic frequencies in the range of 20 to 25 kHz in a continuous wave or slowly pulsed mode (pulse duration of several seconds). Spatial and temporal average intensities for sonicators are typically a few watts per square centimeter. In contrast, diagnostic (imaging) ultrasound is typically composed of very short pulses (a few microseconds) in the frequency range of 1 to 10 MHz, with spatial peak pulse average intensities \( I_{\text{pp}} \) of tens or hundreds of watts per square centimeter (11). The cavitation effects produced by the two ultrasound modalities, consequently, are qualitatively different, with sonication processes depending upon the heat and shear action of...
TABLE 1. *E. coli* strain and plasmids used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacterial strain or plasmid</th>
<th>Genotype or description</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RFM443</td>
<td>F' galK2 lac-74 rpsL200</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pUCD615</td>
<td>17.55-kbp broad-host-range Ap' Km' plasmid carrying promoterless luxCDABE from <em>V. fischeri</em></td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pGpELux</td>
<td>206-bp fragment from promoter region of <em>E. coli</em> gpdE cloned into the BamHI-EcoRI site of pUCD615</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRecALux</td>
<td>279-bp fragment from promoter region of <em>E. coli</em> recA cloned into the BamHI-EcoRI site of pUCD615</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pGlnALux</td>
<td>227-bp fragment from glnAp promoter region of <em>E. coli</em> glnA cloned into the BamHI-EcoRI site of pUCD615</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pKatGLux</td>
<td>654-bp fragment from katG promoter region of <em>E. coli</em> katG cloned into the BamHI-EcoRI site of pUCD615</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pFabALux</td>
<td>248-bp fragment from promoter region of <em>E. coli</em> fabA cloned into the BamHI-EcoRI site of pUCD615</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Light measurement and cell viability. Duplicate 50-µl aliquots of the samples were pipetted into colorless 1.5-ml microcentrifuge tubes (without caps), which were placed in standard capped scintillation vials. Samples (at 26°C) were read approximately every 20 min for at least 3 h by using a 1219 RackBeta liquid scintillation counter (LKB-Wallace), according to the manufacturer’s instructions for measuring chemiluminescence. Bioluminescence was measured in relative light units (RLU) (38). Viabilities of both induced and untreated cells were measured by plating appropriately diluted samples (with sterile saline) onto LB agar containing 50 µg of kanamycin sulfate ml$^{-1}$.

Ultrasound apparatus. A 1-MHz transducer and a narrow-band 20-MHz probe transducer, both with 5.08-cm focal lengths, were arranged coaxially in the walls of a 30.5- by 20.3- by 20.3-cm Plexiglas tank filled with degassed water at 20°C. A rotatory sample holder was positioned so that an attached sample could be positioned at the cofocus of the two transducers. The 1-MHz transducer induced cavitation in the sample while the 20-MHz transducer passively recorded the 20-MHz component of acoustic emissions produced by collapsing bubbles. The voltage output from the probe transducer represented the sum of the pressure wave emissions of thousands of uncorrelated bubble implosions occurring in the sample in response to each 1-MHz pulse (12). The voltage signal was amplified by a broad-band amplifier (Matec model 625) and then filtered by a 20-MHz filter (WaveTek Ultramir AB4BB20/1) to remove electrical noise. The resulting signal was recorded by a digital oscilloscope (model 9354AM; LeCroy Corp.), which calculated the root mean square (RMS) values of cavitation signals and downloaded them to a computer approximately three times per second. The time-averaged RMS values during the 5-min exposure were used as indicators of cavitation activity.

Ultrasound treatment. Two types of microbubble cavitation nuclei were used: Albunex, a commercially available agent (Malinckrodt Medical, St. Louis, Mo.) consisting of protein-encapsulated air bubbles, and ST68, a research agent supplied by Margaret Wheatley (Drexel University) consisting of surfactant-coated air bubbles.

One-milliliter aliquots consisting of *E. coli* in LB medium and 50 µl of microbubble agent were placed into 2-ml Beckman UltraClear centrifuge tubes, which were the exposure vessels. The sample was sonified for 5 min with an acoustic field produced by the 1-MHz transducer, operated at an intensity of 500 W/cm$^2$ ($I_{500}$). The acoustic field consisted of 1-ms-duration pulses at a pulse repetition frequency of 20 Hz to minimize sample heating. The sample tube was rotated at 200 rpm to ensure recirculation of the bubbles by acoustic radiation force (21, 44). Cavitation occurring during sonification was recorded by a passive 20-MHz transducer.

A sham-exposed sample was treated identically in series with the experimental sample, except that the acoustic field was turned off to provide a baseline for cell viability and bioluminescence. The passive cavitation detection system was time gated to ensure that the signal recorded was due only to collapsing bubbles and not to reflections of ultrasound within the exposure tank (12).

Data collection and analysis. For each sample, following exposure to ultrasound, duplicate light emission measurements (in RLU) were averaged together at each time point and divided by the number of viable cells to determine RLU/viable cell. Similar to specific activity, specific response ratios were calculated by dividing the bioluminescent response of the experimental sample by that of the untreated (sham) sample at a particular time point, that with the maximum response. By doing so, one can compare responses of promoters under different stress conditions or one can compare the responses of different stress-responsive
promoters. This type of calculation contrasts with response ratios as previously described (36), where the number of viable cells was not taken into account at sublethal doses of stressor.

A ratio value of >1 indicates transcriptional activation of the lux operon; a ratio equal to 1 represents no response; and a ratio of <1 indicates toxicity, because the amount of light produced by the uninduced cells (background, low-level transcription) is greater than what the induced sample can produce. The killing percentage for each ultrasound-treated sample was calculated by dividing its colony forming capacity by the colony forming capacity of the sham-exposed control, subtracting this value from 1, and multiplying this fraction by 100.

### RESULTS

The kinetics of transcriptional activation of the gppE promoter are shown in Fig. 1. Bioluminescence is due to the activity of the lux proteins, which results from induction of the heat shock response and for which the signal can be denatured proteins. The advantage of using the luxCDABE reporter is evident, as other reporters, such as lacZ, would require permeabilizing cells with solvent or detergent (which might induce stress responses) in order to supply a colorimetric substrate to the reporter enzyme. In contrast, endogenously supplied substrate by the luxCDDE gene products provides the fatty aldehyde required for luciferase, the luxAB gene product; thus, a real-time reporter allows the measurement of response in intact cells. In addition, activity of luciferase requires reduced electron carriers (flavin mononucleotide) and ATP. Change (oxidation) with the redox state of the cell or the availability of ATP pools would result in a loss of luciferase, or “lights off,” response, indicating toxicity (40). Ultrasound appears to elicit a response comparable to that of a known heat shock inducer (ethanol) in terms of the increase in bioluminescence. The response to ultrasound decreases while the response to ethanol is more prolonged, due to the fact that the ethanol remains in the system while the acoustic cavitation ceases once the insonifier is turned off. There are two negative controls displayed in Fig. 1: one is a sample that was placed in the ultrasound apparatus and subjected to all manipulations except the insonification itself; the other is a sample that remained in the incubator during the same period of time. The two controls do not differ significantly in their responses.

Table 2 shows specific response ratios calculated from kinetic data (not shown) of different stress-response promoters. Each promoter was challenged with a “cognate” stressor as well as ultrasound. With the exception of the nitrogen starvation sensor, all of the other promoters tested did respond to ultrasound. In most cases, the responses were comparable but lower than the response to the specific stressor. Response ratios were determined at 200 min for fabA (which responds comparatively slowly) and at 120 min for glnA, gppE, katG, and recA. Each promoter responded to its own cognate stressor but did not respond to stressors that stimulated a different promoter.

Figure 2 shows the relationship between specific response ratios from individual experiments in which the gppE':luxCDABE fusion was studied and the time-averaged RMS voltage from the inertial cavitation detector, a value that reflects symmetrical bubble collapse in solution. There is a general increase in the specific response ratio with an increase in the amount of cavitation as measured by the RMS voltage. The R value for best-fit straight line (shown) was 0.6112. Percent killing also increases generally with elevated cavitation activity (R, 0.5477 [data not shown]) and specific response ratio (R, 0.6374 [data not shown]). The two different types of microbubble cavitation nuclei were indistinguishable (data not shown).

Table 3 shows the results from three trials. Cells exhibited differential heat shock response in different phases of growth. Response ratios close to 1 indicate very little bioluminescence above the background (untreated) levels, and percent killing was diminished from a range of 4 to 50% to a range of 10 to 15% after more than 22 h of growth.

### DISCUSSION

The results of this study show that short pulses of 1-MHz ultrasound have the ability to induce some stress responses in E. coli and, under some conditions, to cause bacterial death. The agent responsible for the stress appears to be acoustic cavitation, the activation of preexisting microbubbles by the ultrasonic wave, since without the addition of exogenous microbubbles, stress responses are statistically indistinguishable from sham (no ultrasound) exposures (data not shown). Activation of four of the five promoter-lux fusions (Table 2) is most likely due to the action of liquid jets generated by asymmetric bubble collapses and presence of hydroxyl free radicals produced by very hot, symmetrical bubble collapses (31). The lack

---

### Table 2. Responses to ultrasound treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Promoter</th>
<th>Response regulator</th>
<th>Maximum response ratio* at sublethal dose of:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ultrasound treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fabA</td>
<td>Membrane damage</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glnA</td>
<td>Ammonia starvation</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gppE</td>
<td>Heat shock</td>
<td>24.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>katG</td>
<td>Oxidative damage</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>recA</td>
<td>SOS response</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Does not include viable cell count.

---

![Figure 2](image-url)
of transcriptional activation of the lux operon in the glnA promoter fusion shows, not unexpectedly, that ammonia starvation is not a stress factor for ultrasound-bacterium interaction and illustrates the specificity of the luminescent stress response biosensor panel. The response profiles of the grpE promoter to ultrasound and to ethanol (Fig. 1) are similar between 80 and 120 min. After that point, the ethanol response continues to increase, due to the fact that added ethanol remains during the course of the bioluminescence measurement while the stress due to ultrasound is transient, ceasing once the apparatus is shut down. It is not feasible to use the increase in temperature for the positive control of the grpE heat shock response because of the thermal instability of the Vibrio Fischeri lux gene products. The response of fabA was particularly slow, probably due to the fact that the activation of its transcription requires a number of intervening physiological steps. Recently, we cloned another promoter that is sensitive to membrane damage, the phage shock protein (pspA) promoter (9), and are determining the kinetics and magnitude of its response to ultrasound (39). Compared to fabA, this new fusion may react more rapidly to membrane perturbations.

Table 3. Differential heat shock responses of bacteria from exponential and stationary phases of growth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time after inoculation (h)</th>
<th>% Killing</th>
<th>Specific response ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>43.3 ± 8.5</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>49.7 ± 6.2</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2*</td>
<td>44.5 ± 7.6</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>23.5 ± 6.1</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>13.7 ± 2.1</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>10.4 ± 1.0</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Two-hour cells resuspended to an optical density at 600 nm (2.0) comparable to that of 24-h cells.

The degree of killing and the level of response were comparable. In cases where a significant proportion of the population was killed, the remaining members of the population were brighter; that is, they emitted more light. In a few instances, the number of survivors did not change after ultrasound treatment. Either the members of this population were successful in mounting a stress response that allowed full recovery or there was a significant amount of cell division during the time interval. The latter explanation is not likely, since the temperature of the samples was maintained at 26°C from the time samples were placed in aliquots in the ultrasound tubes. Positive and negative controls were also transferred to 26°C at that time. Since it is difficult to duplicate exactly the stage of growth at which the sample is taken, one explanation for the lower correlation between killing and response may be the variability in the culture. While every effort was made to inoculate and remove cells in the same manner each time, it is unlikely that the cells were identical. One way to circumvent such variability would be to used lyophilized aliquots of one master culture. Additionally, the growth phase affects the responsiveness as well as the survival of the population. All of the data shown in this study were derived from the study of exponentially growing cells. Additional studies confirm what others (15, 29) have found: stationary-phase cells (24 h or more) are more resistant to the same amount of ultrasound, in that they do not emit as much light and have a much higher survival rate (Table 3). Since stationary-phase cells are more resistant to ultrasound, a continuation of current studies should allow us to determine the dosage and treatment regimen most effective at killing these cells, which may be more representative of what is found in nature. By combining a knowledge of cell death by acoustic cavitation with an understanding of bacterial stress responses, we may be able to specify parameters by which water, other liquids, and items such as surgical implants may be sterilized rapidly, effectively, and relatively inexpensively with ultrasound alone or in combination with other treatments. Finally, initial studies using the recA’luxCDABE reporter have provided the foundation for continued investigation of possible genotoxic effects due to acoustic cavitation.
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